Pag	ge 1 Page 2
-	
CITY OF JERSEY CITY PLANNING BOARD	1 A L S O P R E S E N T: 2 TANYA MARIONE, A.I.C.P., P.P.
In the Matter of:	Director of Planning
: Transcript	3 City Planning Division
CASE P22-197 : : of	4 MATT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P. Supervising Planner
124 GLENWOOD HOLDINGS, LLC : 124 Glenwood Avenue : Proceedings	5 City Planning Division
Block 13204, Lot 56 :	6 CAMERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P. Senior Planner
	7 City Planning Division
Zoom Remote Videoconference Commencing at 5:45 p.m.	8 MALLORY CLARK, A.I.C.P., P.P.
BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	Senior Planner 9 City Planning Division
CHRISTOPHER LANGSTON, Chairman VIDYA GANGADIN, Commissioner	10 FRANCISCO ESPINOZA
DAVID CRUZ, Commissioner STEVE LIPSKI, Commissioner	Senior Planner
DR. VIJAY DESAI, Commissioner	11 City Planning Division 12 ELIZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P.
DARLENE GREEN, Commissioner PATRICK STAMATO, Commissioner	Urban Designer
A P P E A R A N C E S:	13 City Planning Division 14 ERIK BEASLEY
SANTO T. ALAMPI, ESQUIRE Attorney for the Board	14 ERIK BEASLEY Zoning Specialist
PRIME & TUVEL	15 City Planning Division
BY: BENJAMIN T.F. WINE, ESQUIRE	16 17
Attorneys for the Applicant	18
	19
MOLLET LOMP APPOZZI	20 21
MICHAEL LOMBARDOZZI, Certified Shorthand Reporter	22
PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE	23 24
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS (908) 642-4299	25
(200) 072-7227	
Pag	ye 3 Page 4
1 TABLE OF CONTENTS 2 WITNESS PAGE	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's get into old
3 Thomas LaPorta 13	2 business. I'll call item A, it's case P22-197, it's
4 Martin Moreno 14 5 Carolyn Worstell 27	3 a minor site plan for 124 Glenwood Avenue. This has
6	4 been carried from the July 11th meeting, and the
PUBLIC SWORN 7	 original presentation was on March 14th. MR. BLACK: Promoted Benjamin Wine.
NAME PAGE	 6 MR. BLACK: Promoted Benjamin Wine. 7 MR. WINE: Good evening, Mr. Chair,
India Edwards 31	8 members of the board.
9 India Thybulle 33	9 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening,
10	10 Counsel.
Nathan MacCormack 35	11 MR. WINE: How are you this evening?
Eric Carter 38	12 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm good. Good.
12 Nathalie Kalbach 40	13 MR. WINE: For the record, Benjamin Wine
13 Sergio Stifelman 43	14 from Prime & Tuvel on behalf of the applicant, 124
14	15 Glenwood Holdings, LLC. The property in question is
Christopher Perez 48	16as you indicated, 124 Glenwood Avenue, that's Block
George Riley 51	17 13204, and Lot 56.
16	18 Just by way of a bit of background for
William O'Dea 53	19 everybody, and then we can jump right into our
William O'Dea 53	
William O'Dea 53	20 presentation, as you indicated, Mr. Chair, this
William O'Dea 53 17 Shirin MacCormack 55 18 19 E X H I B I T S	20 presentation, as you indicated, Mr. Chair, this21 application was initially presented back in March of
William O'Dea5317Shirin MacCormack551819E X H I B I T S20NO.DESCRIPTIONPAGE21(No exhibits marked.)	 presentation, as you indicated, Mr. Chair, this application was initially presented back in March of 2014 (sic). If the board if the commissioners
William O'Dea 53 17 Shirin MacCormack 55 18 19 E X H I B I T S 20 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 21 (No exhibits marked.) 22	 presentation, as you indicated, Mr. Chair, this application was initially presented back in March of 2014 (sic). If the board if the commissioners recall, at that point, we also came up with and
William O'Dea5317Shirin MacCormack551819E X H I B I T S20NO.DESCRIPTIONPAGE21(No exhibits marked.)	 presentation, as you indicated, Mr. Chair, this application was initially presented back in March of 2014 (sic). If the board if the commissioners

	Page 5		Page 6
1	the changes in the R-3 standard, by about two days,	1	did present with them, I believe it was two more
2	we missed that time of application and had a had a	2	times, once once over just by email, and then,
3	rear yard setback variance.	3	another time, we had an actual meeting with them. We
4	We did put on our full testimony with	4	went through several iterations of the aesthetics.
5	respect to the architecture at the time, as well as	5	And we actually just took it upon ourselves to
6	the planning testimony for that variance, but what we	6	eliminate the rear yard setback variance altogether.
7	heard, ultimately, that evening, Mr. Krehel, who was	7	It was only a couple of feet at the time. And,
8	with planning staff at that point, had some questions	8	again, while we believe that we had the testimony on,
9	regarding the overall aesthetics and the ability to	9	we felt that the best our best foot forward was
10	review a rendering that had been submitted at that	10	just eliminating it, as we didn't really need it,
11	time; that it did not necessarily align with the	11	anyways.
12	plans that were presented.	12	The other thing that has come up over
13	We also heard from members of the public	13	the course of the last few months is that, initially,
14	that, despite the fact that the building was other	14	we'd been proposing a fire escape at the rear of the
15	than the rear yard setback variance, was compliant	15	building, which we had every understanding was
16	with the R-3 standards, they did have some concerns	16	permitted. It did come up prior to the meeting two
17	about the aesthetics of the building. And we took	17	weeks ago, that, in fact, the building department
18	the opportunity, through quite a lot of	18	would not let that move forward. So, again, we went
19	back-and-forth, and despite the amount of time that	19	through a plan redesign, to ensure that that would be
20	the board spent hearing the application, we elected	20	relocated internal to the building, which you'll see,
21	to carry that application forward, which is	21	making it a fire stair instead of a fire escape, and
22	ultimately until tonight, in the effort to, you know,	22	thereby compliant with building code, so that we
23	do our best to meet with the public and address some	23	wouldn't ultimately get an approval before this
24	of the concerns that they have.	24	board, go pull our [inaudible] permits, and find out
25	We do believe that we've done that. We	25	that we would have to come back for some type of
	Dage 7		Dara 9
	Page 7		Page 8
1	amended site plan approval. So that has all been, we	1	of anyone?
2	believe, taken care of; we haven't heard any comments	2	MS. MARIONE: That I see
3	to the contrary on that.	3	Ms. Hadjiyannis in the attendees, but I'm not sure if
4	And, ultimately, as I indicated, what	4	she has formal objection to 128 Glenwood, because the
5	you'll see this evening, I intend to call two	5	sister application to this I don't even know if
6	witnesses: I'll have our project architect, who will	6	it's a sister, but a similar application to this at

Page 7		Page 8
amended site plan approval. So that has all been, we	1	of anyone?
believe, taken care of; we haven't heard any comments	2	MS. MARIONE: That I see
to the contrary on that.	3	Ms. Hadjiyannis in the attendees, but I'm not sure if
And, ultimately, as I indicated, what	4	she has formal objection to 128 Glenwood, because the
you'll see this evening, I intend to call two	5	sister application to this I don't even know if
witnesses: I'll have our project architect, who will	6	it's a sister, but a similar application to this at
walk us through the plans, and without going through	7	the zoning board. But I don't see her hand raised,
everything all over again, I'm largely focused on the	8	so I don't know if that, in and of itself, is a sign
revision, since this board last saw the plans. And	9	that she isn't.
then, while we no longer have a variance, and	10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. She
technically no need for professional planning	11	MR. ALAMPI: Is she in attendance,
testimony, we did want to have our project planner,	12	though, Tanya?
Carolyn Worstell, testify to that effect, also in	13	MS. MARIONE: She is. She actually just
light of the fact that she's been very involved in	14	raised her hand.
working with the project architect and the community,	15	MR. ALAMPI: All right. Let's promote
to be able to ensure that we have is ultimately	16	her and see I guess you're only saying that
compliant, and meets the intent of the R-3 standards.	17	because you acknowledge that she's [inaudible] in
So with that, Mr. Chair, unless you have	18	opposition to a case in front of the zoning board.
questions of me, I'm happy to move straight along	19	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Yes, hi everybody. I
with our professionals.	20	just wanted to just clarify that I'm just observing
CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Counsel, is there	21	tonight, and I'm not representing anybody.
to your knowledge, is there any opposing attorney	22	MR. ALAMPI: Okay.
present?	23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood.
MR. WINE: Not to my knowledge.	24	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Thanks.
CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Tanya, do you know	25	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I wanted to get your

	Page 9		Page 10
1	appearance on record, if that was the case.	1	MR. ALAMPI: We have video of that,
2	MS. HADJIYANNIS: No, I just wanted	2	Chairman.
3	to	3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: There is not.
4	MR. BLACK: Ben, is your architect in	4	If we could, though, let's take care of
5	the audience?	5	the housekeeping item. We didn't have all
6	MR. WINE: Yes, so we have there	6	commissioners present that are here tonight at that
7	should be two people on: It would be Thomas LaPorta	7	March 14th meeting of 2023. Cam, if we could just go
8	and Martin Moreno.	8	through that list, let's see if everyone's had a
9	MR. ALAMPI: So, Counsel, the only thing	9	chance to watch the original video.
10	that I want to do, I believe you stated March of	10	MR. BLACK: Okay. So the commissioners
11	2014, I think you meant to state that the testimony	11	present on March 14th, 2023, to hear 124 Glenwood,
12	was March 14th of 2023.	12	were Chairman Langston, Commissioner Torres,
13	MR. WINE: Yeah, I definitely meant	13	Commissioner Gangadin, Commissioner Lipski,
14	that.	14	Commissioner Dr. Desai, and Commissioner Cruz. So
15	MR. ALAMPI: Unless I misheard. Either	15	among those tonight, we have Commissioner Cruz,
16	way, it's March 14th of 2023.	16	Commissioner Dr. Desai, Commissioner Lipski,
17	MR. WINE: I'm not going to tell	17	Commissioner Gangadin, and Chairman Langston. So we
18	everybody where I was in March of 2014, but I was not	18	have five who were at the original hearing, and then
19	a practicing attorney, let's put it that way.	19	we have, of course, Commissioner Stamato and
20	MR. ALAMPI: Now, see, I didn't want to	20	Commissioner Green. Can you confirm that you've read
21	say that, because I'm trying my best not to offend	21	the transcript?
22	anybody.	22	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Confirmed.
23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I was actually	23	COMMISSIONER STAMATO: I can't confirm;
24	sitting in this same seat back then.	24	I haven't read it.
25	MR. WINE: Oh wow.	25	MR. BLACK: Okay. So we have six
	Page 11		Page 12
1	commissioners that can vote.	1	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when
2	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So,	2	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion.
2 3	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask	2 3	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir.
2 3 4	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there	2 3 4	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon
2 3 4 5	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean	2 3 4 5	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure
2 3 4 5 6	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward.	2 3 4 5 6	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard.
2 3 4 5 6 7	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I	2 3 4 5 6 7	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand when we asked if there was someone who was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in a fact witness capacity, under Mr. LaPorta's guidance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand when we asked if there was someone who was representing opposition. I'm not sure if it's it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in a fact witness capacity, under Mr. LaPorta's guidance and license.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand when we asked if there was someone who was representing opposition. I'm not sure if it's it doesn't say that they're an attorney, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in a fact witness capacity, under Mr. LaPorta's guidance and license. MR. ALAMPI: Counsel, let's just get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand when we asked if there was someone who was representing opposition. I'm not sure if it's it doesn't say that they're an attorney, but CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in a fact witness capacity, under Mr. LaPorta's guidance and license. MR. ALAMPI: Counsel, let's just get them up on the screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand when we asked if there was someone who was representing opposition. I'm not sure if it's it doesn't say that they're an attorney, but CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Maybe let's bring Mr. Riley up, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in a fact witness capacity, under Mr. LaPorta's guidance and license. MR. ALAMPI: Counsel, let's just get them up on the screen. And then, Chairman, out of an abundance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand when we asked if there was someone who was representing opposition. I'm not sure if it's it doesn't say that they're an attorney, but CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Maybe let's bring Mr. Riley up, and MR. BLACK: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in a fact witness capacity, under Mr. LaPorta's guidance and license. MR. ALAMPI: Counsel, let's just get them up on the screen. And then, Chairman, out of an abundance of caution, given the fact that multiple months have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand when we asked if there was someone who was representing opposition. I'm not sure if it's it doesn't say that they're an attorney, but CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Maybe let's bring Mr. Riley up, and MR. BLACK: Right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: see if there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in a fact witness capacity, under Mr. LaPorta's guidance and license. MR. ALAMPI: Counsel, let's just get them up on the screen. And then, Chairman, out of an abundance of caution, given the fact that multiple months have elapsed, let's just place them back under oath, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand when we asked if there was someone who was representing opposition. I'm not sure if it's it doesn't say that they're an attorney, but CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Maybe let's bring Mr. Riley up, and MR. BLACK: Right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: see if there is some issue here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in a fact witness capacity, under Mr. LaPorta's guidance and license. MR. ALAMPI: Counsel, let's just get them up on the screen. And then, Chairman, out of an abundance of caution, given the fact that multiple months have elapsed, let's just place them back under oath, and make sure all their at least the licensed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	commissioners that can vote. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. So, Commissioner Stamato, you wouldn't be able to ask questions or vote on this application tonight, there is a bit of history, and we do need to keep a clean record here going forward. COMMISSIONER STAMATO: Chairman, I completely understand. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. All right. So we'll catch up with you in I'd like to say 10 minutes or so, but I think we're looking at closer to an hour. But all right. Let's continue, then, please. MR. BLACK: I do want to note one thing. So there is a George MG Riley who raised their hand when we asked if there was someone who was representing opposition. I'm not sure if it's it doesn't say that they're an attorney, but CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Maybe let's bring Mr. Riley up, and MR. BLACK: Right. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: see if there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	attorney, I just wanted my hand to be raised for when you came to the public portion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, sir. Thank you. Yeah, we'll we'll call public as soon as the presentation is done, and I'll make sure you're heard. Okay. Thank you, Cam. All right, Counsel. The floor is yours. MR. WINE: Perfect. Thank you, Chairman. So with that, so I'd like to have both Mr. LaPorta and Mr. Moreno I believe they're probably technically still under oath, but I'll defer to counsel on that. For the just for everybody's reference, Mr. LaPorta is the licensed architect; Mr. Moreno would be testifying as the design lead in a fact witness capacity, under Mr. LaPorta's guidance and license. MR. ALAMPI: Counsel, let's just get them up on the screen. And then, Chairman, out of an abundance of caution, given the fact that multiple months have elapsed, let's just place them back under oath, and

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

Page 13		Page 14
1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Absolutely. Thank	1	bring Mr. Moreno up, then. Thank you, Mr. LaPorta.
2 you, Counsel.	2	We appreciate your time.
3 MR. WINE: Sure. So would so I'll	3	MR. LaPORTA: Thank you.
4 defer to Mike, would you like to take it?	4	MARTIN MORENO, having been
5 (Whereupon, Thomas LaPorta and Martin	5	duly sworn, testified as follows:
6 Moreno are sworn in.)	6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7 MR. LaPORTA: Thomas LaPorta, registered	7	BY MR. WINE:
8 architect in New Jersey.	8	Q. Okay. So, Martin, if you could go
9 MR. MORENO: And Martin Moreno, senior	9	ahead and share your screen with the the plans,
10 architectural designer under T. LaPorta Architect,	10	and just confirm, as you're bringing them up, that
11 PC.	11	the plans you're going to share and utilize are, in
12 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	12	fact, the plans that were submitted to the board at
13 you.	13	least 10 days in advance of this meeting?
14 So, Mr. LaPorta, under the licensed	14	A. Of course. Of course.
15 architect on the project; Mr. Moreno worked under	15	So I'm sharing it now. Can everyone
16 your guidance. If we could, let's just make sure	16	see my screen?
17 that your license is current in the state of New	17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can.
18 Jersey as of tonight?	18	MR. MORENO: Great.
19 MR. LaPORTA: Yes, it is current.	19	A. Okay. Well, first and foremost, good
20 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	20	evening everyone, and thank you so much for your
21 sir.	21	time. As mentioned, my name is Martin Moreno, and
22 And Mr. Moreno worked under your	22	I'm speaking son behalf of Tom LaPorta Architect,
23 supervision?	23	PC, to present our project at 124 Glenwood Avenue
24 MR. LaPORTA: Yes, he has.	24	here in Jersey City. The property is located in
25 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So let's	25	the R-3 Zone, right between West Side Avenue and
j,		
Page 15		Page 16
1 John F. Kennedy Boulevard. And what we are	1	new R-3 standards, most specifically the rear yard
2 proposing is an as-of-right, four-story, four-unit	2	setback that was previously discussed by Mr. Wine.
3 building.	3	And we also made some changes to the front of the
4 I would like to start off with	4	building, not in regards to the front yard setback,
5 discussing some changes that we made to the site	5	that stayed the same, but we added a porch, as you
6 plan from our last meeting back in March. Overall,	6	can see here, which I will get into more detail,
7 what we are proposing now is a much smaller	7	but this was added after some talks with the
8 building, both in terms of depth and height. The	8	community, for aesthetic purposes, to better fit
⁹ rear of the building was brought in about 5 feet,	9	the surrounding buildings.
10 to comply with the new R-3 standards, and the	10	Other than that, just to give some
11 height of the building was reduced about 3 feet.	11	quick recap, the backyard here is going to be an
12 I will go into more details on said	12	exterior terrace space that will be utilized with
13 changes as we progress throughout the presentation,	13	both Unit 1 and Unit 2. And other than that, as we
14 but before we move to the next page, I would just	14	said, it's completely as-of-right. The setbacks
15 like to highlight some things. Up here, in the top	15	are compliant. The coverage is compliant.
16 left-hand corner, we have a proposed color	16	And here, up here, we also have some
17 elevation of what we are of our building. And	17	more site photos in the top right-hand corner
18 on the bottom left-hand corner, we just have two	18	showing the street. So we have one photo looking
19 site photos, one from the street and one an aerial	19	towards West Side Avenue, once again, to get a
20 view, just showing the property in relationship to	20	better sense of scale of the buildings that are
21 its surrounding buildings. You get a sense of both	21	there, and another photo looking towards JFK
22 size and scale from this photo.	22	Boulevard, once again, just to get a better sense
23 So moving on to the next page is our	23	of the street.
surveys. So as we mentioned, we made modification	24	Moving on
-	1	2
25 to the site plan in order to comply with both the	25	MR. ALAMPI: So, Mr. Moreno, for

	Page 17		Page 18
1	purposes of the public, can you just tell us,	1	an ADA compliant unit, featuring three bedrooms and
2	previously, what the rear yard variance sought was,	2	two full bathrooms, and measured at 1,221.7 square
3	and what a complying rear yard is in the R-3?	3	feet. Unit 2 and 3 are very similar layouts, both
4	MR. MORENO: Of course. So, previously,	4	consisting of four bedrooms and two-and-a-half
5	under the previous R-3 standards, if I'm not	5	bedrooms, with each unit measuring 1,259 square
6	mistaken, we had to the front yard and the rear	6	feet.
7	yard had to equal 35 feet. Once the once the	7	As I mentioned, this is Unit 3, very
8	zoning standard got updated, we had to it had to	8	similar layout to Unit 2.
9	be a certain percentage, I believe 25 percent of the	9	Unit 4, which is the fourth floor,
10	lot depth. So with those new R-3 standards, the 25	10	also features four bedrooms and two-and-a-half
11	percent lot depth, we were technically we were	11	bathrooms, but it is a little smaller than Unit 2
12	technically about 5 feet over what was allowed. So	12	and 3, given some of the facade changes that I will
13	by doing by complying with the new R-3 standards,	13	get into. Unit 4 measures at 1,231 square feet.
14	and matching that 25 percent lot depth, we brought	14	Moving on to the roof, the roof is
15	the building in about 5 feet, as I mentioned, to	15	going to be utilized as an exterior space for both
16	comply with the new standards.	16	Unit 3 and Unit 4. The Unit 3 terrace measures at
17	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you.	17	302 square feet, while the Unit 4 terrace measures
18	A. Okay. Now, moving on to our floor	18	at 327 square feet. The condensers in each roof
19	plans, I think the biggest changes that we made	19	will be located in such that it is not visible from
20	here was the removal of the fire escape as a second	20	the street.
21	means of egress. What we are now proposing is a	21	And something that I just wanted to
22	second means of egress as an interior secondary	22	address was that, previously, we addressed we
23	stairs. These stairs here are compliant with NJ	23	received some comments to possibly move the move
24	IBC 2021. The-bedroom count of each unit did not	24	the line of the roof terrace back. This was prior
25	change from the previous presentation. Unit 1 is	25	to the July 11th meeting. When we received those
	\mathbf{D}_{2} and 10		Daga 20
	Page 19		Page 20
1	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that
2	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our
2 3	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online?
2 3 4	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we
2 3 4 5	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments
2 3 4 5 6	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we
2 3 4 5 6 7	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit you're presenting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally presented to the board on at the March meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit you're presenting? MR. MORENO: Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally presented to the board on at the March meeting. This is, here, we have featured now, this was we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit you're presenting? MR. MORENO: Yes, sir. MR. WINE: No, it's a I'm sorry,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally presented to the board on at the March meeting. This is, here, we have featured now, this was we came up with this design after some comments and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit you're presenting? MR. MORENO: Yes, sir. MR. WINE: No, it's a I'm sorry, so so, Martin, just to clarify, it's new as part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally presented to the board on at the March meeting. This is, here, we have featured now, this was we came up with this design after some comments and some meetings with the town and with the community,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit you're presenting? MR. MORENO: Yes, sir. MR. WINE: No, it's a I'm sorry, so so, Martin, just to clarify, it's new as part of the package, but the package was submitted more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally presented to the board on at the March meeting. This is, here, we have featured now, this was we came up with this design after some comments and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit you're presenting? MR. MORENO: Yes, sir. MR. WINE: No, it's a I'm sorry, so so, Martin, just to clarify, it's new as part of the package, but the package was submitted more than 10 days ago. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally presented to the board on at the March meeting. This is, here, we have featured now, this was we came up with this design after some comments and some meetings with the town and with the community, to be a much more from an aesthetic standpoint, a much more suitable front elevation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit you're presenting? MR. MORENO: Yes, sir. MR. WINE: No, it's a I'm sorry, so so, Martin, just to clarify, it's new as part of the package, but the package was submitted more than 10 days ago. Right? MR. MORENO: Yes, I what I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally presented to the board on at the March meeting. This is, here, we have featured now, this was we came up with this design after some comments and some meetings with the town and with the community, to be a much more from an aesthetic standpoint, a much more suitable front elevation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit you're presenting? MR. MORENO: Yes, sir. MR. WINE: No, it's a I'm sorry, so so, Martin, just to clarify, it's new as part of the package, but the package was submitted more than 10 days ago. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally presented to the board on at the March meeting. This is, here, we have featured now, this was we came up with this design after some comments and some meetings with the town and with the community, to be a much more from an aesthetic standpoint, a much more suitable front elevation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 comments, it was within the 10-day dead line, so we couldn't make those changes, but given that we were given a limb bit of time to revise the drawings and resubmit, we actually did go ahead and push the roof terrace line back. So, originally, in the July 11 submission, we had about 5 feet from the front parapet to the roofline, which now we have 15 feet. So we pushed it back about 10 feet for the roof terrace. Other features that are going to be included in the roof are a, sort of, ecoroof detail, with both stairs being accessible to the roof. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Moreno, let's put the breaks on here. So this is a new exhibit you're presenting? MR. MORENO: Yes, sir. MR. WINE: No, it's a I'm sorry, so so, Martin, just to clarify, it's new as part of the package, but the package was submitted more than 10 days ago. Right? MR. MORENO: Yes, I what I was referring to in regards to the setback of the roof 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So that change is reflected in our prints that are in our packet. Correct? And were available online? MR. MORENO: Correct. So when we received those when we received those comments prior to the July 11th meeting, at the time, we didn't have time to properly address it, but since we pushed the meeting to today, we had a little bit more time, and, therefore, it gave us the opportunity to go ahead and revise it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood. Go ahead, then, sir. A. Okay. So moving on to our elevations, now, these are the front facades. The facade that you see here on the left is what was originally presented to the board on at the March meeting. This is, here, we have featured now, this was we came up with this design after some comments and some meetings with the town and with the community, to be a much more from an aesthetic standpoint, a much more suitable front elevation. We did keep our, sort of, asymmetrical bay window design that we had previously proposed,

Pac	re	21

l

	Page 21		Page 22
1	sort of, cone-like structure, which is very	1	in line with that, and feature materials very
2	reminiscent of the Queen Anne rural style. That is	2	similar to what they had. Once I I will go back
3	something that the community was very keen on, that	3	to the color elevation in a minute, just so we can
4	we tried to do our best to match the Queen Anne	4	get a reference.
5	rural style, so we went ahead and added that, sort	5	Moving on to the other the other
6	of, cone-like feature. This feature is also	6	side of the building, once again, very similar
7	featured in another home just two doors down, which	7	features on the front facade, the railing, the
8	I will show.	8	bulkhead, our rear yard elevation. And then, just
9	Another feature we add to the front	9	a section of the building, to show the different
10	elevation was, rather than having a parapet, we	10	units, the stairs, and things like that.
11	added this, sort of, decorative gate. This gate	11	So moving on here, we have our street
12	just, kind of, helps better with lighting, with	12	study. Now, we didn't have a formal block study
13	shadows, it helps not be so imposing, in terms of	13	performed on this site, so all the information that
14	height. And just as an overall nicer feature.	14	we came up with was used by referencing different
15	This was also something that was recommended to us	15	resources, such as topographical surveys for both
16	by the community in one of our meetings.	16	124 and 128 Englewood, that were provided for us.
17	So moving on to our side elevation, as	17	We also used different flood elevation maps,
18	you can see, we have now have two bulkheads for	18	geological topographical maps of Jersey City that
19	both the secondary interior stairs, and then just	19	are found online. And we also did some on-site
20	very straightforward, just a revised front	20	measurements ourselves, just to get a good sense of
21	elevation with the porch, with the cone-like	21	the heights of the surrounding homes. So, this
22	feature, the railing. Here, we have the materials	22	way, you get a sense of the height of the building
23	that we're using. Some of the neighboring some	23	in relationship to its neighbors.
24	of the neighboring homes had colors such as green,	24	And for this, sort of, elevation
24	red, some like light yellow, so we decided to stay	25	facade progression, just to, sort of, go through
20	rea, some nike light yenow, so we decided to stay	2.5	lacade progression, just to, sort of, go unough
	Page 23		Page 24
1	Page 23	1	Page 24
1	it, on the very left is what we originally	1	And just to go back, once again, in
2	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with	2	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this
2 3	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very	2 3	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the
2 3 4	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before	2 3 4	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like
2 3 4 5	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some	2 3 4 5	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that
2 3 4 5 6	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up	2 3 4 5 6	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in
2 3 4 5 6 7	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it	2 3 4 5 6 7	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color
2 3 6 7 8 9	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received some comments from Councilman Boggiano's office,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just to confirm, if you can move to the site plan sheet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received some comments from Councilman Boggiano's office, which then we went ahead and revised the elevation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just to confirm, if you can move to the site plan sheet real quick, the the retaining wall that is or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received some comments from Councilman Boggiano's office, which then we went ahead and revised the elevation again to present to the community to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just to confirm, if you can move to the site plan sheet real quick, the the retaining wall that is or was in the front of the property, if you can zoom in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received some comments from Councilman Boggiano's office, which then we went ahead and revised the elevation again to present to the community to the community at the meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just to confirm, if you can move to the site plan sheet real quick, the the retaining wall that is or was in the front of the property, if you can zoom in a little bit, just to confirm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received some comments from Councilman Boggiano's office, which then we went ahead and revised the elevation again to present to the community to the community at the meeting. And after talks with the community and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just to confirm, if you can move to the site plan sheet real quick, the the retaining wall that is or was in the front of the property, if you can zoom in a little bit, just to confirm A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received some comments from Councilman Boggiano's office, which then we went ahead and revised the elevation again to present to the community to the community at the meeting. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just to confirm, if you can move to the site plan sheet real quick, the the retaining wall that is or was in the front of the property, if you can zoom in a little bit, just to confirm A. Yes. Q we agreed with the community and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received some comments from Councilman Boggiano's office, which then we went ahead and revised the elevation again to present to the community to the community at the meeting. And after talks with the community and some meetings, we went ahead and finalized our front elevation to what you see here, which is what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just to confirm, if you can move to the site plan sheet real quick, the the retaining wall that is or was in the front of the property, if you can zoom in a little bit, just to confirm A. Yes. Q we agreed with the community and I just want to make sure that you can put this on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received some comments from Councilman Boggiano's office, which then we went ahead and revised the elevation again to present to the community to the community at the meeting. And after talks with the community and some meetings, we went ahead and finalized our front elevation to what you see here, which is what we presented to you today. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just to confirm, if you can move to the site plan sheet real quick, the the retaining wall that is or was in the front of the property, if you can zoom in a little bit, just to confirm A. Yes. Q we agreed with the community and I just want to make sure that you can put this on record as well, for the board and the public to hear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it, on the very left is what we originally proposed. So this was originally submitted with our application back in August, before our very first before our very first hearing. Before we before our hearing in March, we received some comments from the from the town, to change up the look of the front facade, because they felt it was a little too modern. From there, we revised it to this, which was originally presented in that March meeting. After that March meeting, we revised it to this here, per Mr. Tim Krehel's comments. After this revision, before we met with the community for the first time, we received some comments from Councilman Boggiano's office, which then we went ahead and revised the elevation again to present to the community to the community at the meeting. And after talks with the community and some meetings, we went ahead and finalized our front elevation to what you see here, which is what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And just to go back, once again, in referencing the colors, as you can see here, this is what I was referring to, as some of the neighbors have some red, some yellows, things like that. As you see up here, you'll see that cone-like structure that I was referring to, in regards to the Queen Anne rural style. And just to cap it off and go back to the front page, once again, this is the color elevation of what our house will look like. And that pretty much concludes my presentation. Q. Thank you, Martin. I just had one follow-up question. I know it came up during the community meetings. Just to confirm, if you can move to the site plan sheet real quick, the the retaining wall that is or was in the front of the property, if you can zoom in a little bit, just to confirm A. Yes. Q we agreed with the community and I just want to make sure that you can put this on

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

	Page 25		Page 26
			Page 26
1	there, which initially had said to be repaired, but	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Tanya, are
2	was actually included in part of the demolition,	2	you comfortable taking one more thing and putting it
3	that would be replaced with a material and an	3	on your plate here? You're going to have to approve
4	aesthetic that would be run by city planning before	4	that wall without our
5	being installed. Is that correct?	5	MS. MARIONE: My preference is that
6	A. Correct.	6	sorry, Chairman. I didn't mean to cut you off. My
7	Q. Okay. Thank you. I just wanted to put	7	preference for that is a historic preservationist.
8	that out there.	8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, Counsel,
9	MR. WINE: So, Chairman, I have nothing	9	you would be okay with that, you would have to go
10	further for Mr. Moreno on direct, at this point.	10	through historic preservation on the rebuilding of
11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	11	the retaining wall?
12	Counsel.	12	MR. WINE: That would be the office; not
13	I don't, obviously, see any material	13	go to an HPC meeting.
14	listed on that stone wall repair. Can we talk about	14	MS. MARIONE: No, not HPC, just the
15	the material?	15	just the historic preservationist.
16	MR. MORENO: Well, something that we	16	MR. WINE: Sure, not a problem at all.
17	discussed about in the community meetings is	17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood.
18	looking at older photographs and finding materials	18	I was going to bring up the letter from Historic
19	that extremely resemble what was previously there, as	19	Preservation, that that was part of their original
20	well as possibly even trying to find old remnants of	20	findings, was that that wall would be retained. So I
21	the existing stone, and finding a material that	21	can cross that off of my list.
22	that properly resembles that as well. We're going to	22	Other than that, I have no questions, at
23	take any measure possible, as we mentioned, during	23	this time. Anybody else from the board?
24	the construction process, to make sure that whatever	24	COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No.
25	is rebuilt matches what was there before.	25	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,
	D		
	Page Z/		Page 28
1	Page 27 Mr. Moreno, Amreciate it	1	Page 28
1	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it.	1	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the
2	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you	2	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3.
2 3	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much.	2 3	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old
2 3 4	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just	2 3 4	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet
2 3 4 5	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us.	2 3 4 5	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my	2 3 4 5 6	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn	2 3 4 5 6 7	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. CAROLYN WORSTELL, having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N WO R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on the March 14th meeting. Can we just confirm that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application, and we now provide a conforming 36-foot rear yard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N WO R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application, and we now provide a conforming 36-foot rear yard setback. So that is no longer a variance necessary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on the March 14th meeting. Can we just confirm that your license is current tonight?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application, and we now provide a conforming 36-foot rear yard setback. So that is no longer a variance necessary for this application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on the March 14th meeting. Can we just confirm that your license is current tonight? MS. WORSTELL: It is current this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application, and we now provide a conforming 36-foot rear yard setback. So that is no longer a variance necessary for this application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N WO R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on the March 14th meeting. Can we just confirm that your license is current tonight? MS. WORSTELL: It is current this evening. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application, and we now provide a conforming 36-foot rear yard setback. So that is no longer a variance necessary for this application. Overall, as has been testified, you know, there was the only variance previously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on the March 14th meeting. Can we just confirm that your license is current tonight? MS. WORSTELL: It is current this evening. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application, and we now provide a conforming 36-foot rear yard setback. So that is no longer a variance necessary for this application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on the March 14th meeting. Can we just confirm that your license is current tonight? MS. WORSTELL: It is current this evening. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You're qualified. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application, and we now provide a conforming 36-foot rear yard setback. So that is no longer a variance necessary for this application. Overall, as has been testified, you know, there was the only variance previously requested. The new application has been revised to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N WO R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on the March 14th meeting. Can we just confirm that your license is current tonight? MS. WORSTELL: It is current this evening. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You're qualified. MS. WORSTELL: Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application, and we now provide a conforming 36-foot rear yard setback. So that is no longer a variance necessary for this application. Overall, as has been testified, you know, there was the only variance previously requested. The new application has been revised to address that and eliminate the need for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Mr. Moreno. Appreciate it. MR. MORENO: Thank you, sir. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: If you could, just stop that screen share for us. MR. WINE: All right. So, Chairman, my next and final witness I intend to call is Carolyn Worstell, our project planner. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. C A R O L Y N W O R S T E L L, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. WORSTELL: Carolyn Worstell, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N, W-O-R-S-T-E-L-L. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Worstell, good evening. I believe you were the planner of record on the March 14th meeting. Can we just confirm that your license is current tonight? MS. WORSTELL: It is current this evening. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you. You're qualified. MS. WORSTELL: Thank you. A. So I'm going to be very brief. As has 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to reiterate what Martin had said, this was the previous testimony related to changes in the R-3. When we submitted, we were still under the old standards, which basically required the 35 feet combined front and rear yard setback, plus an additional setback for deeper lots, which, when calculated for this lot, was a 31.1-foot rear yard setback. The project, at that time, proposed a conforming 31.1-foot rear yard setback. Obviously, there was the time of application; we were a couple of days late in submission, and so the new zoning requirements kicked in, which required that 25 percent of lot depth, which was the 35.9 feet. So that has, obviously, been corrected with this application, and we now provide a conforming 36-foot rear yard setback. So that is no longer a variance necessary for this application. Overall, as has been testified, you know, there was the only variance previously requested. The new application has been revised to address that and eliminate the need for that variance. You know, in addition, they have reduced

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

	Page 29		Page 30
1	But, overall, the changes here have	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Of course, Counsel.
2	both reduced the height and they have reduced	2	And one thing I do want to run by you, before we open
3	the the coverage of the site. We're well below	3	up public, usually, when we have a continuation like
4	the building coverage requirement, it's 45 percent	4	we did, with previous testimony taken, we limit
5	building coverage where 60 percent is permitted,	5	public comment to just the facts that were presented
6	and 68 percent lot coverage where 80 is permitted.	6	tonight. Given that this is a wildly different
7	So this project is conforming with all of the	7	application from the first time we heard this, I
8	requirements under the zone, and is even below some	8	don't know that we could handle that. I think we're
9	of the the maximums permitted by the code for	9	going to have to open up public and, you know, hear
10	the R-3 District. So the project is completely	10	everyone that raises their hand.
11	conforming, as it's presented this evening.	11	MR. WINE: Yeah, and, Chairman, the only
12	Q. All right. Thank you, Carolyn. And I	12	thing I would say to that is, obviously, we presented
13	think, really, that's all I wanted you to put on the	13	a reduced version. I know I'm usually a little bit
14	record. I have nothing further for you, at this	14	more thorough with going through having the
15	point.	15	project professionals go through the entire plan set.
16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	16	So the only thing I would say is, to the extent
17	you, Ms. Worstell. Yeah, I have no questions,	17	somebody from the public wants to talk about
18	either. Anybody else? Anybody else from the board?	18	something we didn't bring up, if it's okay if we
19	COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: No.	19	could just bring that up and address it, that's all.
20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood.
21	Ms. Worstell, I appreciate it.	21	All right. So, at this time, let's open
22	MR. WINE: So, Chairman, that would	22	it up for public comment. If anybody's here from the
23	conclude my presentation on direct. I'll, as I	23	public that wants to comment on this application,
24	always do, request the right to respond to any	24	please raise your hand. And if you're calling in,
25	comments and sum up at the end, if that's all right.	25	you can press star 9 to raise your hand.
	Page 31		Page 32
1		1	
1 2	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley.	1	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and
2	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be	2	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have
2 3	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards	2 3	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we
2 3 4	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first.	2 3 4	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if
2 3	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIA EDWARDS, having been	2 3	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help,
2 3 4 5	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIA EDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIA EDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a	2 3 4 5 6 7	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. I N D I A E D W A R D S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey	2 3 4 5 6	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the
2 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIAEDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful
2 3 6 7 8 9	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIA EDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. I N D I A E D W A R D S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIA EDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIAEDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. I N D I A E D W A R D S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIAEDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put back what he destroyed, and he should build a home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. I N D I A E D W A R D S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and Wilberforce University, and I have dedicated my life in service to others as a social worker for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIA EDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and Wilberforce University, and I have dedicated my life	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put back what he destroyed, and he should build a home that looks like those that stood there for the past
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIAEDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and Wilberforce University, and I have dedicated my life in service to others as a social worker for the Hudson County Welfare Board, Lutheran Home for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put back what he destroyed, and he should build a home that looks like those that stood there for the past 128 years. He should be on the right side, so it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIAEDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and Wilberforce University, and I have dedicated my life in service to others as a social worker for the Hudson County Welfare Board, Lutheran Home for the Aging, Jersey City Office of Aging, and served as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put back what he destroyed, and he should build a home that looks like those that stood there for the past 128 years. He should be on the right side, so it does not take away from the antiquity of the area,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIA EDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and Wilberforce University, and I have dedicated my life in service to others as a social worker for the Hudson County Welfare Board, Lutheran Home for the Aging, Jersey City Office of Aging, and served as a director of social services at Christ Hospital for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put back what he destroyed, and he should build a home that looks like those that stood there for the past 128 years. He should be on the right side, so it does not take away from the antiquity of the area, and take away the light and the rising of the sun and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. IN D I A E D W A R D S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and Wilberforce University, and I have dedicated my life in service to others as a social worker for the Hudson County Welfare Board, Lutheran Home for the Aging, Jersey City Office of Aging, and served as a director of social services at Christ Hospital for many years. In fact, I wrote a grant for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put back what he destroyed, and he should build a home that looks like those that stood there for the past 128 years. He should be on the right side, so it does not take away from the antiquity of the area, and take away the light and the rising of the sun and the moon that we have always enjoyed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. IN D I A E D W A R D S, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and Wilberforce University, and I have dedicated my life in service to others as a social worker for the Hudson County Welfare Board, Lutheran Home for the Aging, Jersey City Office of Aging, and served as a director of social services at Christ Hospital for many years. In fact, I wrote a grant for the coordinated Christ Hospital services for mental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put back what he destroyed, and he should build a home that looks like those that stood there for the past 128 years. He should be on the right side, so it does not take away from the antiquity of the area, and take away the light and the rising of the sun and the moon that we have always enjoyed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIAEDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and Wilberforce University, and I have dedicated my life in service to others as a social worker for the Hudson County Welfare Board, Lutheran Home for the Aging, Jersey City Office of Aging, and served as a director of social services at Christ Hospital for many years. In fact, I wrote a grant for the coordinated Christ Hospital services for mental health at Christ Hospital.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put back what he destroyed, and he should build a home that looks like those that stood there for the past 128 years. He should be on the right side, so it does not take away from the antiquity of the area, and take away the light and the rising of the sun and the moon that we have always enjoyed. I'm so so nervous. I think I have a right to be. Thank you so much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BLACK: Promoted George MG Riley. MR. RILEY: I am not going to be speaking first; my mother-in-law India N. Edwards will be speaking first. INDIA EDWARDS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. EDWARDS: I am India N. Edwards, a lifelong resident at 122 Glenwood Avenue in Jersey City. My name is I-N-D-I-A, Nicholson, N-I-C-H-O-L-S-O-N, Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Edwards, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. EDWARDS: Well, I have lived in Jersey I'm a graduate of Snyder High School and Wilberforce University, and I have dedicated my life in service to others as a social worker for the Hudson County Welfare Board, Lutheran Home for the Aging, Jersey City Office of Aging, and served as a director of social services at Christ Hospital for many years. In fact, I wrote a grant for the coordinated Christ Hospital services for mental health at Christ Hospital. And I've lived at Glenwood Avenue for 66	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Lockharts at 124, and the Watershines (ph) and Grainers (ph) at 130, and so on. We have always have had a very small neighborhood, and so small that we refer to one another as aunts and uncles, and if anyone was in trouble or needed any kind of help, everyone was there for each other, for the past years since I've lived there, 122. I it broke my heart when the bulldozer knocked down next door. It had beautiful trees, and lovely bushes, and a lovely garden. In fact, I planted trees. It really brought tears to my heart, and now I'm sick at heart about the developer's plan. The developer should build a home for the one family, and not for four. He should put back what he destroyed, and he should build a home that looks like those that stood there for the past 128 years. He should be on the right side, so it does not take away from the antiquity of the area, and take away the light and the rising of the sun and the moon that we have always enjoyed. I'm so so nervous. I think I have a right to be. Thank you so much. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

	Page 33		Page 34
1	while we have you up?	1	of life. When I say "our quality of life," I'm
2	MR. RILEY: No, my sister-in-law, India	2	speaking not only for my family, but also for my
3	Thybulle, is going to speak.	3	neighbors, good citizens who have lived in homes, not
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Are they	4	multi-residential units, for years, if not decades.
5	is your sister-in-law in the room with you right now?	5	The 124 Holdings developer, SM Sugar,
6	MS. THYBULLE: Okay. Why don't we hear	6	has placed the quality of our lives of our
7	those comments, then, while we have you on camera.	7	individual and collective lives in jeopardy, and
8	INDIA THYBULLE, having been	8	stirred up anger and resentment, emotions that are
9	duly sworn, testified as follows:	9	difficult to contain. What the developer's proposing
10	MS. THYBULLE: India E. Thybulle,	10	to do is unfair and unjust to us, the people who live
11	I-N-D-I-A, E, T-H-Y-B-U-L-L-E, my address is 122	11	here.
12	Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey.	12	The City of Jersey City has allowed him
13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Thybulle, good	13	and other developers to build to excess. 124
14	evening. We have three minutes for you.	14	Holdings is a case in point. Four floors are
15	MS. THYBULLE: Yes, thank you.	15	excessive. Four floors tower over us at 122. Four
16	Yes, good evening, and thank you for	16	floors remove what we had, access to views of the sky
17	your attention to my concerns. I lived at 122	17	and sunlight on the west side of our home.
18	Glenwood Avenue during my school years, leaving when	18	From the early days, the homes and
19	I got married, and returning only recently. I	19	properties from 122 to 130 Glenwood have offered
20	appreciate the beauty and power of neighborhood and	20	little respite on Glenwood have offered a little
21	community, things that my family and I enjoyed for	21	respite on Glenwood. Houses set back, up and away
22	many years.	22	from the street, originally single-family homes, they
23	The recent changes in zoning are	23	created a neighborhood within a neighborhood, where
24	alarming, and have enabled developers to change our	24	families knew and helped one another.
25	neighborhood for the worse, threatening our quality	25	What has been proposed at 124, the
	Page 35		Page 36
1	multilevel residential monstrosity, does not fit in	1	family whose water line was damaged by a contractor
2	with our little neighborhood. Aesthetically, it	2	working for the developer, SMS. Counsel Wine had
3	would stand as an ugly and most unwelcomed scar.	3	stated:
4	Practically, it would mean more density, more people,	4	I tell all my clients, whether or not
5	more noise, less light, less parking, and ultimately	5	the court discusses their application, or another
6	less quality of life.	6	board in another municipality, that your job as a
7	Thank you.	7	developer is to be a good neighbor. It is incumbent
8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	8	upon you. Unless you are somebody who plans to
9	you, ma'am. We appreciate your time.	9	develop one development and escape to another
10	MS. THYBULLE: You're welcome. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin	10	country, I presume you want to be a good neighbor, so
11	WIR. DLACK. FIOHIORU INAHIAH AHU SHIFIH	11	I do always advise my clients, and I will do so now
1.2	MacCormack	1 1 2	on the record and then behind the scenes as well
12 13	MacCormack.	12	on the record, and then behind the scenes as well.
13	NATHAN MacCORMACK,	13	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a
13 14	NATHAN MacCORMACK, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	13 14	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the
13 14 15	NATHAN MacCORMACK, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack,	13 14 15	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have
13 14 15 16	NATHAN MacCORMACK, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack, N-A-T-H-A-N, MacCormack is M-a-c-C-O-R-M-A-C-K, 130	13 14 15 16	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have literally destroyed the landscape of a section of our
13 14 15	NATHAN MacCORMACK, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack, N-A-T-H-A-N, MacCormack is M-a-c-C-O-R-M-A-C-K, 130 Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City.	13 14 15 16 17	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have literally destroyed the landscape of a section of our enclave, in our historic of our historic homes, by
13 14 15 16 17 18	N A T H A N M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack, N-A-T-H-A-N, MacCormack is M-a-c-C-O-R-M-A-C-K, 130 Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. MacCormack, good	13 14 15 16 17 18	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have literally destroyed the landscape of a section of our enclave, in our historic of our historic homes, by razing the house at 124 Glenwood, as well as the
13 14 15 16 17	N A T H A N M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack, N-A-T-H-A-N, MacCormack is M-a-c-C-O-R-M-A-C-K, 130 Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you, sir.	13 14 15 16 17	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have literally destroyed the landscape of a section of our enclave, in our historic of our historic homes, by razing the house at 124 Glenwood, as well as the historic 130-year-old wall that Counsel Wine promised
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	N A T H A N M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack, N-A-T-H-A-N, MacCormack is M-a-c-C-O-R-M-A-C-K, 130 Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. MacCormack, good	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have literally destroyed the landscape of a section of our enclave, in our historic of our historic homes, by razing the house at 124 Glenwood, as well as the historic 130-year-old wall that Counsel Wine promised on the record to preserve. And to correct Counsel
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	N A T H A N M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack, N-A-T-H-A-N, MacCormack is M-a-c-C-O-R-M-A-C-K, 130 Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you, sir. MR. MacCORMACK: Thank you, Chairman.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have literally destroyed the landscape of a section of our enclave, in our historic of our historic homes, by razing the house at 124 Glenwood, as well as the historic 130-year-old wall that Counsel Wine promised
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NATHAN MacCORMACK, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack, N-A-T-H-A-N, MacCormack is M-a-c-C-O-R-M-A-C-K, 130 Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you, sir. MR. MacCORMACK: Thank you, Chairman. I would like to thank the planning for	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have literally destroyed the landscape of a section of our enclave, in our historic of our historic homes, by razing the house at 124 Glenwood, as well as the historic 130-year-old wall that Counsel Wine promised on the record to preserve. And to correct Counsel Wine, he did not state he would repair the wall; he
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NATHAN MacCORMACK, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack, N-A-T-H-A-N, MacCormack is M-a-c-C-O-R-M-A-C-K, 130 Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you, sir. MR. MacCORMACK: Thank you, Chairman. I would like to thank the planning for taking the time to listen to the community	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have literally destroyed the landscape of a section of our enclave, in our historic of our historic homes, by razing the house at 124 Glenwood, as well as the historic 130-year-old wall that Counsel Wine promised on the record to preserve. And to correct Counsel Wine, he did not state he would repair the wall; he stated he would preserve the wall. As well in our
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	N A T H A N M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. MacCORMACK: Nathan MacCormack, N-A-T-H-A-N, MacCormack is M-a-c-C-O-R-M-A-C-K, 130 Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you, sir. MR. MacCORMACK: Thank you, Chairman. I would like to thank the planning for taking the time to listen to the community surrounding the block of Glenwood Avenue. At the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	To say that we are skeptical of SMS as a developer is an understatement. Not only did the developer not make anything whole, they have literally destroyed the landscape of a section of our enclave, in our historic of our historic homes, by razing the house at 124 Glenwood, as well as the historic 130-year-old wall that Counsel Wine promised on the record to preserve. And to correct Counsel Wine, he did not state he would repair the wall; he stated he would preserve the wall. As well in our community meeting, he agreed that the community would

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

	Page 37		Page 38
1	full of residents that the community would agree to	1	enclave was situated south of Montgomery, instead of
2	the materials to replace and repair the wall.	2	one block outside of the Historic District, our
3	So it was clear at the March 14th	3	enclave would absolutely qualify for historic
4	meeting that the residents of Glenwood Avenue who	4	district designation
5	spoke on the record oppose the demolition of the	5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. MacCormack, I'm
6	house of 124. Our understanding was that we were	6	sorry, sir, that is your three minutes.
7	actually able to protest the demolition of the home,	7	MR. MacCORMACK: Thank you, Chairman.
8	and that the meeting was tabled while the planning	8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you. We
9	board determined if this was, indeed, the best route.	9	appreciate your time.
10	And while I would like to only be upset	10	MS. MacCORMACK: I'd like to speak in a
11	with the developer for the demolition of 124	11	little bit.
12	Glenwood, I have to wonder why it was razed, when the	12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood.
13	board clearly new that the residents felt it was	13	Thank you.
14	historic and disagreed with the findings of historic	14	MR. BLACK: Promoted Eric Blair Carter.
15	preservation. We were led to believe that this	15	All right. They are either not seeing
16	demolition would not take place after the March 14th	16	their promotion or declining okay. Now, they've
17	meeting.	17	joined.
18	So whatever the internal processes are	18	ERIC CARTER, having been duly
19	involved, when the community shows an outpouring of	19	sworn, testified as follows:
20	support to preserve the history, but the developer	20	MR. CARTER: I'm Eric Blair Carter, that
21	can move ahead anyway, what are we residents left to	21	is E-R-I-C, B-L-A-I-R, C-A-R-T-E-R, and I live at 159
22	think about the process? Why wasn't this conveyed to	22	Glenwood Avenue.
23	the building department who granted the permit to	23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Carter, good
24	demo this house that it needs to be put on hold?	24	evening. We have three minutes for you.
25	You know, make no mistake, if our	25	MR. CARTER: Okay. And I'm not going to
	Page 39		Page 40
1	need that long.	1	deal with the additional noise, as we put rooftop

Page 3	39
--------	----

1	need that long.	1	deal with the additional noise, as we put rooftop
2	First of all, planning board, thank you,	2	terraces on. My neighbors are suffering, because
3	once again, for listening to us as a community.	3	their light will be taken. Our quality of life will
4	Thank you, Mr. Wine, for listening to us. We	4	all be changed, and none of us want it.
5	appreciate the changes that you've made, but the one	5	Thank you.
6	change that has not been made, after repeated	6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right, thank
7	conversations, is really the density. Here on	7	you, Mr. Carter. We appreciate your time.
8	Glenwood Avenue, we are a small street that's made up	8	MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathalie Kalbach.
9	mostly of one- and two-family homes. There are a few	9	NATHALIE KALBACH, having
10	apartment buildings at the top of the street.	10	been duly sworn, testified as follows:
11	What's happened with this property is	11	MR. CARTER: My name is Nathalie
12	that it sits right in the middle of a historic parade	12	Kalbach, N-A-T-H-A-L-I-E, Kalbach, K-A-L-B-A-C-H.
13	of houses, which is one of the reasons that I bought	13	Home address is 19 Bentley Avenue.
14	my house at 159. I'm not part of that, but the	14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Kalbach, good
15	aesthetic of that little parade was so lovely and	15	evening. We have three minutes for you.
16	felt so special, when one of the buildings was	16	MR. CARTER: Yes, hello. Today, I speak
17	destroyed is when I got involved. And I thank you,	17	as part of the Oral History Committee of the
18	because it also got me gave me a chance to know my	18	Landmarks Conservancy. The houses on 120 to 130
19	neighbors a little better, as we all united in this	19	Glenwood Avenue, unquestionably, have architectural
20	fight.	20	value, but I want to highlight their cultural and
21	The one thing that I'm appealing to is,	21	historical significance.
22	please, if you could, think about the density; think	22	At 124 Glenwood, sadly demolished, Manny
23	about what it does to a small street like this. When	23	Fisher (ph) resided from 1950 to '72. During his
24	you add three and four-bedroom apartments, we have to	24	tenure, he left a mark on our city's history by
25	find parking for all of those people. We have to	25	serving on the boards of the Jersey City Red Cross

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Page	42
------	----

	Page 41		Page 42
1	and Vitier Haas (ph) Boys Club, helping to found the	1	movement. Mrs. Edwards's commitment to her community
2	city's public health nursing service, and becoming a	2	extended to her role as the director of social
3	respected member of the JC Human Rights Commission.	3	services of Christ Hospital until her retirement at
4	Moving to 120 Glenwood Avenue, the year	4	the age of 76. Notably, she cared for her neighbor,
5	1920 saw the rival of Ella Barksdale and her husband,	5	Ms. Ella Barksdale, until Ms. Barksdale passed away
6	John Brown. They were pivotal figures in the civil	6	in '66.
7	rights movement supporting Dr. Canon's efforts when	7	Let me mention that Ms. India Edwards
8	he founded the committee of 100 to combat racism and	8	will be honored at a special screening of her life's
9	racial barriers. Additionally, they were	9	journey at City Hall in August. This event serves as
10	instrumental in founding the city's first black-owned	10	a testament to her enduring impact her life had, and
11	bank, and actively participated in antilynching	11	still has, on our city's history and culture. The
12	protests. Mrs. Barksdale even contributed to the	12	remaining buildings should be individually landmarked
13	Jersey Journal, advocating for issues related to the	13	as they continue to tell the story of this quaint,
14	black community, and introduced the study of	14	little enclave, and its residents deep personal,
15	African-American history to the city's public	15	cultural, and emotional bonds. The African-American
16	schools.	16	history of the city is deeply entwined with the
17	You just met Ms. India Edwards of 122	17	remaining houses, and it would be a shame to have the
18	Glenwood Avenue, who, at the age of 104 years, has	18	characteristics destroyed further.
19	been living in her home since 1957. Her family's	19	In conclusion, I implore you to ask the
20	history is deeply intertwined with the civil rights	20	developer to create a project that not only respects
21	movement, and her grandfather, who was once enslaved,	21	the historic fabric of this community, but also adds
22	ensured her access to a university education. The	22	value to it, especially when it comes to its proposed
23	Edwards family was closely associated with civil	23	scale, and height in comparison to the other
23	rights lawyer Raymond A. Brown, and they actively	24	buildings. Let's preserve what remains of our
24	participated in the NAACP and the civil rights	25	history of our city's history, and ensure that any
2.5	participated in the NAACP and the civil rights	2.5	instory of our city's instory, and ensure that any
	Page 43		Page 44
-	Page 43		Page 44
1	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry.	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You
2	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up.
2 3	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration.	2 3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering
2 3 4	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you,	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of
2 3 4 5	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it.	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is
2 3 4 5 6	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this
2 3 4 5 6 7	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city planning meeting, I've always wondered if the issue 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold 15, 20, 50, 100 people. This is what I never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city planning meeting, I've always wondered if the issue of density should not be considered [inaudible] it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold 15, 20, 50, 100 people. This is what I never understood. Why is it that we always irrespective
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city planning meeting, I've always wondered if the issue of density should not be considered [inaudible] it puts dozens of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold 15, 20, 50, 100 people. This is what I never understood. Why is it that we always irrespective of zoning issue, because if the zoning program allows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city planning meeting, I've always wondered if the issue of density should not be considered [inaudible] it puts dozens of CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold 15, 20, 50, 100 people. This is what I never understood. Why is it that we always irrespective of zoning issue, because if the zoning program allows for such a density, my understanding is it goes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city planning meeting, I've always wondered if the issue of density should not be considered [inaudible] it puts dozens of CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, your screen froze up there. We didn't hear your comments. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold 15, 20, 50, 100 people. This is what I never understood. Why is it that we always irrespective of zoning issue, because if the zoning program allows for such a density, my understanding is it goes against the statute, because the city planner is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city planning meeting, I've always wondered if the issue of density should not be considered [inaudible] it puts dozens of CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, your screen froze up there. We didn't hear your comments. I am going to start your timer again, and if you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold 15, 20, 50, 100 people. This is what I never understood. Why is it that we always irrespective of zoning issue, because if the zoning program allows for such a density, my understanding is it goes against the statute, because the city planner is supposed to be caring for density pollution. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city planning meeting, I've always wondered if the issue of density should not be considered [inaudible] it puts dozens of CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, your screen froze up there. We didn't hear your comments. I am going to start your timer again, and if you could start from the beginning. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold 15, 20, 50, 100 people. This is what I never understood. Why is it that we always irrespective of zoning issue, because if the zoning program allows for such a density, my understanding is it goes against the statute, because the city planner is supposed to be caring for density pollution. That's what I read, at least, unless I misread it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city planning meeting, I've always wondered if the issue of density should not be considered [inaudible] it puts dozens of CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, your screen froze up there. We didn't hear your comments. I am going to start your timer again, and if you could start from the beginning. MR. STIFELMAN: Thank you. Can you hear 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold 15, 20, 50, 100 people. This is what I never understood. Why is it that we always irrespective of zoning issue, because if the zoning program allows for such a density, my understanding is it goes against the statute, because the city planner is supposed to be caring for density pollution. That's what I read, at least, unless I misread it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 new developments contribute to its unique tapestry. Thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Ms. Kalbach, we appreciate it. MR. BLACK: Promoted Sergio. S E R G I O S T I F E L M A N, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. STIFELMAN: Sergio, S-E-R-G-I-O, Stifelman, S-T-I-F-E-L-M-A-N, 419 Fairmount Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, thank you. I don't know if this is the right moment to raise this issue, but since this is a city planning meeting, I've always wondered if the issue of density should not be considered [inaudible] it puts dozens of CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Stifelman, your screen froze up there. We didn't hear your comments. I am going to start your timer again, and if you could start from the beginning. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We can, yes. You just froze up. MR. STIFELMAN: Yes, I've been wondering for a long time now, why is it that the issue of density, which has been addressed here tonight, is not the first item on any agenda. I consider this density issue to be at the top of the pyramid. I mean, the bigger it is, the more destructive it's going to be downwards. And when I see a building like this going up, the first thing that comes to my mind is not even aesthetics or historical issues, which are extremely important, it's that what is it, from a city planning point of view, can be said about not one by several areas in the city where one family lived, three, four, five people, now is going to hold 15, 20, 50, 100 people. This is what I never understood. Why is it that we always irrespective of zoning issue, because if the zoning program allows for such a density, my understanding is it goes against the statute, because the city planner is supposed to be caring for density pollution. That's what I read, at least, unless I misread it.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

Page	45	

	Page 45		Page 46
1	address. I mean, you can do that, meaning the	1	MR. BLACK: Promoted George.
2	developer and everybody else, because that's what you	2	MS. RILEY: Sondra, S-O-N-D-R-A, Riley,
3	do. From our point of view, we have to hire an	3	R-I-L-E-Y, 122 Glenwood Avenue, Jersey City, New
4	architect and a city planner to discuss these other	4	Jersey.
5	details. And I feel impotent before all this. Quite	5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. Riley, good
6	frankly, again, I refuse to discuss details because	6	evening. We have three minutes for you.
7	it feels like I'm engaging in something as if an	7	MS. RILEY: Thank you.
8	invader had come to my land, and I'm discussing the	8	I'm speaking on behalf of my entire
9	terms of the invasion of the invasion itself.	9	family when I say that we object strongly to the
10	And this is all I have to say. I've	10	plans for 124, and wish to restate our request for a
11	been saying this for a long time now, to Matt, to	11	smaller building. While it may be legally correct
12	Tanya, whoever I meet, any builder, any meetings, any	12	for the R-3 multi-family mid-rise district, to which
13	gatherings, everything falls on that fears, simply	13	we also object, the proposed building is not an
14	because the idea is it's going to be built no matter	14	appropriate land use, and will not promote the
15	what, it's just a matter of a few adjustments, and,	15	health, safety, or general welfare of our area. The
16	of course, maybe not in this case, the variances,	16	size, scale, height, length, and width of the 124
17	which are forgiven or whatever, negotiated, and this	17	Holdings multi-residential unit are out of character,
18	or that.	18	out of alignment, and incompatible with our historic
19	So this is my view, and thanks for	19	homes, the unique and lovely string of Mayhew Terrace
20	listening, and thanks for the opportunity. And if	20	Cottages built in 1895. This unit will not promote a
21	this is not the place or forum to raise this issue,	21	desirable visual environment because of this
22	please forgive me, but I think at least I should try.	22	misalignment.
23	Thank you.	23	The proposed building, comprising 6,800
24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	24	square feet with 15 bedrooms, we view as a cruise
25	you, Mr. Stifelman. We appreciate your time.	25	ship. It will dwarf our homes, which are about 1,600
	Page 47		Page 48
1		1	_
1	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank
2	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding	1 2 3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time.
2 3	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place.	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address
2 3 4	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the	2 3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to
2 3	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122,	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went.
2 3 4 5	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy
2 3 4 5 6	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122,	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went.
2 3 4 5 6 7	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait
2 3 5 6 7 8	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy – I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite. Beyond increasing density on the block, the proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I don't know if Mr. Riley wanted thank you. All
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite. Beyond increasing density on the block, the proposed unit would hamper the free flow of traffic, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I don't know if Mr. Riley wanted thank you. All right. I appreciate it. We see your hand. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite. Beyond increasing density on the block, the proposed unit would hamper the free flow of traffic, and result in congestion and blight. It will place a further strain on the already difficult parking situation on Glenwood. There is a finite amount of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I don't know if Mr. Riley wanted thank you. All right. I appreciate it. We see your hand. And let's move on to Chris Perez, and we'll come back to Mr. Riley. CHRISTOPHER PEREZ,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite. Beyond increasing density on the block, the proposed unit would hamper the free flow of traffic, and result in congestion and blight. It will place a further strain on the already difficult parking situation on Glenwood. There is a finite amount of space available to us all. Residents already have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I don't know if Mr. Riley wanted thank you. All right. I appreciate it. We see your hand. And let's move on to Chris Perez, and we'll come back to Mr. Riley. CHRISTOPHER PEREZ, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite. Beyond increasing density on the block, the proposed unit would hamper the free flow of traffic, and result in congestion and blight. It will place a further strain on the already difficult parking situation on Glenwood. There is a finite amount of space available to us all. Residents already have to jockey for available parking spots. How in the world	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I don't know if Mr. Riley wanted thank you. All right. I appreciate it. We see your hand. And let's move on to Chris Perez, and we'll come back to Mr. Riley. CHRISTOPHER PEREZ, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. PEREZ: Christopher Perez,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite. Beyond increasing density on the block, the proposed unit would hamper the free flow of traffic, and result in congestion and blight. It will place a further strain on the already difficult parking situation on Glenwood. There is a finite amount of space available to us all. Residents already have to jockey for available parking spots. How in the world can Glenwood Avenue accommodate more cars?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I don't know if Mr. Riley wanted thank you. All right. I appreciate it. We see your hand. And let's move on to Chris Perez, and we'll come back to Mr. Riley. CHRISTOPHER PEREZ, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. PEREZ: Christopher Perez, P-E-R-E-Z, 185 Carteret Avenue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite. Beyond increasing density on the block, the proposed unit would hamper the free flow of traffic, and result in congestion and blight. It will place a further strain on the already difficult parking situation on Glenwood. There is a finite amount of space available to us all. Residents already have to jockey for available parking spots. How in the world can Glenwood Avenue accommodate more cars? These are quality of life issues, and if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I don't know if Mr. Riley wanted thank you. All right. I appreciate it. We see your hand. And let's move on to Chris Perez, and we'll come back to Mr. Riley. CHRISTOPHER PEREZ, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. PEREZ: Christopher Perez, P-E-R-E-Z, 185 Carteret Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Perez, good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite. Beyond increasing density on the block, the proposed unit would hamper the free flow of traffic, and result in congestion and blight. It will place a further strain on the already difficult parking situation on Glenwood. There is a finite amount of space available to us all. Residents already have to jockey for available parking spots. How in the world can Glenwood Avenue accommodate more cars? These are quality of life issues, and if approved, this project will have a deleterious and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I don't know if Mr. Riley wanted thank you. All right. I appreciate it. We see your hand. And let's move on to Chris Perez, and we'll come back to Mr. Riley. CHRISTOPHER PEREZ, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. PEREZ: Christopher Perez, P-E-R-E-Z, 185 Carteret Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Perez, good evening. Obviously, you know, you've been here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	square feet with three bedrooms. What's more, the proposed height is inconsistent with the surrounding neighborhood and would be out of place. It will have a detrimental effect on the neighborhood, and on us, specifically, at 122, because it will block our sunlight, shrouding our home in darkness during the afternoon hours, not only constricting our access to adequate light, but also to air and open space. Rather than promoting the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that contribute to the wellbeing of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and preservation of the environment, it will do just the opposite. Beyond increasing density on the block, the proposed unit would hamper the free flow of traffic, and result in congestion and blight. It will place a further strain on the already difficult parking situation on Glenwood. There is a finite amount of space available to us all. Residents already have to jockey for available parking spots. How in the world can Glenwood Avenue accommodate more cars? These are quality of life issues, and if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Riley. We appreciate your time. Counsel, do you want to address Ms. Riley's testimony? I think she was referring to a different building, as far as the density went. MR. WINE: Yeah, I'm happy I'm happy to do that. I wanted to know if you wanted to wait to hear from anybody else. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: That's fine. We can do that. We can continue on with public comment. MR. BLACK: Promoted Chris Perez. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Cam, I do think that I may have cut Mr. Riley off. I think I don't know if Mr. Riley wanted thank you. All right. I appreciate it. We see your hand. And let's move on to Chris Perez, and we'll come back to Mr. Riley. CHRISTOPHER PEREZ, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. PEREZ: Christopher Perez, P-E-R-E-Z, 185 Carteret Avenue. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Perez, good

	Page 49		Page 50
1	Good evening, everyone. This is Chris	1	significance reads:
2	Perez. I'm the president of the Jersey City	2	The block of Glenwood Avenue between
3	Landmarks Conservancy.	3	West Side and JFK had a large, intact historic
4	I just wanted to go into a little bit of	4	streetscape with few modern intrusions. Staff
5	context on this matter. This little stretch of homes	5	recommends that any new construction be compatible
6	on Glenwood is special, and deserving of attention	6	with the scale, form, setbacks, heights, and historic
7	and care to preserve them and their relation to each	7	character of the neighborhood, and that the stone
8	other.	8	wall fronting the row of Queen Anne style houses
9	In 1895, these six Queen Anne homes,	9	should be retained as part of the historic
10	called the Mayhew Terrace Cottages, were built by	10	streetscape.
11	William W. Coffin. He was a manager of the Globe	11	This is an unfortunate situation that
12	Woolen Company in Utica, New York. He had so much	12	was overlooked. We understand that the Jersey City
13	faith in this area that he quit his job and bought	13	Planning Department has a lot on their plate, and
14	these lots in 1894; moved into the first house he	14	that sometimes zoning changes may accidentally
15	built on Glenwood to oversee these six houses being	15	overlook sections and pieces of history that are not
16	built. The houses were shaped by the elevated	16	known to the city and the administration. This is an
17	terrace that they were built on, creating a grand row	17	opportunity for the JCLC, residents, and
18	of buildings. These houses relate to each other and	18	stakeholders, to build a stronger relationship with
19	tell a story. None of them should have ever been	19	the city, to avoid these types of situations from
20	demolished. The Mayhew Terrace Cottages also house	20	occurring again.
21	many families that are part of a rich cultural	21	The JCLC is working actively, engaging
22	history of activism, as you just heard.	22	as possible, in the process. We have started that
23	These houses should have been landmarked	23	journey by initiating efforts to foster and improve
24	as a set for their architectural, historical, and	24	relationship with the Historic Preservation office;
25	cultural significance. The determination of	25	that is going well, and we hope to grow into a true
	Page 51		Page 52
1	_	1	-
1 2	partnership. The JCLC has a wealth of resources	1	Jersey City.
2	supported by a volunteer board and committee members.	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Riley, good
4	These resources are academic, and include access to individuals with a wealth of knowledge about their	4	evening. It's good to finally see you on the screen. And we have three minutes for you, sir.
5	blocks, neighborhood, and city, that would prove	5	MR. RILEY: Thank you.
6	helpful when making decisions about zoning,	6	Thank you for your time, commissioners.
7	demolitions, and the determination of significance	7	It's been alluded to, all of the factuals of the
8	process.	8	building, density, and so on and so forth. I just
9	We appreciate the developers' attempt to	9	looked at the drawings, you know, I mean, and been
10	make good on the issue that has arisen from this	10	looking at the drawings that the developer has
11	historic oversight; however, we ask that the board	11	submitted, and looking at them, they're measuring
12	take into account the community feedback, and the	12	they're talking about their building is 81.9 feet
13	city's own determination of significance, and	13	long. That is 30 feet longer than our house. Which
14	encourage the developer to rebuild the damaged wall	14	means that, when the sun does go on the western side,
15	to its historic look and feel, and build a home that	15	we will lose all sunlight, including half of our
16	is to the scale and style that relates to the	16	backyard. And the drawing on page 106, which is the
17	remaining homes, again, as suggested by the	17	front of the building, showing the streetscape, their
18	determination of significance.	18	drawing shows that it's still taller than our house.
19	Thank you.	19	And if you look at the the way that the houses
20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	20	have been in scale, each house, stepping down, was
21	you, Mr. Perez. We appreciate it.	21	lower than the one next to it, but looking at his
22	G E O R G E R I L E Y, having been	22	drawing, his house is going to dwarf ours not only in
23	duly sworn, testified as follows:	23	length, but also in height, further ensuring that we
24	MR. RILEY: George E. Riley, Jr.,	24	do not have any sunlight.
25	G-E-O-R-G-E, E, R-I-L-E-Y, J-R, 122 Glenwood Avenue,	25	And I think that's just a travesty, even

	Page 53		Page 54
1	though it is as of right. And I just have a	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We generally have a
2	question, even though this may not be the proper	2	time limit for public speakers; our elected
3	meeting, but they're submitting 128 Glenwood as a	3	officials, we do afford the privilege or courtesy,
4	smaller scale; you know, instead of 15 bedrooms,	4	I should say you know, that we'll hear your
5	they're looking at 11 bedrooms. I don't see why they	5	statement without a time limit. But, you know, just
6	can't do the same thing for 124, if they want to be a	6	give
7	good neighbor.	7	MR. O'DEA: I'll try to keep it to three
8	And with that, I'm complete. Thank you	8	minutes. I think my I think my comments, though
9	very much.	9	not normally, will be brief this evening.
10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.
11	you, Mr. Riley. We appreciate your time.	11	MR. O'DEA: I just want to I just
12	Is there anybody else here from public	12	want to support all the comments from the community.
13	that wants to comment on this application? If so,	13	I think they've made a compelling argument. I think
14	please raise your hand. And if you're calling in,	14	that all actions have consequences. The fact that
15	you can press star 9 to raise your hand.	15	that wall was allowed to be taken down was a knowing
16	MR. BLACK: Okay. Two more hands just	16	act on the part of the developer. It should have
17	went up.	17	consequences. The developer should build a building
18	Promoted William O'Dea.	18	to the scale of the other buildings there. The
19	WILLIAM O'DEA, having been	19	history is something that we need to preserve. If
20	duly sworn, testified as follows:	20	this was a city or a country in Europe, the building
21	MR. O'DEA: Commissioner William O'Dea,	21	never would have been demolished. We wouldn't be
22	51a Williams Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey.	22	having these type of discussions.
23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Commissioner O'Dea,	23	I understand the zoning has been done
24	always good to see you. We generally have a	24	for the site, it creates certain handcuffs or issues
25	MR. O'DEA: Always a pleasure, Chairman.	25	with you, but to the degree possible, I think that
		1	Dago 56
	Page 55		Page 56
1	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that	1	Tonight, I speak against the proposed
2	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of	2	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for
2 3	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of	2 3	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs
2 3 4	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something	2 3 4	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging
2 3 4 5	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if	2 3 4 5	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five
2 3 4 5 6	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do.	2 3 4 5 6	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square
2 3 4 5 6 7	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank	2 3 4 5 6 7	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. O'DEA: My pleasure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. O'DEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. O'DEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. OTDEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. SHIRIN MacCORMACK,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. O'DEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. SHIRIN M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the proposed building in relation to the surrounding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. O'DEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. SHIRIN MacCORMACK, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. MacCORMACK: My name is Shirin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the proposed building in relation to the surrounding homes. It says in small print at the bottom it's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. O'DEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. S H I R I N M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. MacCORMACK: My name is Shirin MacCormack, it's S-H-I-R-I-N, M-A-C-C-O-R-M-A-C-K.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the proposed building in relation to the surrounding homes. It says in small print at the bottom it's not to scale. It is not accurate. The numbers on it may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. OTDEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. SHIRIN M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. MacCORMACK: My name is Shirin MacCormack, it's S-H-I-R-I-N, M-A-C-C-O-R-M-A-C-K. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. MacCormack, good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the proposed building in relation to the surrounding homes. It says in small print at the bottom it's not to scale. It is not accurate. The numbers on it may be correct, but the visuals are completely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. O'DEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. S H I R I N M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. MacCORMACK: My name is Shirin MacCormack, it's S-H-I-R-I-N, M-A-C-C-O-R-M-A-C-K. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. MacCORMACK: Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to speak tonight. I'm a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the proposed building in relation to the surrounding homes. It says in small print at the bottom it's not to scale. It is not accurate. The numbers on it may be correct, but the visuals are completely misrepresenting the proposed building as much smaller
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. O'DEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. S H I R I N M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. MacCORMACK: My name is Shirin MacCormack, it's S-H-I-R-I-N, M-A-C-C-O-R-M-A-C-K. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. MacCORMACK: Good evening. Thank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the proposed building in relation to the surrounding homes. It says in small print at the bottom it's not to scale. It is not accurate. The numbers on it may be correct, but the visuals are completely misrepresenting the proposed building as much smaller than it actually would be in relation to nearby
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. ODEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. SHIRIN M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. MacCORMACK: My name is Shirin MacCormack, it's S-H-I-R-I-N, M-A-C-C-O-R-M-A-C-K. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. MacCORMACK: Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to speak tonight. I'm a proud New Jersey native, a taxpaying resident of Jersey City, my home for 14 years, and head of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the proposed building in relation to the surrounding homes. It says in small print at the bottom it's not to scale. It is not accurate. The numbers on it may be correct, but the visuals are completely misrepresenting the proposed building as much smaller than it actually would be in relation to nearby homes. Plans completely disregard the number one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. O'DEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. SHIRIN M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. MacCORMACK: My name is Shirin MacCormack, it's S-H-I-R-I-N, M-A-C-C-O-R-M-A-C-K. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. MacCORMACK: Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to speak tonight. I'm a proud New Jersey native, a taxpaying resident of Jersey City, my home for 14 years, and head of McGinley Square Community Board Neighborhood	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the proposed building in relation to the surrounding homes. It says in small print at the bottom it's not to scale. It is not accurate. The numbers on it may be correct, but the visuals are completely misrepresenting the proposed building as much smaller than it actually would be in relation to nearby homes. Plans completely disregard the number one priority in community feedback: We requested a smaller building.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ensuring the integrity and preservation of that neighborhood's historic clear history, not only of the city, but in many cases related to history of things like the civil rights movement, is something that I would ask this board please consider, if you're able to do. And that's all I have to say. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate your time. MR. ODEA: My pleasure. MR. BLACK: Promoted Nathan and Shirin MacCormack. SHIRIN M a c C O R M A C K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: MS. MacCORMACK: My name is Shirin MacCormack, it's S-H-I-R-I-N, M-A-C-C-O-R-M-A-C-K. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Ms. MacCormack, good evening. We have three minutes for you. MS. MacCORMACK: Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to speak tonight. I'm a proud New Jersey native, a taxpaying resident of Jersey City, my home for 14 years, and head of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Tonight, I speak against the proposed building at 124. The plans are way out of scale for massive infill that simply does not fit; it engulfs surrounding homes and further burdens aging infrastructure. We are talking about four to five times higher density than surroundings; 6,800 square feet versus 1,600; 15 bedrooms versus 3, a rear setback of 35 feet versus surrounding 79; four stories versus two and a half; four units versus one; a small, new-growth curbside tree planted to replace a soaring backyard treescape of 100-year-old groundwater mitigating backyard trees, on a block that has already experienced terrible flooding. Don't be fooled by the image showing the proposed building in relation to the surrounding homes. It says in small print at the bottom it's not to scale. It is not accurate. The numbers on it may be correct, but the visuals are completely misrepresenting the proposed building as much smaller than it actually would be in relation to nearby homes. Plans completely disregard the number one priority in community feedback: We requested a smaller building.

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

Page	58
Laye	50

	Page 57		Page 58
1	the scale and density of surrounding homes. JC	1	affordable or accessible units. It will create walls
2	Historic Preservation's guidelines and the R-3 Zoning	2	of buildings sandwiched right between our homes,
3	are at odds, because that zoning does not make sense	3	boxing us in, to the detriment of our wellbeing, in
4	for this property. Next to our homes, in the 120-130	4	terms of air, light, and open space. It'll deplete
5	Glenwood family of homes, that building is still	5	our quality of life and our experience of our home as
6	oppressively giant. Its height is inconsistent with	6	a quiet place.
7	surroundings, it's out of place, it's out of	7	Further, it destroys the historic
8	character, and it has a degrading detrimental effect	8	streetscape and damages the heritage of black and
9	on the neighborhood.	9	women's history, as is sadly so often the case. It's
10	The developer reluctantly but finally	10	bad enough that we lost a historic treasure of a
11	said, at our last community meeting, that there is,	11	home; let's at least ensure that infill doesn't do
12	quote, no benefit for the existing community. It	12	further damage to our significant architectural and
13	would be exclusively to our detriment. That should	13	sociocultural history.
14	be a red flag. Jersey City is our actual home, our	14	At the last meeting, Commissioner Lipski
15	sanctuary, while, to others, it is just an office for	15	said, $03/14$, that he was taught to leave a place
16	mass profit. Jersey City is our home. This is not a	16	better than you find it. Pam Andes wrote in an email
17	game of Tetris. This is not a Las Vegas weekend	17	to the developer: We have little faith in the
18	bender by tourists, leaving a wake of destruction	18	project. Tim Krehel said it needs to be taken
19	behind them. We care about our home. This is where	19	seriously how many people from the public are upset
20	we set down our roots, where we invested in the city,	20	about this. Frances Goldin famously said "We want
21	and we want to protect our investment, and our home's	21	urban renewal, but we want it to benefit the people,
22	values, and our wellbeing.	22	not victimize them." Our block lost a historic home,
23	The proposed building will create up to	23	and our ancestral family of homes should have been
24	30 more cars on the block where street parking's	24	saved. Let's please create something smaller
25	already a nightmare. It does not offer any	25	together that respects the space and the community,
	Page 59		Page 60
1		1	_
1	despite what was already lost.	1	more from public, I would like to close the public
2	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a	2	more from public, I would like to close the public portion.
2 3	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do	2 3	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second.
2 3 4	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware	2 3 4	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it.
2 3 4 5	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels	2 3 4 5	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it.
2 3 4 5 6	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an	2 3 4 5 6	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a
2 3 4 5 6 7	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge	2 3 4 5 6 7	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are possible if we work together, set the precedent,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall? MR. WINE: Yes, I did, and the way I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall? MR. WINE: Yes, I did, and the way I framed it, I Mr. MacCormack's correct, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are possible if we work together, set the precedent, create a legacy of meaningful growth. Please do not approve this tonight. We can do better.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall? MR. WINE: Yes, I did, and the way I framed it, I Mr. MacCormack's correct, I suggested and perhaps they could confirm this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are possible if we work together, set the precedent, create a legacy of meaningful growth. Please do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall? MR. WINE: Yes, I did, and the way I framed it, I Mr. MacCormack's correct, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are possible if we work together, set the precedent, create a legacy of meaningful growth. Please do not approve this tonight. We can do better. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall? MR. WINE: Yes, I did, and the way I framed it, I Mr. MacCormack's correct, I suggested and perhaps they could confirm this that the best way to do that, given that there are a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are possible if we work together, set the precedent, create a legacy of meaningful growth. Please do not approve this tonight. We can do better. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall? MR. WINE: Yes, I did, and the way I framed it, I Mr. MacCormack's correct, I suggested and perhaps they could confirm this that the best way to do that, given that there are a lot of voices in the room, are, as Ms. Marione said,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are possible if we work together, set the precedent, create a legacy of meaningful growth. Please do not approve this tonight. We can do better. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. MacCormack. We appreciate it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall? MR. WINE: Yes, I did, and the way I framed it, I Mr. MacCormack's correct, I suggested and perhaps they could confirm this that the best way to do that, given that there are a lot of voices in the room, are, as Ms. Marione said, through the through city planning; as she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are possible if we work together, set the precedent, create a legacy of meaningful growth. Please do not approve this tonight. We can do better. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. MacCormack. We appreciate it. All right. Is anybody else here from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall? MR. WINE: Yes, I did, and the way I framed it, I Mr. MacCormack's correct, I suggested and perhaps they could confirm this that the best way to do that, given that there are a lot of voices in the room, are, as Ms. Marione said, through the through city planning; as she indicated, she'd prefer through Historic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	despite what was already lost. I ask you, the board, to please go a little deeper in introspect tonight about people, do we want to plow down our neighbor and wash aware their history, or is there an alternative that feels more equitable, perhaps a smaller building, where an out-of-town developer could still make a huge project, and not at the expense of the wellbeing, history, and property value of longstanding, taxpaying, local resident community. Ask how you might feel if this was happening next door to you or next door to your grandmother. How could it be as of right if it feels wrong? We can both grow as a city, lift each other up, take care of one another and our heritage, as we step into the future. Both are possible if we work together, set the precedent, create a legacy of meaningful growth. Please do not approve this tonight. We can do better. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Ms. MacCormack. We appreciate it. All right. Is anybody else here from the public that wants to comment on this application?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	more from public, I would like to close the public portion. COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second it. COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Second it. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a motion made and seconded. (Whereupon, a voice vote is taken; a chorus of "ayes" heard.) CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Public is closed. Before we get to staff comment, I do want to address one comment that was made by Mr. MacCormack. Mr. Wine, during the community meeting, did you offer the community input on the retaining wall? MR. WINE: Yes, I did, and the way I framed it, I Mr. MacCormack's correct, I suggested and perhaps they could confirm this that the best way to do that, given that there are a lot of voices in the room, are, as Ms. Marione said, through the through city planning; as she indicated, she'd prefer through Historic Preservation. So, yes, we're happy to do it with the

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

Page	61

	Page 61		Page 62
1	would be in some type of city planning or historic	1	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Counsel, I'm going
2	approach. But if you feel differently, we certainly	2	to go with my gut feeling here and say that no one is
3	did indicate that we would we would do it in	3	going to suggest a blue or yellow retaining wall.
4	accordance with the community opinion and input.	4	MR. WINE: I agree.
5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I mean, if your	5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Before
6	comment was that you would accept community input on	6	we bring Tanya up, Counsel, do you want to make any
7	the wall, I think that's completely appropriate. The	7	closing remark here?
8	community doesn't work for the historic preservation	8	MR. WINE: Yeah, and I just want to
9	department in city planning.	9	respond to a couple of those comments from the public
10	MR. WINE: Yeah, that and that's	10	as well, if that's all right.
11	perfectly fine. Again, as I indicated, I felt that	11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure.
12	going through city planning was a streamlined way to	12	MR. WINE: So we did take those efforts.
13	do that, which I also indicated at the community	13	You know, we did try to come to what we feel is an
14	meeting, but I'm happy to have a sit-down meeting,	14	equitable solution, recognizing, as well, that,
15	you know, post any approval, and talk about what that	15	again, since day one, the goal of this project has
16	might look like. If there are various opinions in	16	always been and continues to be to my client
17	the room, some of which say it should be blue, some	17	purchased a property zoned as R-3 was to develop
18	of which say it should be yellow, of course, you	18	in accordance with the R-3.
19	can't accommodate both, so my suggestion was that it	19	While, you know, there are other
20	would be in some type of maybe through a	20	individual properties on the immediate block that are
21	representative, that's fine as well but at some	21	single-family or two-family, not to suggest that the
22	point, yes, we're happy to solicit that opinion. We	22	owners there might move tomorrow, but the point is
23	have no preference one way or another; we're happy	23	that they could move tomorrow, and if they did move
24 25	for the community to ultimately look at this and determine what that wall should look like.	24 25	tomorrow, those could also be expanded and extended
20	determine what that wan should look like.	2.5	to the R-3 standards. And even if they didn't move
		1	
	Page 63		Page 64
1	Page 63	1	Page 64
1	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their	1	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and
2	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage.	2	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building.
2 3	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there.	2 3	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond
2 3 4	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day	2 3 4	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular.
2 3	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We	2 3	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several
2 3 4 5 6	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first	2 3 4 5	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments
2 3 4 5 6 7	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that	2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density,
2 3 4 5 6	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density,
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development standards, which is what we're doing here tonight.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the massing of the building, actually build that fourth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development standards, which is what we're doing here tonight. I also want to indicate, as well, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the massing of the building, actually build that fourth story, quote/unquote, into the roofline, so to speak,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development standards, which is what we're doing here tonight. I also want to indicate, as well, that the context that was shown with this street, while	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the massing of the building, actually build that fourth story, quote/unquote, into the roofline, so to speak, to alleviate some of that height.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development standards, which is what we're doing here tonight. I also want to indicate, as well, that the context that was shown with this street, while there is a note on the plans, as Ms. MacCormack had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the massing of the building, actually build that fourth story, quote/unquote, into the roofline, so to speak, to alleviate some of that height.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development standards, which is what we're doing here tonight. I also want to indicate, as well, that the context that was shown with this street, while there is a note on the plans, as Ms. MacCormack had indicated, that it's not an exact, exact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the massing of the building, actually build that fourth story, quote/unquote, into the roofline, so to speak, to alleviate some of that height. In addition to dropping the height well below compliance, he also put on, at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development standards, which is what we're doing here tonight. I also want to indicate, as well, that the context that was shown with this street, while there is a note on the plans, as Ms. MacCormack had indicated, that it's not an exact, exact representation, that note was also clarified by our project architect, that, in fact, topographic data was used, both offered by the city and it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the massing of the building, actually build that fourth story, quote/unquote, into the roofline, so to speak, to alleviate some of that height. In addition to dropping the height well below compliance, he also put on, at the suggestion actually, I believe it was Mr. Perez, who suggested that the community meeting, or at least echoed it, and we thought it was a great idea, was to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development standards, which is what we're doing here tonight. I also want to indicate, as well, that the context that was shown with this street, while there is a note on the plans, as Ms. MacCormack had indicated, that it's not an exact, exact representation, that note was also clarified by our project architect, that, in fact, topographic data was used, both offered by the city and it was prepared by the developer. They also looked at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the massing of the building, actually build that fourth story, quote/unquote, into the roofline, so to speak, to alleviate some of that height. In addition to dropping the height well below compliance, he also put on, at the suggestion actually, I believe it was Mr. Perez, who suggested that the community meeting, or at least echoed it, and we thought it was a great idea, was to put a railing of sorts on the roof as a parapet,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development standards, which is what we're doing here tonight. I also want to indicate, as well, that the context that was shown with this street, while there is a note on the plans, as Ms. MacCormack had indicated, that it's not an exact, exact representation, that note was also clarified by our project architect, that, in fact, topographic data was used, both offered by the city and it was prepared by the developer. They also looked at various maps, and they did on-site measurements. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the massing of the building, actually build that fourth story, quote/unquote, into the roofline, so to speak, to alleviate some of that height. In addition to dropping the height well below compliance, he also put on, at the suggestion actually, I believe it was Mr. Perez, who suggested that the community meeting, or at least echoed it, and we thought it was a great idea, was to put a railing of sorts on the roof as a parapet, rather than traditionally what's done, which is just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	tomorrow, they could choose as well to blow their homes back to add a little bit more square footage. That's all there. The goal of this application, since day one, was to comply with the R-3 standards. We encountered a hiccup at that point, at the first meeting, due to the time of application rule, that there was a rear yard setback deviation. We addressed it, as was the appropriate thing to do at the time, seeking the variance, but given that we've had these four months, we obviously opted to eliminate that variance, again, with the goal and intent of complying with the R-3 development standards, which is what we're doing here tonight. I also want to indicate, as well, that the context that was shown with this street, while there is a note on the plans, as Ms. MacCormack had indicated, that it's not an exact, exact representation, that note was also clarified by our project architect, that, in fact, topographic data was used, both offered by the city and it was prepared by the developer. They also looked at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Moreno had indicated, that's a pretty fair and accurate representation of the scale of the building. I also want to indicate and that's just to respond to that comment in particular. There was also, throughout the several meetings that we had, while you've heard comments tonight that the single biggest focus was density, that was partially a focus, and we acknowledge that, and nevertheless elected to proceed with an as-of-right density for this project. But another focus was the height. And what Mr. Moreno worked very hard on, as you see through that five-image projection, is to reduce the height, reduce the massing of the building, actually build that fourth story, quote/unquote, into the roofline, so to speak, to alleviate some of that height. In addition to dropping the height well below compliance, he also put on, at the suggestion actually, I believe it was Mr. Perez, who suggested that the community meeting, or at least echoed it, and we thought it was a great idea, was to put a railing of sorts on the roof as a parapet,

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

Page 6	5
--------	---

l

1	So throughout this entire process, what	1	principals of sound zoning. Again, it's permitted,
2	started as supposed to be an as-of-right project,	2	that's what the zone calls, and that's, ultimately,
3	we continued to work with the community over time.	3	what we've elected to develop.
4	While I recognize that we will not always make every	4	And then, lastly, I want to put as well
5	member of the community happy, we've really done our	5	that, as this board, again and I know, Chairman, I
6	best, in keeping with the goals of the developer,	6	know you're well aware, I know this board is well
7	which have been to develop a four-unit building, as	7	aware, but I think, as I heard a member of the public
8	is what the zoning calls for, we have done our best	8	mentioned, they're not always aware as to what the
9	to address those comments that we heard.	9	legal criteria, and what the zoning standards, and
10	I also want to put on record, and	10	what the technical language is, so I think it's
11	[inaudible], it's somewhat esoteric, and I know the	11	important to put it on the record as well, that the
12	board knows this, but I think it's important to	12	board cannot deny approval on the basis that a
13	mention that, when you look at an as-of-right site	13	proposed development made too intensive use of the
14	plan, there's good case law on exactly what the board	14	site, as long as the project was a permitted use, did
15	can and cannot address. And I think, very simply,	15	not exceed coverage, FAR, or any bulk requirements.
16	the Pizzo Mantin Group versus Randolph decision,	16	And that's exactly, again, what we have
17	which is one of the leading cases, limits what the	17	here, we have an R-3 lot, we have R-3 Zoning that the
18	board can actually address when it comes to reviewing	18	governing body and this very board, at some point in
19	an as-of-right site plan. And it says specifically	19	history, determined that this should be R-3 zoning.
20	that they are to review the zoning ordinance, the	20	We elected my client elected to purchase this
21	applicable provisions of the site plan ordinance, and	21	property based on the R-3 Zoning, submit a site plan
22	determine if it complies. And then, furthermore, if	22	application based on the R-3 Zoning, and then,
23	it does, the same board has no authority to deny that	23	despite all of that, continued to engage and work
24	plan based on its view merely that a use permitted	24	with the city professionals, with the councilman's
25	under the zoning ordinance is inconsistent with the	25	office, and with the public, to come up with a plan
		ļ	
	Page 67		Page 68
1	that could be more tolerable within the scope and	1	indicated throughout the community meetings that we
2	scale of the R-3 standards. And I believe that we	2	had, I encourage all of my clients to work with the
3	did do that, we did whittle it down, and, again, what	3	community to come up with something that works for
4	we have here is fully conforming under your	4	the developer and works for the community, to the
5	ordinance.	5	best possible, and to what makes the most sense in
6	I want to just close by making very	6	the scale of development. And my client has been
7	clear: This the entire goal of this application,	7	willing to do that, has worked on this for several
8	as I indicated before, compliance with the R-3	8	months since the initial meeting, and for over a year
9	standards, was never to wedge anything in, or wedge	9	since prior to that, to come up with what you see
10	infill development that's inappropriate in the	10	here today.
11	context of the neighborhood. What you have heard	11	So I would just ask this board, again,
12	from members of the public relates to a stretch of	12	while I do not want to take away from the comments
13	these six homes that are currently on this block, but	13	that we heard from the public, several of them I
14	if you take a wider view, which I believe this board	14	thought were good, it was also mentioned a couple
15	has, and it's shown on our various plans in our set,	15	mentioned, as well, thanking us for listening, with
16	those six homes do serve as somewhat of an anomaly,	16	the exception of density, to a number of the comments
17	but they have not been designated as historic and	17	we heard. And I would just urge this board and
18	perhaps they could be, but they are not today and	18	I'm sorry that I've gone over my time but I would
19	taken as a general neighborhood context, the city,	19	urge the board, and I thank the board for their time,
20	through planning, through this board, and through the	20	and I would ask them to approve this application as
21	governing body, have determined that the appropriate	21	presented, with the stipulations that are made,
22	zoning for this property is that R-3. And,	22	recognizing, again, that this project has been
23	ultimately, that's what we're here proposing.	23	designed and has been proposed to comply with what
24	So I am the first person to say to	24	zoning ultimately has determined is promote for this
25	anybody, as I indicated at the first meeting and as I	25	property.
-		1	

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

	Page 69		Page 70
1	So thank you, Mr. Chair. Again, I know	1	with this application shall be binding.
2	that was a little more long winded than my typical	2	Number two, all materials and color
3	closing, but I appreciate your time.	3	selections shall be shown on final plans. No change
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	4	to the facade, site design, including materials, can
5	you, Counsel. And I've sat on this board since 2013	5	be I'm sorry, that may be required by the
6	and been chair of this board since 2014, and I I	6	construction code official shall be permitted without
7	appreciate you finally bringing me up to speed on	7	consultation and approval by planning staff.
8	what my charge is as a planning board chair and	8	All street trees, landscaping, shall be
9	commissioner.	9	installed prior to the issuance of a certificate of
10	So let's move on to Tanya's comments.	10	occupancy, and I'll go further to say of a temporary
11	Tanya, do you want to address, please?	11	certificate of occupancy.
12	MS. MARIONE: Based on all of the	12	And the architectural engineering firms
13	comments that were given tonight, I don't think	13	of record shall submit a signed and sealed affidavit
14	there's any real need for me to add anything else to	14	confirming that the building was constructed as
15	the record. This is an application that I inherited,	15	approved prior though issuance of certificate of
16	because Mr. Krehel resigned from his position. If	16	occupancy.
17	the chair pleases, I'm just going to read the	17	All comments address all comments
18	conditions into the record and leave it there.	18	provided by Jersey City Division of Engineering shall
19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. I do want to	19	be addressed prior to this says issuance of
20	get into how we're going to handle that the	20	certificate of occupancy; I just want to correct on
21	approval of that wall after the fact, though, Tanya.	21	the record that, really, that should be before
22	MS. MARIONE: Okay. So I'll just read	22	building plans, which are within the final signature
23	the conditions, it's pretty standard, at this point.	23	set.
24	Number one, all testimony given by the	24	And the last comment that was discussed
25	applicant and their expert witnesses in accordance	25	on the record was the rebuilding of the retaining
	Page 71		Page 72
1	wall that was there, that was also mentioned, within	1	like. It sounded to me from the public comments that
2	the Historic Preservation memo, that it was to be	2	the public wants that wall to be rebuilt. And I
3	retained, that they should pick out the materials,	3	think a condition that that wall be constructed of
4	the selection of the stones, and those should be	4	material similar to what exists is acceptable. I
5	approved by Historic Preservation, I would say, prior	5	don't know that we have to go much further. If
6	to issuance of building permits, so that would be	6	historic wants to weigh in on that, I think that
7	shown on the signature sets.	7	that's acceptable, but there's no reason why that
8	MR. WINE: And, Chairman, I see you're	8	wall can't be built to look exactly how it looks in
9	on mute.	9	the photograph included in the set.
10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Go ahead, counsel.	10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I agree, Counsel.
11	MR. WINE: Yeah, I was just going to say	11	You know, I don't think there's any shortage of
12	that I certainly take no exception, and agree to all	12	similar stone out there, and if there is, it's on the
13	of those comments.	13	applicant to make this whole. I am comfortable
14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So, I mean,	14	giving that charge to Tanya sorry, Tanya but,
15	Counsel, you stated in that community meeting that	15	you know, this needs to needs to be done
16	the community would have input on that wall, and	16	correctly, and I don't know that I have faith that
17	you'd make sure the neighborhood was made whole after	17	this will be done correctly. But I think we need a
18	that wall was demolished.	18	strong voice from planning on this. And, you know, I
19	MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman	19	would want that condition placed on any approval
20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Go ahead, Counsel.	20	tonight, that that stone wall is constructed as per,
21	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Chairman.	21	you know, the existing wall. And I want that on
22 23	If I could jump in, there's a clear photograph on the architectural plans that show that	22 23	signature sets as a clear detail that I can see before I sign off on anything.
23	wall, it's made of stone. It's pretty clear to me	23	MR. ALAMPI: Counsel, any comment with
24	from that photograph exactly what that wall looks	24	respect to that being a condition, that that wall be
	the protograph one of the the well looks	1 23	Free to that come a contaition, that that will be

	Page 73		Page 74
1	constructed of the like material of which was	1	motion and a second for an approval.
2	removed, as depicted in the photograph, and for	2	MR. ALAMPI: With conditions, Chairman.
3	historic and planning to verify that the detail is	3	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: With conditions.
4	accurate prior to signature sets? Do we have an	4	Thank you, Counsel.
5	issue with that?	5	MR. BLACK: Acting Vice Chair Gangadin?
6	MR. WINE: No issue.	6	COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: I want to thank
7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	7	the public for coming out this evening and expressing
8	Counsel.	8	their concerns. You do have valid concerns. We're
9	All right. So, Tanya, those are your	9	all Jersey City residents, and we do deeply care for
10	comments? Tanya, anything else?	10	Jersey City as well.
11	MS. MARIONE: No. Sorry, I realized	11	My vote is a no.
12	when I said yes and everybody was staring at me that	12	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Cruz?
13	nobody probably heard me. Yes, those are the ends of	13	Commissioner Cruz?
14	my comments; no, I don't have anything additional to	14	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Yes. Hi. You hear
15	say.	15	me? You hear me now?
16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I thought you	16	MR. BLACK: Yes.
17	glitched out for a second, it's all right.	17	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: My vote is no.
18	MS. MARIONE: I'm here.	18	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Dr. Desai?
19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So with that,	19	COMMISSIONER DESAI: Counselor and
20	I'll entertain a motion.	20	[inaudible].
21	COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, I'd like	21	MR. BLACK: Doctor, we can't hear you.
22	to make a motion to approve case P22-197, as	22	COMMISSIONER DESAI: They are going to
23	presented to the board this evening.	23	work with the community and they met the community so
24	COMMISSIONER DESAI: Second it.	24	many times, and they said they are going to build the
25	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. We have a	25	retaining wall as it is. So I think they have done
	Page 75		Page 76
1	enough work with the community. And my vote is yes.	1	motion for a denial?
2	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Lipski?	2	COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Do we actually
3	COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: No.	3	need that?
4	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Green?	4	MR. WINE: Yeah, Mr. Chair, can I make a
5	COMMISSIONER GREEN: No.	5	comment?
-			comment:
6	MR. BLACK: And Chairman Langston?	6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board
	MR. BLACK: And Chairman Langston? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely	6 7	
6	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish		CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood.
6 7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic	7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to
6 7 8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a	7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial;
6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it.	7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion.
6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote	7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would
6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are.	7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's	7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to reconstructing that wall to our satisfaction. And	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to reconstructing that wall to our satisfaction. And given that it's an as-of-right project, my vote would	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So MR. ALAMPI: So I would suggest that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to reconstructing that wall to our satisfaction. And given that it's an as-of-right project, my vote would be yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So MR. ALAMPI: So I would suggest that perhaps one of the four commissioners make that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to reconstructing that wall to our satisfaction. And given that it's an as-of-right project, my vote would be yes. MR. BLACK: Okay. We have two	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So MR. ALAMPI: So I would suggest that perhaps one of the four commissioners make that motion.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to reconstructing that wall to our satisfaction. And given that it's an as-of-right project, my vote would be yes. MR. BLACK: Okay. We have two motions two votes to approve, four no, so the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So MR. ALAMPI: So I would suggest that perhaps one of the four commissioners make that motion. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, do you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to reconstructing that wall to our satisfaction. And given that it's an as-of-right project, my vote would be yes. MR. BLACK: Okay. We have two motions two votes to approve, four no, so the motion does not carry.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So MR. ALAMPI: So I would suggest that perhaps one of the four commissioners make that motion. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, do you think it's appropriate for me to make that, since I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to reconstructing that wall to our satisfaction. And given that it's an as-of-right project, my vote would be yes. MR. BLACK: Okay. We have two motions two votes to approve, four no, so the motion does not carry. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So MR. ALAMPI: So I would suggest that perhaps one of the four commissioners make that motion. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, do you think it's appropriate for me to make that, since I made the motion to approve?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to reconstructing that wall to our satisfaction. And given that it's an as-of-right project, my vote would be yes. MR. BLACK: Okay. We have two motions two votes to approve, four no, so the motion does not carry. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. MR. WINE: So	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So MR. ALAMPI: So I would suggest that perhaps one of the four commissioners make that motion. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, do you think it's appropriate for me to make that, since I made the motion to approve? CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I would leave that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So I completely understand the community's concerns here. I wish there was a way to streamline, you know, any historic landmark designation, because I think this would be a great spot for it. That being said, this board has to vote on what the current zoning is, the current laws are. There was no historic designation, and I think that's a failure of the system. But, you know, it is an as-of-right project. I would hold the developer to reconstructing that wall to our satisfaction. And given that it's an as-of-right project, my vote would be yes. MR. BLACK: Okay. We have two motions two votes to approve, four no, so the motion does not carry. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: We're in board deliberation right now, Counsel. MR. WINE: Understood. MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, the motion to approve has failed, which is a statutory denial; however, it is appropriate to take a second motion. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So I would entertain another motion. MR. ALAMPI: Which, again, Chairman, would be a motion to deny the application, since the motion to approve fails. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So MR. ALAMPI: So I would suggest that perhaps one of the four commissioners make that motion. COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, do you think it's appropriate for me to make that, since I made the motion to approve?

1MR. ALAMPI: Whoever wou2the motion can make the motion.3COMMISSIONER GREEN:	ld like to make	1	
		1 I	voted yes.
		2	Acting Chair Gangadin (sic)?
	Well, I'll make the	3	COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Yes to deny.
4 motion to deny.		4	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Cruz?
5 MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Co	ommissioner	5	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Yes to deny.
6 COMMISSIONER GREEN: 1		6	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Lipski?
	-		COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Yes.
 7 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: 1 8 Commissioner. 	nank you,	8	MR. BLACK: Dr. Desai Commissioner
	1		
9 Okay. So we have a motion fo	or denial.	9	Dr. Desai? Commissioner Dr. Desai?
10 Do I have a second	1	10	COMMISSIONER DESAI: No.
11 COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Se		11	MR. BLACK: And Chairman Langston?
12 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: C	Okay. I have a	12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: No.
13 motion and a second for denial.		13	MR. BLACK: Okay. So that was four
14 MR. ALAMPI: So an affirmat	tive vote will	14	yeses on the motion to deny and two noes. So motion
be to support the motion to deny.		15	passes to deny.
16 MR. BLACK: Okay. So Com	missioner	16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,
17 Green?		17	everybody.
18 COMMISSIONER GREEN: 1	No.	18	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
19 MR. BLACK: So a yes vote is	s to deny.	19	7:20 p.m.)
20 COMMISSIONER GREEN: 1	l'm sorry. Yes,	20	
21 I'm sorry. Yes to deny. Yes.		21	
22 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: 0	Okay. Just for the	22	
23 board's clarification here, the motion is	-	23	
an affirmative vote is denial for the pro-	oject.	24	
25 MR. BLACK: Okay. Commis	-	25	
	Page 79		
1 CERTIFICATE			
2			
3			
4			
5 I, Michael Lombardozzi, a N	Notary Public		
6 and Certified Court Reporter of the S	-		
7 Jersey, do hereby certify that the for			
8 true and accurate transcript of the tes			
9 taken stenographically by and before	e me at the time,		
10 place, and on the date hereinbefore s			
11 I do further certify that I am			
12 relative nor employee nor attorney n	•		
13 of the parties to this action, and that			
14 a relative nor employee of such attor			
15 and that I am not financially interest	ed in this		
16 action.			
17			
18 19 Michael Lombardozzi.			
19 Michael Lombardozzi, Certified Court Reporter, State o	f New Jargan		
20 CERT #: 30X100239700	1 INEW JEISEY		
Date: 7 Aug 2023			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

20 (Pages 77 to 79)

				Page 80
A	28:22 30:19	air 47:9 58:4	application 4:21	arisen 51:10
A.I.C.P 2:2,4,6,8	33:11 40:13	ALAMPI 1:15	4:25 5:2,20,21	as-of-right 15:2
A.I.C.F 2.2,4,0,8 2:12	45:1 48:3	8:11,15,22 9:9	8:5,6 11:4 23:3	16:14 64:10
ability 5:9	60:12 65:9,15	9:15,20 10:1	27:25 28:11,15	65:2,13,19
able 7:16 11:3	65:18 69:11	12:19 16:25	28:18,21 30:7	75:16,18
37:7 55:6	70:17	17:17 71:19,21	30:23 36:5	asked 11:17
absolutely 13:1	addressed 18:22	72:24 74:2	53:13 59:23	aspects 20:24
38:3	44:5 63:9	76:9,14,18	63:4,7 66:22	associated 41:23
abundance	70:19	77:1,5,14	67:7 68:20	Association
12:21	adds 42:21	alarming 33:24	69:15 70:1	55:25
academic 51:3	adequate 47:8	align 5:11	76:15	asymmetrical
accept 61:6	adjustments	alignment 46:18	appreciate 14:2	20:22
acceptable 72:4	45:15	alleviate 64:16	27:1 29:21	attempt 51:9
72:7	administration	allowed 17:12	32:24 33:20	attendance 8:11
access 34:16	50:16	34:12 54:15	35:9 38:9 39:5	attendees 8:3
41:22 47:8	advance 14:13	allows 44:19	40:7 43:5	attention 33:17
51:3	advise 36:11	alluded 52:7	45:25 48:2,15	49:6
accessible 19:12	advocating	alternative 59:5	51:9,21 53:11	attorney 1:15
58:1	41:13	altogether 6:6	55:10 59:21	7:22 9:19
accidentally	aerial 15:19	amended 7:1	69:3,7	11:19 12:1
50:14	aesthetic 16:8	amount 5:19	approach 61:2	79:12,14
accommodate	20:20 25:4	47:18	appropriate	Attorneys 1:17
47:21 61:19	39:15	ancestral 58:23	46:14 47:10	audience 9:5
account 51:12	Aesthetically	Andes 58:16	61:7 63:9	Aug 79:20
accurate 56:17	35:2	anger 34:8	67:21 76:11,22	August 23:3
64:2 73:4 79:8	aesthetics 5:9,17	Anne 21:2,4	approval 6:23	42:9
acknowledge	6:4 44:12	24:7 49:9 50:8	7:1 61:15	aunts 32:4
8:17 64:8	affidavit 70:13	anomaly 67:16	66:12 69:21	authority 65:23
act 54:16	affirmative	antilynching	70:7 72:19	available 20:3
Acting 74:5 78:2	77:14,24	41:11	74:1	47:19,20
action 79:13,16	afford 54:3	antiquity 32:18	approve 26:3	Avenue 1:5 4:3
actions 54:14	affordable 58:1	anybody 8:21	59:18 68:20	4:16 14:23,25
actively 41:11	African-Amer	9:22 26:23	73:22 75:21	16:19 31:8,23
41:24 50:21	41:15 42:15	29:18,18 48:8	76:10,16,23	33:12,18 35:17
activism 49:22	afternoon 47:7	53:12 59:22,24	approved 47:23	35:23 37:4
actual 6:3 57:14	age 41:18 42:4	67:25	70:15 71:5	38:22 39:8
ADA 18:1	agenda 44:6	anybody's 30:22	architect 7:6,15	40:13,19 41:4
add 21:9 39:24	aging 31:18,18	anyway 37:21	9:4 12:15 13:8	41:18 43:10
63:2 69:14	56:4	anyways 6:11	13:10,15 14:22	46:3 47:21
added 16:5,7	ago 6:17 19:21	apartment	45:4 63:20	48:21 50:2
20:24 21:5,11	agree 36:24 37:1	39:10	architectural	51:25 53:22
addition 28:23	62:4 71:12	apartments	13:10 40:19	avoid 50:19
64:17	72:10	39:24	49:24 58:12	aware 59:4 66:6
additional 28:6	agreed 24:21	appealing 39:21	70:12 71:23	66:7,8
40:1 73:14	36:23	appearance 9:1	architecture 5:5	Awesome 48:25
Additionally	ahead 14:9 19:4	applicable 65:21	area 32:18 46:15	ayes 60:9
41:9	20:10,12 21:5	applicant 1:17	49:13	
address 5:23	23:17,21 37:21	4:14 69:25	areas 44:15	<u> </u>
18:22 20:7	71:10,20	72:13	argument 54:13	B 3:19 33:8 40:9
10.22 20.7				
L	•	•		

				Page 81
B-L-A-I-R	Bentley 40:13	14:12 20:16	22:6,9,22	capacity 12:17
38:21	best 5:23 6:9,9	24:23 26:23	28:24 29:4,5	care 7:2 10:4
back 4:21 6:25	9:21 21:4 37:9	29:18 31:17	37:23 44:10	49:7 57:19
9:24 12:23	60:19 65:6,8	36:6,25 37:9	46:11,13,23	59:14 74:9
15:6 18:24	68:5	37:13 39:2	48:5 52:8,12	cared 42:4
19:5,8 22:2	better 16:8,20	51:2,11 55:5	52:17 54:17,20	caring 44:22
23:3 24:1,8	16:22 21:12	55:24 59:2	56:2,15,19,23	Carolyn 3:5
32:15 34:21	39:19 58:16	65:12,14,18,23	57:5,23 59:6	7:13 27:7,12
48:16 63:2	59:18	66:5,6,12,18	64:2,14 65:7	29:12
back-and-forth	Beyond 47:14	67:14,20 68:11	70:14,22 71:6	carried 4:4
5:19	bigger 44:8	68:17,19,19	buildings 15:21	carry 5:21 75:22
background	biggest 17:19	69:5,6,8 73:23	16:9,20 39:10	cars 47:21 57:24
4:18	64:7	75:12 76:6	39:16 42:12,24	Carter 3:11
backyard 16:11	binding 70:1	board's 77:23	49:18 54:18	38:14,20,20,23
52:16 56:11,12	bit 4:18 11:5	boards 40:25	58:2	38:25 40:7,11
bad 58:10	19:3 20:8	body 66:18	built 45:14	40:16
bank 41:11	24:19 30:13	67:21	46:20 49:10,15	Carteret 48:21
Barksdale 31:24	38:11 49:4	Boggiano's	49:16,17 72:8	case 1:3 4:2 8:18
41:5,12 42:5,5	63:2	23:16	bulk 66:15	9:1 34:14
barriers 41:9	black 2:6 4:6 9:4	bonds 42:15	bulkhead 22:8	45:16 58:9
based 65:24	10:10,25 11:15	bottom 15:18	bulkheads 21:18	65:14 73:22
66:21,22 69:12	11:22 31:1	56:16	bulldozer 32:9	cases 55:3 65:17
basically 28:4	35:11 38:14	bought 39:13	burdens 56:4	catch 11:10
basis 66:12	40:8 41:14	49:13	bushes 32:10	caution 12:22
bathrooms 18:2	43:6 46:1	Boulevard 15:1	business 4:2	CERT 79:20
18:11	48:11 53:16	16:22		certain 17:9
bay 20:23	55:12 58:8	boxing 58:3	<u> </u>	54:24
BEASLEY 2:14	74:5,12,16,18	Boys 41:1	c 1:14 27:10	certainly 61:2
beautiful 32:9	74:21 75:2,4,6	breaks 19:15	35:13,13,13	63:25 71:12
beauty 33:20	75:20 77:16,19	brief 27:23 54:9	38:18,18 40:9	certificate 70:9
becoming 41:2	77:25 78:4,6,8	bring 11:21 14:1	48:18 55:14,14	70:11,15,20
bedrooms 18:1	78:11,13	26:18 30:18,19	55:14 79:1,1	Certified 1:22
18:4,5,10	black-owned	62:6	C-A-R-O-L-Y	1:24 79:6,19
46:24 47:1	41:10	bringing 14:10	27:13	certify 79:7,11
53:4,5 56:7	Blair 38:14,20	69:7	C-A-R-T-E-R	chair 4:7,20
beginning 43:23	blight 47:16	broke 32:8	38:21	7:18 59:25
behalf 4:14	block 1:5 4:16	brought 15:9	calculated 28:7	69:1,6,8,17
14:22 46:8	22:12 35:23	17:14 32:11	call 4:2 7:5 12:4	73:21 74:5
believe 5:25 6:1	38:2 47:6,14	Brown 31:24	27:7	76:4,21 78:2
6:8 7:2 9:10	50:2 56:12	41:6,24	called 49:10	Chairman 1:10
12:12 17:9	57:24 58:22	build 32:13,15	calling 30:24	4:1,9,12 7:21
27:15 37:15	62:20 63:24	34:13 50:18	53:14	7:25 8:10,23
64:19 67:2,14	67:13	51:15 54:17	calls 65:8 66:2	8:25 9:23 10:2
Ben 9:4	blocks 51:5	64:14 74:24	Cam 10:7 12:7	10:3,12,17
bender 57:18	blow 63:1	builder 45:12	48:12	11:2,7,9,20,23
benefit 57:12	blue 61:17 62:3	building 5:14,17	camera 33:7	12:3,10,21
58:21	board 1:1,9,15	6:15,17,20,22	CAMERON 2:6	13:1,12,20,25
Benjamin 1:17	4:8,22 5:20	15:3,8,9,11,17	Canon's 41:7	14:17 19:14,25
4:6,13	6:24 7:9 8:7,18	16:4 17:15	cap 24:8	20:1,11 25:9

				Page 82
25:11 26:1,6,8	choose 63:1	close 60:1 67:6	1:10,11,11,12	75:8
26:17,25 27:4	chorus 60:9	closed 60:10	1:12,13 10:12	Company 49:12
27:6,9,14,20	Chris 48:11,16	closely 41:23	10:13,13,14,14	comparison
29:16,20,22	49:1	closer 11:11	10:15,16,16,17	42:23
30:1,11,20	Christ 31:19,21	closing 62:7	10:19,20,22,23	compatible 50:5
31:11 32:23	31:22 42:3	69:3	11:3,7 26:24	compatible 50.5
33:4,13 35:8	Christopher	Club 41:1	29:19 53:21,23	54:13
35:18,20 38:5	1:10 3:14	code 6:22 29:9	55:10 58:14	complete 53:8
38:7,8,12,23	48:20	70:6	59:25 60:3,4,5	completely 11:8
40:6,14 43:4	citizens 34:3	Coffin 49:11	69:9 73:21,24	16:14 29:10
43:12,20 44:1	city 1:1,1 2:3,5,7	collective 34:7	74:6,12,13,14	56:18,21 61:7
45:24 46:5	2:9,11,13,15	color 15:16 22:3	74:17,18,19,22	75:7
48:1,9,12,22	14:24 22:18	24:9 70:2	75:2,3,4,5 76:2	compliance
51:20 52:2	23:25 25:4	colors 21:24	76:21 77:3,5,6	64:18 67:8
53:10,23,25	31:9,18 33:12	24:2	77:8,11,16,18	compliant 5:15
54:1,10 55:9	34:12,12 35:17	combat 41:8	77:20,25 78:3	6:22 7:17
55:18 59:20	40:25 42:9,16	combined 28:5	78:4,5,6,7,8,9	16:15,15 17:23
60:6,10 61:5	43:11,16 44:14	come 6:12,16,25	78:10	18:1
62:1,5,11 66:5	44:15,21 45:4	45:8 48:16	commissioners	complies 65:22
69:4,19 71:8	46:3 49:2	62:13 66:25	4:22 10:6,10	comply 15:10,25
71:10,14,19,20	50:12,16,19	68:3,9	11:1 52:6	17:16 63:5
71:21 72:10	51:5 52:1	comes 42:22	76:19	68:23
73:7,16,19,25	53:22 54:20	44:11 65:18	commissioners'	complying 17:3
74:2,3 75:6,7	55:3,23 57:14	comfortable	35:25	17:13 63:13
75:23,25 76:6	57:16,20 59:13	26:2 72:13	commitment	comprising
76:9,12,14,17	60:21 61:1,9	coming 74:7	42:1	46:23
76:24 77:7,12	61:12 63:21	Commencing	committee 40:17	concentrations
77:22 78:11,12	66:24 67:19	1:8	41:8 51:2	47:11
78:16	70:18 74:9,10	comment 19:24	communities	concerns 5:16
chance 10:9	city's 40:24 41:2	30:5,22,23	47:12	5:24 33:17
39:18	41:10,15 42:11	48:10 53:13	community 7:15	47:25 74:8,8
change 17:25	42:25 51:13	59:23 60:11,12	16:8 20:19	75:8
20:2 23:6	civil 41:6,20,23	61:6 64:4	21:3,16 23:15	conclude 29:23
28:25 33:24	41:25 55:4	70:24 72:24	23:18,19,20	concluded 78:18
39:6 70:3	clarification	76:5	24:15,21 25:17	concludes 24:11
changed 40:4	77:23	comments 7:2	33:21 35:22	conclusion
changes 5:1 15:5	clarified 63:19	18:23 19:1	36:23,23 37:1	42:19
15:13 16:3	clarify 8:20	20:5,18 23:6	37:19 39:3	condensers
17:19 18:12	19:19	23:13,16 29:25	41:14 42:1,21	18:18
19:2 28:2 29:1	CLARK 2:8	32:24 33:7	51:12 54:12	condition 72:3
33:23 39:5	clean 11:5	43:21 54:8,12	55:24 56:22	72:19,25
50:14	clear 37:3 55:2	62:9 64:6 65:9	57:11,12 58:25	conditions 69:18
character 46:17	67:7 71:22,24	68:12,16 69:10	59:10 60:13,14	69:23 74:2,3
50:7 57:8	72:22	69:13 70:17,17	60:24 61:4,6,8	cone-like 21:1,6
characteristics	clearly 37:13	71:13 72:1	61:13,24 64:20	21:21 24:6
42:18	client 62:16	73:10,14	65:3,5 68:1,3,4	confirm 10:20
charge 69:8	66:20 68:6	Commission	71:15,16 74:23	10:23 14:10
72:14	clients 36:4,11	41:3	74:23 75:1	24:16,19 27:16
choice 24:24	68:2	commissioner	community's	60:18
			v	
L				

				Page 83
Confirmed	39:7	59:17	deeply 41:20	67:17
10:22	conveyed 37:22	created 34:23	42:16 74:9	designation 38:4
confirming	coordinated	creates 54:24	defer 12:13 13:4	75:10,14
70:14	31:21	creating 49:17	definitely 9:13	designed 68:23
conforming 28:9	corner 15:16,18	criteria 66:9	degrading 57:8	designer 2:12
28:16 29:7,11	16:17	cross 26:21	degree 54:25	13:10
67:4	correct 20:3,4	40:25	deleterious	desirable 46:21
congestion	25:5,6 36:20	cruise 46:24	47:23	despite 5:14,19
47:16	46:11 56:18	Cruz 1:11 10:14	deliberation	59:1 66:23
consequences	60:17 70:20	10:15 60:5	76:7	destroyed 32:15
54:14,17	corrected 28:15	74:12,13,14,17	demo 37:24	36:16 39:17
Conservancy	correctly 72:16	77:11 78:4,5	demolished	42:18
40:18 49:3	72:17	cultural 40:20	40:22 49:20	destroys 58:7
consider 44:6	Cottages 46:20	42:15 49:21,25	54:21 71:18	destruction
55:5	49:10,20	culture 42:11	demolition 25:2	57:18
consideration	Councilman	curbside 56:10	37:5,7,11,16	destructive 44:8
43:3	23:16	current 13:17	demolitions 51:7	detail 16:6 19:12
considered	councilman's	13:19 27:17,18	denial 76:1,10	72:22 73:3
43:18	66:24	75:13,13	77:9,13,24	details 15:12
consisting 18:4	counsel 4:10	currently 67:13	densities 47:10	23:25 45:5,6
constricting	7:21 9:9 12:8	cut 26:6 48:13	density 35:4	determination
47:8	12:14,19 13:2		39:7,22 43:18	49:25 51:7,13
constructed	25:12 26:8	D	44:5,7,20,22	51:18
70:14 72:3,20	30:1 35:24	D 31:5,5,5 33:8	47:14 48:5	determine 61:25
73:1	36:2,19,20	53:19	52:8 56:6 57:1	65:22
construction	48:3 62:1,6	damage 58:12	64:7,10 68:16	determined 37:9
25:24 50:5	69:5 71:10,15	damaged 36:1	deny 65:23	66:19 67:21
70:6	71:20 72:10,24	51:14	66:12 76:15	68:24
consultation	73:8 74:4 76:7	damages 58:8	77:4,15,19,21	detriment 57:13
70:7	79:12,14	darkness 47:7	77:23 78:3,5	58:3
contain 34:9	Counselor 74:19	DARLENE 1:12	78:14,15	detrimental
CONTENTS	count 17:24	data 63:20	department	47:4 57:8
3:1	country 36:10	date 79:10,20	6:17 37:23	develop 36:9
context 49:5	54:20	DAVID 1:11	50:13 61:9	62:17 65:7
63:16 67:11,19	County 31:17	day 62:15 63:4	depicted 73:2	66:3
continuation	couple 6:7 28:11	days 5:1 14:13	deplete 58:4	developer 32:13
30:3	62:9 68:14	19:21 28:11	depth 15:8	34:5 36:2,7,14
continue 11:13	course 6:13	34:18	17:10,11,14	36:15 37:11,20
42:13 48:10	10:19 14:14,14	dead 19:1	28:14	42:20 45:2
continued 65:3	17:4 30:1	deal 40:1	Desai 1:12 10:14	51:14 52:10
66:23	45:16 61:18	decades 34:4	10:16 73:24	54:16,17 57:10
continues 62:16	court 36:5 79:6	decided 21:25	74:18,19,22	58:17 59:7
contractor 36:1	79:19	decision 65:16	78:8,9,9,10	63:22 65:6
contrary 7:3	courtesy 54:3	decisions 51:6	DESCRIPTI	68:4 75:16
contribute 43:1	coverage 16:15	declining 38:16	3:20	developer's
47:11	29:3,4,5,6	decorative 21:11	deserving 49:6	32:13 34:9
contributed	66:15	dedicated 31:15	design 12:16	developers
41:12	create 42:20	deep 42:14	20:18,23 70:4	33:24 34:13
conversations	57:23 58:1,24	deeper 28:6 59:3	designated	developers' 51:9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

-				Page 84
development	due 4:25 63:7	22:17,24 23:17	62:14	exists 72:4
36:9 63:13	duly 14:5 27:11	23:22 24:10	Eric 3:11 38:14	expanded 62:24
66:13 67:10	31:6 33:9	elevations 20:13	38:20	expense 59:8
68:6	35:14 38:18	eliminate 6:6	ERIK 2:14	experience 58:5
developments	40:10 43:8	28:22 63:12	escape 6:14,21	experienced
43:1	48:19 51:23	eliminating 6:10	17:20 36:9	56:13
deviation 63:8	53:20 55:15	ELIZABETH	esoteric 65:11	expert 69:25
different 22:9	dwarf 46:25	2:12	especially 42:22	expressing 74:7
22:14,17 30:6	52:22	Ella 41:5 42:5	ESPINOZA	extended 42:2
48:5 60:25		email 6:2 58:16	2:10	62:24
differently 61:2	E	emotional 42:15	ESQUIRE 1:15	extent 30:16
difficult 34:9	E 1:14,14 2:1,1	emotions 34:8	1:17	exterior 16:12
47:17	3:19 14:4	employee 79:12	establishment	18:15
direct 14:6	27:10 31:5	79:14	47:10	extremely 25:19
25:10 29:23	33:8,10,11	enabled 33:24	Europe 54:20	44:13
director 2:2	38:18,18 40:9	enclave 36:17	evening 4:7,9,11	
31:19 42:2	43:7,7 48:18	38:1,3 42:14	5:7 7:5 14:20	F
disagreed 37:14	48:18,18 51:22	encountered	27:15,19 29:11	F 15:1 43:7 79:1
discuss 45:4,6	51:22,22,24,25	63:6	31:12 33:14,16	fabric 42:21
discussed 16:2	53:19 79:1,1	encourage 51:14	35:19 38:24	facade 18:12
25:17 70:24	E-D-W-A-R	68:2	40:15 43:13	20:14 22:7,25
discusses 36:5	31:10	ends 73:13	46:6 48:23	23:7 70:4
discussing 15:5	E-R-I-C 38:21	enduring 42:10	49:1 52:3 54:9	facades 20:14
45:8	early 34:18	engage 66:23	55:19,20 73:23	fact 5:14 6:17
discussions	echo 56:24	engaging 45:7	74:7	7:14 12:17,22
54:22	echoed 64:21	50:21	event 42:9	14:12 31:20
disregard 56:21	ecoroof 19:11	engineering	everybody 4:19	32:11 54:14
district 29:10	education 41:22	70:12,18	8:19 9:18 45:2	63:20 69:21
38:2,4 46:12	Edwards 3:8	Englewood	73:12 78:17	facts 30:5
Division 2:3,5,7	31:3,7,7,10,11	22:16	everybody's	factuals 52:7
2:9,11,13,15	31:13 32:24	engulfs 56:3	12:14	failed 76:10
70:18	41:17,23 42:7	enjoyed 32:20	everyone's 10:8	fails 76:16
Doctor 74:21	Edwards's 42:1	33:21	exact 63:18,18	failure 75:15
doing 17:13	effect 7:13 47:4	enslaved 41:21	exactly 65:14	fair 64:1
63:14	47:24 57:8	ensure 6:19 7:16	66:16 71:25	Fairmount
door 32:9 59:11	effort 5:22	42:25 58:11	72:8	43:10
59:12	efforts 41:7	ensured 41:22	EXAMINATI	faith 49:13
doors 21:7	50:23 62:12	ensuring 52:23	14:6	58:17 72:16
downwards	egress 17:21,22	55:1	exceed 66:15	falls 45:13
44:9	either 9:15	entertain 73:20	exception 68:16	families 34:24
dozens 43:19	29:18 38:15	76:13	71:12	49:21
Dr 1:12 10:14,16	elapsed 12:23	entire 30:15	excess 34:13	family 31:25
41:7 74:18	elected 5:20	46:8 63:24	excessive 34:15	32:14 33:21
78:8,9,9	54:2 64:9 66:3	65:1 67:7	exclusively	34:2 36:1
drawing 52:16	66:20,20	entwined 42:16	57:13	41:23 44:15
52:18,22	elevated 49:16	environment	exhibit 19:15	46:9 57:5
drawings 19:3	elevation 15:17	46:21 47:13	exhibits 3:21	58:23
52:9,10	20:21 21:10,17	equal 17:7	existing 25:21	family's 41:19
dropping 64:17	21:21 22:3,8	equitable 59:6	57:12 72:21	famously 58:20
L	-	-	-	-

				Page 85
far 48:5 66:15	first 14:19 23:4	four 18:4,10	10:13,17 26:24	72:5
fears 45:13	23:4,15 30:7	32:14 34:14,15	29:19 59:25	goal 62:15 63:4
feature 21:6,6,9	31:3,4,25 39:2	34:15 44:16	73:21 74:5,6	63:12 67:7
21:14,22 22:1	41:10 44:6,11	56:5,8,9 63:11	76:21 78:2,3	goals 65:6
featured 20:17	44:25 49:14	75:21 76:19	garden 32:10	goes 44:20
21:7	63:6 67:24,25	78:13	gate 21:11,11	going 7:7 9:17
features 18:10	Fisher 31:25	four-bedroom	gatherings	11:6 14:11
19:10 22:7	40:23	39:24	45:13	16:11 18:15
featuring 18:1	fit 16:8 35:1	four-story 15:2	general 46:15	19:10 25:22
feedback 51:12	56:3	four-unit 15:2	67:19	26:3,18 27:23
56:22	five 10:18 44:16	65:7	generally 53:24	30:9,14 31:2
feel 45:5 51:15	56:5	fourth 18:9	54:1	33:3 38:25
59:11 61:2	five-image 64:12	64:14	gentleman 12:25	43:22 44:9,11
62:13	flag 57:14	framed 60:17	geological 22:18	44:16 45:14
feeling 62:2	flood 22:17	Frances 58:20	George 3:15	50:25 52:22
feels 45:7 59:5		FRANCISCO	11:16 31:1	61:12 62:1,3
59:13	flooding 56:13 floor 12:8 17:18	2:10	46:1 51:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
59:13 feet 6:7 15:9,11	18:9	-		69:17,20 71:11
· · · · ·		frankly 44:24	giant 57:6	74:22,24
17:7,12,15	floors 34:14,15	45:6	give 16:10 54:6	Goldin 58:20
18:3,6,13,17	34:16	free 47:15	given 12:22	good 4:7,9,12,12
18:18 19:6,8,8	flow 47:15	front 8:18 16:3,4	18:12 19:2,3	14:19 22:20
28:4,14,24	focus 64:7,8,11	17:6 19:7	30:6 60:19,24	27:14 31:11
46:24 47:1	focused 7:8	20:14,21 21:9	63:10 69:13,24	33:13,16 34:3
52:12,13 56:7	follow-up 24:14	21:20 22:7	75:18	35:18 36:7,10
56:8	follows 14:5	23:7,22 24:9	giving 72:14	38:23 40:14
felt 6:9 23:7	27:11 31:6	24:18 28:5	Glenwood 1:4,5	43:12 46:5
37:13 39:16	33:9 35:14	52:17	4:3,15,16 8:4	48:22 49:1
61:11	38:19 40:10	fronting 50:8	10:11 14:23	51:10 52:2,3
fight 39:20	43:8 48:19	froze 43:21 44:2	31:8,23 33:12	53:7,24 55:18
figures 41:6	51:23 53:20	full 5:4 18:2	33:18 34:19,20	55:20 65:14
final 27:7 70:3	55:15	37:1	34:21 35:17,23	68:14
70:22	fooled 56:14	fully 67:4	36:18 37:4,12	governing 66:18
finalized 23:21	foot 6:9	further 25:10	38:22 39:8	67:21
finally 52:3	footage 63:2	29:14 42:18	40:19,22 41:4	graduate 31:14
57:10 69:7	foregoing 79:7	47:17 52:23	41:18 46:3	Grainers 32:2
financially	foremost 14:19	56:4 58:7,12	47:18,21 49:6	grand 49:17
79:15	forgive 45:22	70:10 72:5	49:15 50:2	grandfather
find 6:24 25:20	forgiven 45:17	79:11	51:25 53:3	41:21
39:25 44:24	form 5 0:6	furthermore	57:5	grandmother
58:16	formal 8:4 22:12	65:22	glitched 73:17	59:12
finding 25:18,21	forth 52:8 79:10	future 56:25	Globe 49:11	grant 31:20
findings 26:20	forum 45:21	59:15	go 6:24 10:7	granted 37:23
37:14	forward 5:21		14:8 15:12	great 14:18
fine 48:9 61:11	6:9,18 11:6	<u> </u>	19:4 20:10,12	64:21 75:11
61:21	foster 50:23	G 43:7 51:22,22	22:2,25 24:1,8	green 1:12 10:20
finite 47:18	found 22:19	G-E-O-R-G-E	26:9,13 30:15	10:22 21:24
	41:1	51:25	49:4 52:14	60:4 75:4,5
fire 6:14,21,21				
fire 6:14,21,21 17:20	founded 41:8	game 57:17	59:2 62:2	77:3,6,17,18
	founded 41:8 founding 41:10	game 57:17 Gangadin 1:10	59:2 62:2 70:10 71:10,20	77:3,6,17,18 77:20,25

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					Page 86
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	groundwater	64:6 65:9 66:7	history 11:5	Hudson 31:17	individual 34:7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-			
	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		e	, ,		
guess 8:16 heart 32:8,12,12 58:9,13 59:5,9 I-N-D-I-A 31:9 58:11 67:10 guidance 12:17 height 15:8,11 66:19 33:11 information guidelines 57:2 28:24 29:2 75:16 ind 37:24 44:16 iBC 17:24 22:13 guidelines 57:2 28:24 29:2 75:16 image 56:14 information 31:11 46:17 hoid 37:24 44:16 immediate inherited 69:15 35:13 40:9.9 48:18,18 55:14 50:6 37:7 40:13 important 44:13 initial 68:8 help 40:16 41:19 47:7 65:12 66:11 initial 25:5 input 60:14 61:4 help 25:5 help 41:1 home's 57:21 imposing 21:13 installed 25:5 help 51:12; 56:9 help 11:15:6 56:4; 10;25 51:15 55:21 imporve 50:23 instrumental 11:16 12:1 79:10 34:3; 18,22 67:10 intact 50:3 intergity 55:1 30:10,24,25 help 41:1 home's 57:21 imposing 21:15 67:13; 16 67:10 intact 50:3 11:16 12:1 79:10 35:4		· · · · ·		<u> </u>	
guidance 12:17height 15:8,1166:1933:11information13:1621:14 22:22hold 37:24 44:16IBC 17:2422:13guidelines 57:228:24 29:275:16idea 45:14 64:21infrastructureguidelines 57:224:223 46:16Holdings 1:4immediateintital 8:8H57:6 64:11,1346:17immediate62:20initial 8:8Hass 61:1heights 22:2132:13,15 34:17import 44:1361:13 25:1Hass 11:1heights 22:2132:77.40:13import 44:1361:6 71:16Hass 11:1help 40:1641:19 47:765:12 66:11input 60:14 61:4Hadjyannis 8:3help 32:551:15 55:23imposing 21:13installed 25:5half 52:15 56:9helping 41:1homes 20:25import 45:5instrumentalhand 87,14heringe 58:836:17 39:9imaudible 6:24intenty 65:11hand 87,14hiccup 63:656:4,16,21include 19:11intersive 66:12hand 83:16high 31:1457:1.4,5 58:2include 19:11intersive 67:21hand 83:8hiccup 63:656:4,16,21include 51:3inter 717hand 13:2125:1557:1.3,1670:4interset 79:15hand 13:2236:17,19,2537:14,14 38:2incomsistent41:20hard 64:1238:3 39:12houre 11:1247:2 57:6interset 67:20hard 64:1238:3 39:12houre 47:7indi 38:89 31:3intrasons 50:4hard 64:1238:3 39:12houre 47:7indi	0		· · · · · ·	I-N-D-I-A 31:9	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0		· · · ·	33:11	
guidelines 57:2 28:24 29:2 75:16 idea 45:14 64:21 infrastructure gut 62:2 42:23 46:16 47:2 52:23 45:15 34:5,14 immediate inteita 69:15 H 57:6 64:11,13 46:17 immediate initial 08:8 initial 08:8 35:13 40:99 48:18,18 55:14 beights 22:21 32:13,15 34:17 important 44:13 initial og 50:23 Haas 41:1 hello 40:16 41:19 47:7 65:12 66:11 initial og 50:23 half 52:15 56:9 helping 41:1 home 2:73:11 important 44:13 initact 50:3 hamper 47:15 helping 41:1 homes 57:21 importent 45:5 instrumental hand 8:7.14 heritage 58:8 36:17 39:9 inaudible 6:24 intent 7:5 27:7 hands 33:16 his:19 74:14 49:9 51:17 65:11 74:20 interest 79:15 handpering 40:20 horored 2:8 incompatible 6:24 interest 79:15 hand 63:8 11:14 57:14,15 38:17 incompatible 6:24 interest 79:15 hand 64:12 36:17,19,25 57:14,14 38:2 67	0	<u> </u>		IBC 17:24	
gut 62:2 42:23 46:16 47:2 52:23 Holdings 1:4 41:5 34:5,14 image 56:14 immediate 56:5 inherited 69:15 initial 68:8 initial y4:21 33:13 40:9,9 48:18,18 55:14 57:6 64:11,13 50:6 46:17 import 42:10 import 42:19 initial 68:8 initial y4:21 48:18,18 55:14 heights 22:21 32:13,15 34:17 import 44:13 impossible initial 00:14 61:4 Haas 41:1 hello 40:16 41:19 47:7 65:12 66:11 inport 44:13 haas 52:15 56:9 help 32:5 51:15 55:23 impossible instrumental half 52:15 56:9 help 34:11 homes 20:25 impore 50:23 intert 50:3 hamper 47:15 helps 21:12,13 homes 20:25 inaudible 6:24 intert 71:7 hamde 37:14 hereinbefore 21:24 22:21 include 19:11 intereste 51:3 hamdle 30:8 hight 15:15 65:17 49:29 61:17 43:18 intert 71:7 hamdle 30:8 higher 56:6 58:23 63:2 25:27 72:9 intert 66:13 happened 39:11 higher 56:6 58:23 63:2 25:27 72:9 intert 61:30 happened 39:11 higher 56:6 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>idea 45:14 64:21</td><td>-</td></td<>				idea 45:14 64:21	-
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0			image 56:14	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Sut 02.2		l S	0	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	H		· · · · · ·	62:20	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	H 3:19 33:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		impact 42:10	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	35:13 40:9,9	<i>'</i>			•
Haas 41:1 Hadjiyannis 8:3 8:19,24 9:2 half 52:15 56:9 half 52:15 56:9 helpful 51:6 help al:1 hamper 47:15 half 82:14,15 help al:12,13 hereinbefore41:19 47:7 51:15 55:23 bill 51:15 55:23 brows 57:21 home's 57:21 improve 50:23 inaudible 6:24 integrity 55:1 integrity 55:1 inter 53:14,15 handel 30:8 hereing 58:8 bistoric 26:7,1041:19 47:7 51:15 55:23 bill 51:15 55:23 improve 50:23 inaudible 6:24 integrity 55:1 inter 7:17 integrity 55:1 inter 7:17 inter 7:17 inter 7:17 inter 7:17 		0	-	-	
Hadjiyannis 8:3 help 32:5 fill: 55:23 imposing 21:13 fill: 61:6 71:16 8:19,24 9:2 help 32:5 57:14,16,19 imposing 21:13 fil: 61:6 71:16 half 52:15 56:9 help al 1:1 home's 57:21 import 44:25 import 44:25 70:9 half 82:15 helps 21:12,13 home's 57:21 import 50:23 intact 50:3 intact 50:3 hand 87,14 heriage 58:8 36:17 39:9 inaudible 6:24 intert 50:3 intert 50:3 11:16 12:1 79:10 34:3,18,22 67:10 intact 50:3 integrity 55:1 30:10,24,25 heritage 58:8 36:17 39:9 include 51:3 65:11 74:20 intert 71:7 handle 30:8 hiccup 63:6 56:4,16,21 include 19:11 63:13 intert 71:7 hands 53:16 highlight 15:15 67:13,16 include 19:11 intert 71:7 63:13 happening 40:20 honored 42:8 70:4 intertwined 41:20 61:14,22,23 36:17,19,25 hour 11:12 47:2 57:6 introduced 41:20 61:14,22,23 36:17,19,25 hour 11:12 incensistent	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-	U U U
8:19,24 9:2 half 52:15 56:9 Hall 42:9 hamper 47:15 hamper 47:15 hamber 47:15 hand 8:7,14 hand 8:30:8 69:20 half 55:15 happenig 59:11 happenig 40:20 high 31:14 59:11 happenig 59:11 happenig 40:20 high 31:14 bistoric 26:7,10 fill 4:22,23 def 1:10 home's 57:21 home's 57:21 happoropriate for:10 for:10 for:17,32 home's 57:21 handue's 54:3 home's 57:21 home's 57:21 historic 26:7,10 for:13,16 home's 27:7 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 historic 26:7,10 for:13,16 home's 57:2 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 historic 26:7,10 for:13,16 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 historic 26:7,10 for:13,16 home's 57:2 home's 57:4 home's 57:2 home's 57:5 home's 57:5 home's 57:5 home's 57:5 home's 57:5 home's 57:1 home's 57:2 home's 57:1 home's 57:2 home's 57:1 home's 57:2 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 home's 57:2 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 home's 57:2 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 home's 57:2 home's 57:2 home's 57:3 home's 57:2 home's 57:2 home					I -
half 52:15 56:9 helpful 51:6 58:5,11,22 44:25 70:9 hall 42:9 helpful 51:6 58:5,11,22 impotent 45:5 instrumental hamper 47:15 helpful 51:6 home's 57:21 impotent 45:5 instrumental 11:16 12:1 79:10 34:3,18,22 67:10 intact 50:3 30:10,24,25 heritage 58:8 36:17 39:9 8:17 43:18 intent 7:5 27:7 handcuffs 54:24 hi 8:19 74:14 49:9 51:17 65:11 74:20 intent 7:17 hands 30:8 ficcup 63:6 56:4,16,21 include 51:3 inter 7:17 hands 53:16 high 31:14 57:1,4,5 58:2 include 19:11 inter of 7:22 happening 40:20 honored 42:8 70:4 11:16 12:19 happy 7:19 48:6 historic 26:7,10 Hospital 31:19 46:18 11:14 hard 64:12 38:3 39:12 house 24:10 incensing 47:14 11:12 hard 64:12 51:11,15 55:2 52:13,18,20,22 31:7 33:2,10 11:14 hard 64:12 58:7,10,22 10:5 11:11 11:12 11:12 11:12		-			
Hall 42:9 hamper 47:15 hamper 47:15 hand 8:7,14 11:16 12:1 $30:10,24,25$ $48:15 53:14,15$ Inderive 57:21 hereinbefore $79:10$ impotent 45:5 improve 50:23 $21:24 22:21$ $34:3,18,22$ $36:17 39:9$ $48:15 53:14,15$ impotent 45:5 integrity 55:1 inaudible 6:24 $8:17 43:18$ impotent 45:5 integrity 55:1 intent 7:57 intensive 66:13 intersive 61:20 intersive 61:21 intersive 61:20 intersive 61:22 intersive 61:21 intersive 61:22 intersive 61:22 intersive 61:22 intersive 61:21 intersive 61:22 intersive 61:22 intersive 61:22 intersive 61:22 intersive 61:22 intersive 61:23 intrusions 50:4 intrusions 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		-	
hamper 47:15 hand 8:7,14helps 21:12,13 hereinbeforehomes 20:25 21:24 22:21 30:10,24,25 heritage 58:8infinite meritage 31:1030:10,24,25 48:15 53:14,15heritage 58:8 59:1536:17 39:9 46:19,25 49:5intact 50:3 integrity 55:1 inaudible 6:24 8:17 43:18handu 30:8 69:20hi 8:19 74:14 hiccup 63:649:9 51:17 59:1565:11 74:20 include 51:3 65:11 74:20intersive 66:13 intersive 66:13 intersive 66:13hands 53:16 happened 39:11 happening 59:11historic 26:7,10 40:2058:23 63:2 67:13,16 honored 42:8 historic 26:7,10include 19:11 25:27 72:9happening 59:11 happening 59:1126:15,18 36:17 31:21,22 42:337:18 interwate 65:25hard 64:12 ass 39:12 head 55:23 41:2 46:1536:17,19,25 51:11,15 55:210:112 52:13,18,20,22hard 64:12 33:64:321,24 41:2 46:15 43:21,2450:3,6,9,24 51:11,15 55:239:14 49:14,20 39:14 49:14,20head 55:23 44:22 46:15 33:64:321,24 41:2 46:15 43:21,2450:3,6,9,24 51:11,15 55:239:14 49:14,20 39:14 49:14,20head 55:23 44:22 46:15 33:64:321,24 48:8 54:4 74:14,15,2156:24 57:2 72:6 73:3 75:910:5 10:5 10:5 10:5hear 5:7,13 7:2 74:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:9 75:1410:5 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,1611:11,13 63:18 37:19 39:17hard 64:21 12:30:7 14:14,15,2175:14 72:6 73:3 75:910:5 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,1611:11,13 63:18 43:12hard 64:21 12:30:7 14:14,15,2175:375.		-		impotent 45:5	
hand 8:7,14Inceriblefore21:24 22:21inappropriateintact 50:311:16 12:179:1034:3,18,2267:10intact 50:330:10,24,25heritage 58:836:17 39:98:17 43:18intent 7:5 27:748:15 53:14,1559:1546:19,25 49:58:17 43:18intent 7:5 27:7handuffs 54:24hi 8:19 74:1449:9 51:1765:11 74:20intent 7:17handu 30:8higen 56:656:4,16,21included 19:1163:13hands 53:16higher 56:658:23 63:225:2 72:9interior 17:22happening40:20honored 42:870:4interior 17:2259:11higen 56:7,10hope 50:25incompatible37:18happening26:15,18 36:1731:21,22 42:3inconsistent41:2065:537:14,14 38:230:17,19,25hours 47:765:2511trospect 59:3hard 64:1238:3 39:12house 24:10increasing 47:14introspect 59:3head 55:2342:21 46:1836:18 37:6,2431:7 33:2,10introspect 59:3heat 10:1156:24 57:252:13,18,20,2231:7 33:2,10invasion 45:9,9heat 51:1,1551:11,15 55:252:13,18,20,2231:7 33:2,10invasion 45:9,9heat 51:1,2250:3,6,9,2439:14 49:14,2010:5invested 57:2033:6 43:21,2460:22 61:1,839:13 40:18indicate 61:3invested 57:2033:6 43:21,2460:22 61:1,839:13 40:18indicate 61:357:2174:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:972:17				-	
11:16 12:1 30:10,24,25 48:15 53:14,15Intervine 59:1511:12 12:17 34:3,18,2267:10 inaudible 6:24 8:17 43:18 65:11 74:20 intersive 66:13 intersive 66:13 intrusions 50:4 intrusions 50	-	- ·		-	
30:10,24,25 48:15 53:14,15 heritage 58:8 59:15 36:17 39:9 46:19,25 49:5 inaudible 6:24 8:17 43:18 intend 7:5 27:7 8:17 43:18 handcuffs 54:24 handle 30:8 69:20 hi 8:19 74:14 hiccup 63:6 49:9 51:17 55:15 65:11 74:20 intend 7:5 27:7 8:17 43:18 hands 53:16 happened 39:11 high 31:14 40:20 57:1,4,5 58:2 highlight 15:15 include 51:3 67:13,16 intervive 66:13 intervive 66:13 happening 59:11 historic 26:7,10 48:6 60:23 historic 26:7,10 26:15,18 36:17 Hospital 31:19 31:21,22 42:3 70:4 incompatible intertwined 41:20 hard 64:12 38:3 39:12 head 55:23 house 47:7 42:21 46:18 house 24:10 31:7 33:2,10 increasing 47:14 introspect 59:3 intrusions 50:4 invasion 45:9,9 intrusions 50:4 invasion 45:9,9 hear 10:11 56:24 57:2 33:6 43:21,24 60:22 61:1,8 67:17 71:2,5 39:13 40:18 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 31:7 33:2,10 41:17 42:7 indicate 61:3 invasion 45:9,9 invasion 45:9,9 invasion 45:9,9 hear 10:11 56:24 57:2 33:6 43:21,24 48:8 54:4 67:17 71:2,5 75:14 39:13 40:18 49:18,23 50:8 63:15 64:3 indicate 41:16 invested 57:20 invested 57:20 invested 57:21 hard 64:16 7:8,25 75:14 49:18,23 50:8 64:1 67:8,25 invested 57:21 invested 57:21	· · · ·				
48:15 53:14,15 initial 59:15 46:19,25 49:5 8:17 43:18 intent ve 6:13 handcuffs 54:24 hi 8:19 74:14 49:9 51:17 65:11 74:20 intent ve 6:13 handle 30:8 ficcup 63:6 56:4,16,21 include 51:3 63:13 69:20 High 31:14 57:1,4,5 58:2 including 52:15 63:13 happend 39:11 higher 56:6 58:23 63:2 25:2 72:9 intersive 6:13 happening 40:20 honored 42:8 hope 50:25 incompatible 37:18 happy 7:19 48:6 historic 26:7,10 Hospital 31:19 46:18 intertwined 41:20 61:14,22,23 36:17,19,25 hour 11:12 47:2 57:6 introduced 41:14 hard 64:12 38:3 39:12 house 47:7 house 47:7 inceasing 47:14 introspect 59:3 health 31:22 50:3,6,9,24 39:14 49:14,20 31:7 33:2,10 intrusions 50:4 intvasion 45:9,9 48:8 54:4 67:17 71:2,5 39:13 40:18 63:15 64:3 invasive 65:22 invasive 65:22 11:1 55:24 57:2 houses 34:21 63:15 64:3 invested 57:20 invested					
handcuffs 54:24 handle 30:8hi 8:19 74:14 hiccup 63:649:9 51:17 56:4,16,2165:11 74:20 include 51:3intern 7:17 63:13handle 30:8 69:20High 31:14 high 31:1457:1,4,5 58:2 58:23 63:2include 51:3 include 19:11interested 79:15 interior 17:22happened 39:11 happening 59:11higher 56:6 highlight 15:1558:23 63:2 67:13,16including 52:15 r0:421:19 interior 17:22happening 59:1140:20 honored 42:8honored 42:8 hose 50:2570:4 incompatibleinterested 79:15 interior 17:22happening 48:6 60:2326:15,18 36:17 26:15,18 36:1731:21,22 42:3 a6:17,19,25inconsistent introduced41:20 introduced65:55 65:537:14,14 38:2 10:14,22,23hours 47:7 36:17,19,25house 24:10 36:18 37:6,24introduced introducedhard 64:12 41:2 46:1551:11,15 55:2 51:11,15 55:252:13,18,20,22 30:6,9,2439:14 49:14,20 39:14 49:14,20introspect 59:3 intrusions 50:4 invasion 45:9,9 invasion 45:9,9heat 10:11 24:23 30:9 33:6 43:21,24 48:8 54:4 48:8 54:4 67:17 71:2,5houses 34:21 39:13 40:18 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:0 7:4 60:22 41:11,13 63:18 indicated 4:16 57:21 involved 7:14 37:19 39:17 irrespectiveheard 5:7,13 7:2 12:6 30:7historical 40:21 52:1952:1964:1 67:8,25		U U			
handle 30:8inicity 63:656:4,16,21include 51:3include 51:363:1369:20High 31:1457:1,4,5 58:2include 19:1163:13hands 53:16higher 56:658:23 63:225:2 72:9interested 79:15happened 39:11high ght 15:1567:13,16including 52:1521:19happening40:20honored 42:870:4internal 6:2059:11hire 45:3hope 50:25incompatible37:18happy 7:19 48:6historic 26:7,10Hospital 31:1946:18intertwined48:6 60:2326:15,18 36:1731:21,22 42:3inconsistent41:2061:14,22,2336:17,19,25hour 11:1247:2 57:6introduced65:537:14,14 38:2hours 47:765:2541:14hard 64:1238:3 39:12house 24:10increasing 47:14introspect 59:3head 55:2342:21 46:1836:18 37:6,2431:7 33:2,10invasion 45:9,9heath 31:2250:3,6,9,2439:14 49:14,2031:7 33:2,10invasion 45:9,9hear 10:1156:24 57:2housekeeping41:17 42:7invasion 45:9,924:23 30:958:7,10,2210:563:15 64:3indicate 61:333:6 43:21,2460:22 61:1,8houses 34:2163:15 64:3indicate 41:674:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:942:17 49:15,164:20 7:4 60:22investment74:14,15,2175:1449:18,23 50:861:11,13 63:1837:19 39:1712:6 30:7historical 40:2152:1964:1 67:8,25	,				
69:20Interprotion1000000000000000000000000000000000000					
hands 53:16 happened 39:11 happening 59:11high 51:14 highlight 15:15 40:201000000000000000000000000000000000000		-			
happened 39:11 happening 59:11highlight 15:15 40:2067:13,16 honored 42:8 hope 50:25including 52:15 70:411:12 internal 6:20 37:18happy 7:19 48:6 48:6 60:23 61:14,22,23 61:14,22,23 65:5historic 26:7,10 26:15,18 36:17 36:17,19,25Hospital 31:19 31:21,22 42:3 hour 11:12incompatible 46:18 inconsistent 47:2 57:6intertwined 41:20hard 64:12 heard 55:23 41:2 46:1536:17,19,25 37:14,14 38:2 42:21 46:18 51:11,15 55:2hours 47:7 10:565:25 10:5increasing 47:14 11:12hard 64:12 heard 55:23 41:2 46:1536:18 37:6,24 51:11,15 55:239:14 49:14,20 52:13,18,20,22increasing 47:14 11:17 42:7 11dia 3:8,9 31:3 31:7 33:2,10 41:17 42:7 11dia at 21,22invasion 45:9,9 11:17 42:7 11:17 42:7 11:17 42:7 11:11heart 10:11 24:23 30:9 33:6 43:21,24 48:8 54:4 74:14,15,21 74:14,15,21 74:14,15,21 75:14houses 34:21 39:13 40:18 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:17 49:15,16 42:11,13 63:18 37:19 39:17 intrespectiveinvolved 7:14 37:19 39:17 irrespective		0			
happening 59:1140:20honored 42:8 hope 50:2570:4internal 6:20happy 7:19 48:6historic 26:7,10Hospital 31:1937:1848:6 60:2326:15,18 36:1731:21,22 42:346:18intertwined61:14,22,2336:17,19,25hour 11:1247:2 57:6introduced65:537:14,14 38:2hours 47:765:25introducedhard 64:1238:3 39:12house 24:1065:25introducedhead 55:2342:21 46:1836:18 37:6,24introspect 59:3heath 31:2250:3,6,9,2439:14 49:14,2031:7 33:2,10hear 10:1156:24 57:2houses 34:2131:7 42:7hass 54:467:17 71:2,539:13 40:18indicate 61:374:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:942:17 49:15,164:20 7:4 60:22heard 5:7,13 7:275:1449:18,23 50:864:1 67:8,2512:6 30:7historical 40:2152:1964:1 67:8,25		0			
19:11Intervalhire45:3hope50:25incompatible37:18happy7:1948:660:2326:15,1836:1731:21,2246:1837:1861:14,22,2336:17,19,25hour11:1247:257:641:2065:537:14,1438:2hours47:765:2541:14hard64:1238:339:12house24:10increasing47:14head55:2342:2146:1836:1837:6,24introspect59:3health31:2250:3,6,9,2439:1449:14,20India38.931:341:246:1551:11,1555:252:13,18,20,2231:733:2,10invader45:841:246:2457:20houses39:1340:1841:17invasion45:9,910:5houses39:1340:1863:1563:1564:357:2033:643:21,2460:2261:1839:1340:1863:1564:357:2148:854:467:1771:2,539:1340:184:207:460:2212:630:7historical40:2152:1964:167:8,25involved7:1412:630:7historical40:2152:1964:167:8,25irrespective		0 0	- ²	0	
happy 7:19 48:6historic 26:7,10Hospital 31:1946:18intertwined48:6 60:2326:15,18 36:1731:21,22 42:3inconsistent41:2061:14,22,2336:17,19,25hour 11:1247:2 57:6introduced65:537:14,14 38:2hours 47:765:2541:14hard 64:1238:3 39:12house 24:10increasing 47:14introspect 59:3head 55:2342:21 46:1836:18 37:6,24incumbent 36:7intrusions 50:4heath 31:2250:3,6,9,2439:14 49:14,2031:7 33:2,10invasion 45:9,941:2 46:1551:11,15 55:252:13,18,20,2231:7 33:2,10invasion 45:9,9hear 10:1156:24 57:2house 34:2163:15 64:3invested 57:2033:6 43:21,2460:22 61:1,8houses 34:2163:15 64:3indicated 4:1648:8 54:467:17 71:2,539:13 40:18indicated 4:1657:2174:14,15,2175:1449:18,23 50:861:11,13 63:1837:19 39:1712:6 30:7historical 40:2152:1961:11,13 63:1837:19 39:17					
1 8:6 60:23 61:14,22,23 65:5 1 16:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:			-	-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		· · · · ·	I =		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, í		
hard 64:1238:3 39:12house 24:10increasing 47:14introspect 59:3head 55:2342:21 46:1836:18 37:6,2439:14 49:14,20increasing 47:14introspect 59:3health 31:2250:3,6,9,2439:14 49:14,20India 3:8,9 31:3invasion 45:9,941:2 46:1551:11,15 55:252:13,18,20,2231:7 33:2,10invasion 45:9,9hear 10:1156:24 57:2housekeeping31:7 33:2,10invasion 45:9,924:23 30:958:7,10,2210:5indicate 61:3invested 57:2033:6 43:21,2460:22 61:1,810:563:15 64:3invested 57:2048:8 54:467:17 71:2,539:13 40:1863:15 64:3invested 57:2074:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:942:17 49:15,164:20 7:4 60:22involved 7:1412:6 30:7historical 40:2152:1964:1 67:8,25irrespective		<i></i>			
head 55:2342:21 46:1836:18 37:6,24incumbent 36:7health 31:2250:3,6,9,2439:14 49:14,20India 3:8,9 31:341:2 46:1551:11,15 55:252:13,18,20,22India 3:8,9 31:3hear 10:1156:24 57:2bousekeeping31:7 33:2,1024:23 30:958:7,10,2210:5intrusion 45:9,933:6 43:21,2460:22 61:1,8bouses 34:2163:15 64:348:8 54:467:17 71:2,539:13 40:18indicate 4:1674:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:942:17 49:15,164:20 7:4 60:22heard 5:7,13 7:275:1449:18,23 50:864:1 67:8,2512:6 30:7historical 40:2152:1964:1 67:8,25		· · ·			
health 31:2250:3,6,9,2439:14 49:14,20India 3:8,9 31:341:2 46:1551:11,15 55:252:13,18,20,2231:7 33:2,10hear 10:1156:24 57:2housekeeping41:17 42:724:23 30:958:7,10,2210:5indicate 61:333:6 43:21,2460:22 61:1,860:22 61:1,863:15 64:348:8 54:467:17 71:2,539:13 40:18indicate 4:1674:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:942:17 49:15,164:20 7:4 60:22heard 5:7,13 7:275:1449:18,23 50:864:1 67:8,2512:6 30:7historical 40:2152:1964:1 67:8,25				0	-
41:2 46:1551:11,15 55:252:13,18,20,2231:7 33:2,10invasion 45:9,9hear 10:1156:24 57:2housekeeping10:5invasion 45:9,924:23 30:958:7,10,2210:5invasive 64:2533:6 43:21,2460:22 61:1,810:563:15 64:3invested 57:2048:8 54:467:17 71:2,539:13 40:1863:15 64:3investment74:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:942:17 49:15,164:20 7:4 60:22involved 7:14heard 5:7,13 7:275:1449:18,23 50:864:1 67:8,2537:19 39:1712:6 30:7historical 40:2152:1964:1 67:8,25irrespective			· · · · · ·		
hear 10:1156:24 57:2housekeeping 10:541:17 42:7invasion 15:5,524:23 30:958:7,10,2210:5indicate 61:3invested 57:2033:6 43:21,2460:22 61:1,8houses 34:2163:15 64:3invested 57:2048:8 54:467:17 71:2,539:13 40:18indicate 4:1657:2174:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:942:17 49:15,164:20 7:4 60:22involved 7:14heard 5:7,13 7:275:1449:18,23 50:864:1 67:8,2537:19 39:1712:6 30:7historical 40:2152:1964:1 67:8,25irrespective			· · · · ·		
24:23 30:9 33:6 43:21,24 48:8 54:458:7,10,22 60:22 61:1,8 67:17 71:2,510:5 houses 34:21 39:13 40:18indicate 61:3 63:15 64:3 indicated 4:16invested 57:20 invested 57:2048:8 54:4 74:14,15,21 heard 5:7,13 7:267:17 71:2,5 75:1439:13 40:18 42:17 49:15,16 49:18,23 50:8indicate 61:3 63:15 64:3 indicated 4:16invested 57:20 investment 57:21heard 5:7,13 7:2 12:6 30:775:14 historical 40:2149:18,23 50:8 52:1964:1 67:8,2537:19 39:17 irrespective		<i>'</i>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
33:6 43:21,24 48:8 54:460:22 61:1,8 67:17 71:2,5houses 34:21 39:13 40:1863:15 64:3 indicated 4:16investment 57:2148:8 54:4 74:14,15,2172:6 73:3 75:9 75:1442:17 49:15,16 49:18,23 50:863:15 64:3 indicated 4:16investment 57:21heard 5:7,13 7:2 12:6 30:775:14 historical 40:2199:18,23 50:8 52:1964:1 67:8,2537:19 39:17 irrespective					
48:8 54:4 67:17 71:2,5 39:13 40:18 indicated 4:16 57:21 74:14,15,21 72:6 73:3 75:9 42:17 49:15,16 4:20 7:4 60:22 involved 7:14 heard 5:7,13 7:2 75:14 49:18,23 50:8 64:1 67:8,25 37:19 39:17 12:6 30:7 historical 40:21 52:19 64:1 67:8,25 irrespective					
74:14,15,21 72:6 73:3 75:9 42:17 49:15,16 4:20 7:4 60:22 involved 7:14 heard 5:7,13 7:2 75:14 49:18,23 50:8 64:1 67:8,25 37:19 39:17 12:6 30:7 historical 40:21 52:19 64:1 67:8,25 irrespective	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
heard 5:7,13 7:272:10 (33:5)42:11 (4):13,1061:11,13 63:1837:19 39:1712:6 30:7historical 40:2152:1964:1 67:8,25irrespective					
12:6 30:7 historical 40:21 52:19 64:1 67:8,25 irrespective			· · · · · ·		
			· · ·	,	
44:18 44:18					-
		44.12 49:24	111 C 20.13,14		44.10
		 	l	 	

				Page 87
issuance 70:9,15	K-A-L-B-A-C	landscaping	laws 75:13	22:1 36:1
70:19 71:6	40:12	70:8	lawyer 41:24	Lipski 1:11
issue 11:24	Kalbach 3:12	Langston 1:10	layout 18:8	10:13,16 58:14
43:16,17 44:4	40:8,12,12,14	4:1,9,12 7:21	layouts 18:3	60:3 75:2,3
44:7,19 45:21	43:5	7:25 8:10,23	lead 12:16	76:2 78:6,7
51:10 73:5,6	keen 21:3	8:25 9:23 10:3	leading 65:17	list 10:8 26:21
issues 41:13	keep 11:5 20:22	10:12,17 11:2	leave 58:15	listed 25:14
44:12,25 47:22	54:7	11:9,20,23	69:18 76:24	listen 35:22
54:24	keeping 65:6	12:3 13:1,12	leaving 33:18	listening 39:3,4
It'll 58:4	Kennedy 15:1	13:20,25 14:17	57:18	45:20 47:25
item 4:2 10:5	kicked 28:13	19:14 20:1,11	led 37:15	68:15
44:6	kind 21:12 32:5	25:11 26:1,8	left 20:15 23:1	literally 36:16
iterations 6:4	knew 31:24	26:17,25 27:4	37:21 40:24	little 18:11 20:8
	34:24	27:9,14,20	left-hand 15:16	23:8 24:19
J	knocked 32:9	29:16,20 30:1	15:18	30:13 34:20,20
J-R 51:25	know 5:22 7:25	30:20 31:11	legacy 59:17	35:2 38:11
JC 41:3 57:1	8:5,8 24:15	32:23 33:4,13	legal 66:9	39:15,19 42:14
JCLC 50:17,21	27:24 28:20,23	35:8,18 38:5,8	legally 46:11	49:4,5 58:17
51:1	30:8,9,13	38:12,23 40:6	length 46:16	59:3 63:2 69:2
jeopardy 34:7	37:25 39:18	40:14 43:4,12	52:23	live 34:10 38:21
Jersey 1:1 13:8	43:15 48:7,14	43:20 44:1	let's 4:1 8:15	lived 31:13,23
13:18 14:24	48:23 52:9	45:24 46:5	9:19 10:4,8	32:7 33:17
22:18 31:8,14	53:4 54:4,5	48:1,9,12,22	11:13,21 12:19	34:3 44:16
31:18 33:12,12	61:15 62:13,19	51:20 52:2	12:23 13:16,25	lives 34:6,7
34:12 35:17	65:11 66:5,6,6	53:10,23 54:1	19:14 30:21	living 41:19
40:25 41:13	69:1 72:5,11	54:10 55:9,18	42:24 48:16	LLC 1:4 4:15
43:11,11 46:3	72:15,16,18,21	59:20 60:6,10	58:11,24 69:10	local 59:10
46:4 49:2	75:9,15	61:5 62:1,5,11	letter 26:18	located 14:24
50:12 52:1	knowing 54:15	69:4,19 71:10	56:25	18:19
53:22,22 55:22	knowledge 7:22	71:14,20 72:10	level 64:24	Lockharts 32:1
55:23 57:14,16	7:24 51:4	73:7,16,19,25	license 12:18	Lombardozzi
70:18 74:9,10	known 50:16	74:3 75:6,7,23	13:17 27:17	1:22 79:5,19
79:7,19	knows 65:12	75:25 76:6,12	licensed 12:15	long 39:1 44:4
JFK 16:21 50:3	Krehel 5:7	76:17,24 77:7	12:24,25 13:14	45:11 52:13
job 36:6 49:13	58:18 69:16	77:12,22 78:11	life 31:15 34:1,1	66:14 69:2
jockey 47:20	Krehel's 23:13	78:12,16	35:6 40:3	longer 7:10
John 15:1 41:6		language 66:10	42:10 47:22	28:17 52:13
joined 38:17	L	LaPorta 3:3 9:7	58:5	longstanding
Journal 41:13	L 2:1 27:10,10	12:12,15 13:5	life's 42:8	59:9
journey 42:9	27:10 33:8,8	13:7,7,10,14	lifelong 31:8	look 23:7 24:10
50:23	40:9,9 43:7	13:19,24 14:1	lift 59:14	51:15 52:19
Jr 51:24	51:22 53:19,19	14:3,22	light 7:14 21:25	61:16,24,25
July 1:7 4:4	land 45:8 46:14	LaPorta's 12:17	32:19 35:5	65:13 72:8
18:25 19:6,24	landmark 75:10	large 50:3	40:3 47:8 58:4	looked 52:9
20:6	landmarked	largely 7:8	lighting 21:12	63:22
jump 4:19 71:22	42:12 49:23	Las 57:17	limb 19:3	looking 11:11
	Landmarks	lastly 66:4	limit 30:4 54:2,5	16:18,21 25:18
<u> </u>	40:18 49:3	late 28:11	limits 65:17	52:10,11,21
K 35:13 40:9 55:14	landscape 36:16	law 65:14	line 18:24 19:1,5	53:5
		l	l	l

				Page 88
looks 32:16	60:20 69:12,22	meeting 4:4 6:3	35:19 38:6,24	48:16 62:22,23
71:25 72:8	73:11,18	6:16 10:7	40:15 43:13	62:23,25 69:10
lose 52:15	mark 40:24	14:13 15:6	46:6 48:24	moved 49:14
lost 58:10,22	marked 3:21	18:25 19:25,25	52:4 54:8	movement 41:7
59:1	married 33:19	20:6,8,16	55:19	41:21 42:1
lot 1:5 4:17 5:18	Martin 3:4 9:8	23:11,12,19	misalignment	55:4
17:10,11,14	13:5,9 14:8,21	26:13 27:16	46:22	moving 15:23
28:7,13 29:6	19:19 24:13	36:23 37:4,8	misheard 9:15	16:24 17:18
50:13 60:20	28:1	37:17 43:17	misread 44:23	18:14 20:13
66:17	mass 57:16	53:3 57:11	misrepresenting	21:17 22:5,11
lots 28:6 49:14	mass 57.10 massing 64:14	58:14 60:14	56:19	41:4
lovely 32:10,10	massing 04.14 massive 56:3	61:14,14 63:7	missed 5:2	multi-family
39:15 46:19	match 20:25	64:20 67:25	mistake 37:25	46:12
lower 52:21	21:4 56:25	68:8 71:15	mistake 37:23 mistaken 17:6	multi-resident
Lutheran 31:17	matches 25:25	meetings 20:19 21:16 23:21	mitigating 56:12 modern 23:8	34:4 46:17
M	matching 17:14			multilevel 35:1
M 14:4,4 35:13	material 24:24	24:15 25:17	50:4	multiple 12:22
35:13 43:7	25:3,13,15,21	45:12 64:6	modification	municipality 36:6
53:19 55:14,14	72:4 73:1	68:1	15:24 moment 43:15	36:6 mute 71:9
M-a-c-C-O-R	materials 21:22	meets 7:17		mule /1:9
35:16 55:17	22:1 25:18	member 41:3	monstrosity	<u> </u>
ma'am 35:9	36:24 37:2	65:5 66:7	35:1	$\frac{1}{N}$ 1:14 2:1 14:4
MacCormack	64:24 70:2,4	members 1:9 4:8	Montgomery	14:4 27:10
3:10,17 35:12	71:3	5:13 51:2 67:12	38:1	31:3,5,7 33:8
35:15,15,16,18	Matt 2:4 45:11		months 6:13	35:13,13 40:9
35:20 38:5,7	matter 1:2 45:14	memo 71:2	12:22 63:11	43:7 55:14
38:10 55:13,16	45:15 49:5	mental 31:21	68:8 	N-A-T-H-A-L
55:17,18,20	maximums 29:9	mention 42:7	moon 32:20	40:12
59:21 60:13	Mayhew 46:19	65:13	Moreno 3:4 9:8	N-A-T-H-A-N
63:17	49:10,20	mentioned	12:12,16 13:6	35:16
MacCormack's	McGinley 55:24	14:21 15:24	13:9,9,15,22	N-I-C-H-O-L
60:17	mean 26:6 35:4	17:15 18:7	14:1,18,21	31:10
making 6:21	44:8 45:1 52:9	25:23 27:24	16:25 17:4	NAACP 41:25
51:6 67:6	61:5 71:14	66:8 68:14,15	19:14,17,22	name 3:7 14:21
MALLORY 2:8	meaning 45:1	71:1	20:4 25:10,16	31:9 40:11
manager 49:11	meaningful 59:17	merely 65:24	27:1,2 64:1,11	55:16
Manny 40:22		met 23:14 41:17	mother-in-law	Nathalie 3:12
Mantin 65:16	means 17:21,22 52:14	74:23	31:3	40:8,11
maps 22:17,18	-	MG 11:16 31:1	motion 60:7	Nathan 3:10
63:23	meant 9:11,13	Michael 1:22	73:20,22 74:1	35:11,15 55:12
March 4:5,21	measure 25:23	79:5,19	75:22 76:1,9	native 55:22
9:10,12,16,18	measured 18:2	mid-rise 46:12	76:11,13,15,16	nearby 56:20
10:7,11 15:6	measurements	middle 39:12	76:20,23 77:2	necessarily 5:11
20:16 23:5,10	22:20 63:23	Mike 13:4	77:2,4,9,13,15	necessary 28:17
23:12 27:16	measures 18:13	mind 44:11	77:23 78:14,14	need 6:10 7:11
35:24 37:3,16	18:16,17	minor 4:3	motions 75:21	11:5 28:22
Marione 2:2 8:2	measuring 18:5	minute 22:3	move 6:18 7:19	39:1 54:19
8:13 26:5,14	52:11	minutes 11:11	15:14 18:23,23	69:14 72:17
0.13 20.3,14	meet 5:23 45:12	31:12 33:14	24:16 37:21	07.14 /2.1/

				Page 89
76:3	Notary 79:5	27:20 29:20	23:10 34:22	passed 42:5
needed 32:5	note 11:15 63:17	30:18,20 33:4	out-of-town	passes 78:15
needs 37:24	63:19	33:6 38:12,16	59:7	PATRICK 1:13
58:18 72:15,15	number 56:21	38:25 53:16	outpouring	PC 13:11 14:23
negotiated 45:17	68:16 69:24	54:10 60:6	37:19	people 9:7 34:10
neighbor 31:24	70:2	69:22 71:14	outside 38:2	35:4 39:25
36:7,10 42:4	numbers 56:17	73:7,19,25	overall 5:9 15:6	44:16,17 58:19
53:7 59:4	nursing 41:2	75:20,23 76:12	21:14 28:19	58:21 59:3
neighborhood		76:17 77:9,12	29:1	percent 17:9,11
32:3 33:20,25	0	77:16,22,25	overlook 50:15	17:14 28:13
34:23,23 35:2	O 2:1 14:4,4	78:13,16	overlooked	29:4,5,6
47:3,5 50:7	27:10,10 35:13	old 4:1 25:20	50:12	percentage 17:9
51:5 55:24	43:7 48:18	28:3	oversee 49:15	Perez 3:14 48:11
57:9 67:11,19	51:22 53:19	older 25:18	oversight 51:11	48:16,20,20,22
71:17	55:14	on-site 22:19	owners 62:22	48:25 49:2
neighborhood's	O'Dea 3:16	63:23		51:21 64:19
55:2	53:18,21,21,23	once 6:2,2 16:19	<u> </u>	Perfect 12:9
neighborhoods	53:25 54:7,11	16:22 17:7,7	P 1:14,14 2:1	perfectly 61:11
47:12	55:11	22:2,6 24:1,9	48:18,18	performed
neighboring	oath 12:13,23	39:3 41:21	P-E-R-E-Z	22:13
21:23,24	object 46:9,13	one- 39:9	48:21	permit 37:23
neighbors 22:23	objection 8:4	online 20:3	p.m 1:8 78:19	permits 6:24
24:4 34:3	observing 8:20	22:19	P.P 2:2,4,6,8,12	71:6
39:19 40:2	obviously 25:13	open 30:2,9,21	P22-197 1:3 4:2	permitted 6:16
neither 79:11,13	28:10,15 30:12	47:9 58:4	73:22	29:5,6,9 65:24
nervous 32:21	48:23 63:11	opinion 61:4,22	package 19:20	66:1,14 70:6
never 44:17	occupancy	opinions 60:25	19:20	person 67:24
54:21 67:9	70:10,11,16,20	61:16	packet 20:3	personal 42:14
nevertheless	occurring 50:20	OPPER 2:12	page 3:2,7,20	persons 47:12
64:9	odds 57:3	opportunity	15:14,23 24:9	ph 32:1,2 40:23
new 13:8,17	offend 9:21	5:18 20:9	52:16	41:1
15:10 16:1	offer 57:25	45:20 50:17	Pam 58:16	photo 15:22
17:10,13,16	60:14	55:21	parade 39:12,15	16:18,21
19:15,19 28:12	offered 34:19,20	oppose 37:5	parapet 19:7	photograph
28:21 33:12	63:21	opposing 7:22	21:10 64:22	71:23,25 72:9
37:13 43:1,11	office 23:16	opposite 47:13	parking 35:5	73:2
46:3 49:12	26:12 31:18	opposition 8:18	39:25 47:17,20	photographs
50:5 53:22	50:24 57:15	11:18	parking's 57:24	25:18
55:22 79:6,19	66:25	oppressively	part 19:19 25:2	photos 15:19
new-growth	official 70:6	57:6	26:19 39:14	16:17
56:10	officials 54:3	opted 63:11	40:17 49:21	pick 71:3
nicer 21:14	Oh 9:25	Oral 40:17	50:9 54:16	pieces 50:15
Nicholson 31:9	okay 8:10,22,23	order 15:25	partially 64:8	pivotal 41:6
nightmare 57:25	10:10,25 12:7	ordinance 65:20	participated	Pizzo 65:16
NJ 17:23	13:20,25 14:8	65:21,25 67:5	41:11,25	place 12:23
noes 78:14	14:19 17:18	original 4:5 10:9	particular 64:4	37:16 45:21
noise 35:5 40:1	20:1,11,13	10:18 26:19	parties 79:13	47:3,16 57:7
normally 54:9	25:7,11 26:1,8	originally 19:5	partnership	58:6,15 79:10
Notably 42:4	26:9,17,25	20:15 23:1,2	51:1	placed 34:6

				Page 90
72:19	population	54:19	47:23 58:18	public 3:6 5:13
plan 4:3 6:19	47:10	president 49:2	59:8 62:15	5:23 12:2,4
7:1 15:6,25	porch 16:5	press 30:25	63:20 64:10	17:1 24:23
24:16 30:15	20:24 21:21	53:15	65:2 66:14	30:3,5,9,17,22
32:13 65:14,19	portion 12:2	presume 36:10	68:22 75:16,18	30:23 41:2,15
65:21,24 66:21	60:2	pretty 24:11	77:24	48:10 53:12
66:25	position 69:16	64:1 69:23	projection 64:13	54:2 58:19
planner 2:4,6,8	possible 25:23	71:24	promised 36:19	59:23,24 60:1
2:10 7:12 27:8	50:22 54:25	previous 17:5,25	promote 8:15	60:1,10 62:9
27:15 44:21	59:16 68:5	28:2 30:4	46:14,20 68:24	66:7,25 67:12
45:4	possibly 18:23	previously 16:2	Promoted 4:6	68:13 72:1,2
planning 1:1 2:2	25:20	17:2,4 18:22	31:1 35:11	74:7 79:5
2:3,5,7,9,11,13	post 61:15	20:23 25:19	38:14 40:8	pull 6:24
2:15 5:6,8 7:11	power 33:20	27:24 28:20	43:6 46:1	purchase 66:20
25:4 35:21	Practically 35:4	Prime 1:16 4:14	48:11 53:18	purchased 62:17
36:25 37:8			55:12	1
	practicing 9:19	principals 66:1		purposes 16:8 17:1
39:2 43:17 44:14 50:13	precedent 59:16 PRECISION	print 56:16	promoting 47:9	
		prints 20:2	promotion	push 19:4
60:21 61:1,9	1:24	prior 6:16 18:24	38:16	pushed 19:8
61:12 67:20	prefer 60:22	19:24,25 20:6	proper 53:2	20:8
69:8 70:7	preference 26:5	68:9 70:9,15	properly 20:7	put 5:4 9:19
72:18 73:3	26:7 61:23	70:19 71:5	25:22	19:15 24:22
plans 5:12 7:7,9	prepared 63:22	73:4	properties 34:19	25:7 29:13
14:9,11,12	present 1:9 6:1	priority 56:22	62:20	32:14 37:24
17:19 36:8	7:23 10:6,11	privilege 54:3	property 4:15	40:1 64:18,22
46:10 56:2,21	14:23 23:18	probably 12:13	14:24 15:20	65:10 66:4,11
63:17 67:15	presentation 4:5	73:13	24:18 39:11	puts 43:19
70:3,22 71:23	4:20 12:5	problem 26:16	57:4 59:9	putting 26:2
planted 32:11	15:13 17:25	proceed 64:9	62:17 66:21	pyramid 44:7
56:10	24:12 29:23	Proceedings 1:5	67:22 68:25	
plate 26:3 50:13	presented 4:21	process 25:24	proposed 15:16	Q
please 11:14	5:12 20:16	37:22 50:22	20:23 23:2	quaint 42:13
30:24 39:22	23:10,23 29:11	51:8 65:1	28:8 34:25	qualified 27:21
45:22 53:14	30:5,12 68:21	processes 37:18	42:22 46:13,23	qualify 38:3
55:5 58:24	73:23	professional	47:2,14 56:1	quality 33:25
59:2,17 69:11	presenting	7:11	56:15,19 57:23	34:1,6 35:6
pleases 69:17	19:16	professionals	66:13 68:23	40:3 47:22
pleasure 53:25	preservation	7:20 30:15	proposing 6:14	58:5
55:11	26:10,19 37:15	66:24	15:2,7 17:21	Queen 21:2,4
plow 59:4	47:12 50:24	profit 57:16	34:9 67:23	24:7 49:9 50:8
plus 28:5	55:1 60:23	program 44:19	protect 57:21	question 4:15
point 4:23 5:8	61:8 71:2,5	progress 15:13	protest 37:7	24:14 53:2
24:25 25:10	Preservation's	progression	protests 41:12	questions 5:8
29:15 34:14	56:25 57:2	22:25	proud 55:22	7:19 11:4
44:14 45:3	preservationist	project 7:6,12	prove 51:5	26:22 29:17
61:22 62:22	26:7,15	7:15 13:15	provide 28:16	quick 16:11
63:6 66:18	preserve 36:20	14:23 27:8	provided 22:16	24:17
69:23	36:22 37:20	28:8 29:7,10	70:18	quiet 58:6
pollution 44:22	42:24 49:7	30:15 42:20	provisions 65:21	quit 49:13
F STREET, I III				
	I	I	I	1

				Page 91
quite 5:18 44:24	39:7 65:5	24:3,6 48:4	REPORTING	62:3 70:25
45:5	70:21	reflected 20:2	1:24	74:25
quote 35:25	rear 4:24 5:3,15	refuse 45:6	representation	retirement 42:3
57:12	6:6,14 15:9	regarding 5:9	63:19 64:2	returning 33:19
quote/unquote	16:1 17:2,3,6	regards 16:4	representative	review 5:10
64:15	22:8 27:25	19:23 24:7	61:21	65:20
	28:5,7,9,16	registered 13:7	representing	reviewing 65:18
R	56:7 63:8	reiterate 28:1	8:21 11:18,25	revise 19:3
R 1:14 2:1 14:4	reason 72:7	relate 49:18	request 29:24	20:10
14:4 27:10,10	reasons 39:13	related 28:2	35:25 46:10	revised 21:20
31:5 35:13	rebuild 51:14	41:13 55:3	requested 28:21	23:9,12,17
38:18,18,18	rebuilding	relates 51:16	56:22	28:21
43:7 48:18,18	26:10 70:25	67:12	required 28:4	revision 7:9
48:18 51:22,22	rebuilt 25:25	relation 49:7	28:13 70:5	23:14
55:14,14 79:1	72:2	56:15,20	requirement	rich 49:21
R-3 5:1,16 7:17	recall 4:23	relationship	29:4	right 4:19 8:15
14:25 15:10	recap 16:11	15:20 22:23	requirements	11:2,9,13,20
16:1 17:3,5,10	received 18:23	50:18,24	28:12 29:8	11:22 12:8
17:13 28:2	18:25 19:24	relative 79:12	66:15	13:12 14:25
29:10 46:12	20:5,5 23:5,15	79:14	resemble 25:19	19:21 24:25
57:2 62:17,18	recognize 65:4	relocated 6:20	resembles 25:22	27:6 29:12,16
62:25 63:5,13	recognizing	reluctantly	resentment 34:8	29:24,25 30:21
66:17,17,19,21	62:14 68:22	57:10	resided 40:23	32:17,22,23
66:22 67:2,8	recommended	remaining 42:12	resident 31:8	33:5 35:8
67:22	21:15	42:17 51:17	55:22 59:10	38:15 39:12
R-I-L-E-Y 46:3	recommends	remains 42:24	residential 35:1	40:6 43:15
51:25	50:5	remark 62:7	residents 37:1,4	45:24 48:1,15
racial 41:9 racism 41:8	reconstructing	reminiscent	37:13,21 42:14	51:20 53:1,10
railing 21:22	75:17	21:2	47:19 50:17	55:9 58:2
22:7 64:22	record 4:13 9:1	remnants 25:20	74:9	59:13,20,22
raise 30:24,25	11:6 24:23	Remote 1:7	resigned 69:16	62:5,10 69:4
43:16 45:21	27:15 29:14	removal 17:20	resources 22:15	73:9,17 76:7
43:10 43:21 53:14,15	36:12,20 37:5	remove 34:16	51:1,3	right-hand
raised 8:7,14	65:10 66:11	removed 73:2	respect 4:25 5:5	16:17
11:16 12:1	69:15,18 70:13	rendering 5:10	72:25	rights 41:3,7,20
raises 30:10	70:21,25	renewal 58:21	respected 41:3	41:24,25 55:4
Randolph 65:16	red 21:25 24:4	repair 25:14	respects 42:20	Riley 3:15 11:16
Raymond 41:24	40:25 57:14 redesign 6:19	36:21 37:2 repaired 25:1	58:25 respite 34:20,21	11:21,25 31:1 31:2 32:25
razed 37:12	reduce 64:13,13	repeated 39:6	respond 29:24	33:2 46:2,2,5,7
razing 36:18	reduce 64:13,13 reduced 15:11	replace 36:24	62:9 64:3	48:2,13,14,17
read 10:20,24	28:23 29:2,2	37:2 56:10	responded 35:24	48:2,13,14,17 51:24,24 52:2
44:23 69:17,22	30:13	replaced 25:3	responded 35.24 restate 46:10	52:5 53:11
reads 50:1	refer 32:4	replacement	resubmit 19:4	Riley's 48:4
real 24:17 69:14	reference 12:15	24:24	result 47:16	rising 32:19
realized 4:24	22:4	Reporter 1:22	retained 26:20	rival 41:5
73:11	referencing	79:6,19	50:9 71:3	role 42:2
really 6:10	22:14 24:2	REPORTERS	retaining 24:17	roof 18:14,14,18
29:13 32:11	referring 19:23	1:24	26:11 60:15	18:24 19:5,9
			_0.11 00110	

				Page 92
19:11,13,23	53:4 54:18	43:9	sic 4:22 78:2	size 15:22 46:16
64:22,24	56:2,17 57:1	seriously 58:19	sick 32:12	skeptical 36:13
roofline 19:7	64:2 67:2 68:6	serve 67:16	side 14:25 16:19	sky 34:16
64:15	scar 35:3	served 31:18	21:17 22:6	SM 34:5
rooftop 40:1	scenes 36:12	serves 42:9	32:17 34:17	small 32:3,3
room 33:5 36:25	school 31:14	service 1:24	50:3 52:14	39:8,23 56:10
60:20 61:17	33:18	31:16 41:2	sign 8:8 72:23	56:16
roots 57:20	schools 41:16	services 31:19	signature 70:22	smaller 15:7
route 37:9	scope 67:1	31:21 42:3	71:7 72:22	18:11 46:11
row 49:17 50:8	screen 12:20	serving 40:25	73:4	53:4 56:19,23
rule 4:25 63:7	14:9,16 27:5	set 30:15 34:21	signed 70:13	58:24 59:6
run 25:4 30:2	43:21 52:3	49:24 57:20	significance	SMS 36:2,13
running 64:24	screening 42:8	59:16 67:15	40:21 49:25	Snyder 31:14
rural 21:2,5	sealed 70:13	70:23 72:9	50:1 51:7,13	soaring 56:11
24:7	seat 9:24	79:10	51:18	social 31:16,19
	second 17:20,22	setback 4:24 5:3	significant	42:2
S	60:3,4,5 73:17	5:15 6:6 16:2,4	58:12	sociocultural
S 1:14 2:1,1 3:19	73:24 74:1	19:23 27:25	similar 8:6 18:3	58:13
27:10 31:5	76:11 77:10,11	28:5,6,8,9,17	18:8 22:2,6	solicit 61:22
43:7,7 48:18	77:13	56:8 63:8	72:4,12	solution 62:14
55:14	secondary 17:22	setbacks 16:14	simply 45:13	somebody 30:17
S-E-R-G-I-O	21:19	50:6	56:3 65:15	36:8
43:9	seconded 60:7	sets 71:7 72:22	single 64:7	somewhat 65:11
S-H-I-R-I-N	section 22:9	73:4	single-family	67:16
55:17	36:16	shadows 21:13	34:22 62:21	son 14:22
S-O-N-D-R-A	sections 50:15	shame 42:17	sir 12:3 13:21	Sondra 46:2
46:2	see 6:20 7:5 8:2	shaped 49:16	19:17 20:12	soon 12:4
S-T-I-F-E-L	8:7,16 9:20	share 14:9,11	27:2 35:19	sorry 19:18 26:6
43:10	10:8 11:23	27:5	38:6 48:24	38:6 68:18
sadly 40:22 58:9	14:16 16:6	sharing 14:15	52:4	70:5 72:14
safety 46:15	20:15 21:18	she'd 60:22	sister 8:5,6	73:11 77:6,20
sanctuary 57:15	23:22 24:2,5,5	sheet 24:16	sister-in-law	77:21
sandwiched	25:13 44:10	ship 46:25	33:2,5	sort 19:11 20:22
58:2	48:15 52:3	Shirin 3:17	sit-down 61:14	21:1,5,11
Santo 1:15	53:5,24 64:12	35:11 55:12,16	site 4:3 7:1 15:5	22:24,25
76:25	68:9 71:8	shortage 72:11	15:19,25 16:17	sorts 64:22
sat 69:5	72:22	Shorthand 1:22	22:13 23:24	sought 17:2
satisfaction	seeing 38:15	1:24	24:16 29:3	sound 66:1
75:17	59:25	show 21:8 22:9	54:24 65:13,19	sounded 72:1
saved 58:24	seeking 63:10	71:23	65:21 66:14,21	south 38:1
saw 7:9 41:5	selection 71:4	showing 15:20	70:4	space 16:12
saying 8:16	selections 70:3	16:18 52:17	sits 39:12	18:15 47:9,19
45:11	senior 2:6,8,10	56:14	sitting 9:24	58:4,25
says 56:16 65:19	13:9	shown 63:16	situated 38:1	speak 32:25
70:19	sense 15:21	67:15 70:3	situation 47:18	33:3 38:10
scale 15:22	16:20,22 22:20	71:7	50:11	40:16 55:21
Scalt 15.22				56:1 64:15
16:20 42:23	22:22 57:3	l shows 37:19	Situations 50:19	1 30.104.13
	22:22 57:3 68:5	shows 37:19 52:18	situations 50:19 six 10:25 49:9.15	
16:20 42:23	68:5	52:18	six 10:25 49:9,15	speakers 54:2
16:20 42:23 46:16 50:6				

				Page 93
31:3,4 34:2	statement 54:5	strongly 46:9	surroundings	taxpaying 55:22
46:8	statements	structure 21:1	56:6 57:7	59:10
special 39:16	56:24	24:6	surveys 15:24	tears 32:11
42:8 49:6	statute 44:21	study 22:12,12	22:15	technical 44:25
Specialist 2:14	statutory 76:10	41:14	sworn 3:6 13:6	66:10
specifically 16:1	stay 21:25	style 21:2,5 24:7	14:5 27:11	technically 7:11
47:5 65:19	stayed 16:5	50:8 51:16	31:6 33:9	12:13 17:11,12
speed 69:7	stenographica	submission 19:6	35:14 38:19	tell 9:17 17:1
speed 05.7 spent 5:20	79:9	28:12	40:10 43:8	36:4 42:13
spoke 37:5	step 59:15	submit 66:21	48:19 51:23	49:19
spoke 57.5 spot 75:11	stepping 52:20	70:13	53:20 55:15	temporary
spots 47:20	STEVE 1:11	submitted 5:10	system 75:15	70:10
square 18:2,5,13	Stifelman 3:13	14:12 19:20	system 75.15	tenure 40:24
18:17,18 46:24	43:9,10,12,14	23:2 28:3	Т	terms 15:8 21:13
47:1 55:24	43:20,24 44:3	52:11	T 1:15 2:1 3:19	45:9 58:4
56:6 63:2	45:25	submitting 53:3	13:10 14:4	terrace 16:12
staff 5:8 50:4	stipulations	suffering 40:2	27:10 33:8	18:16,17,24
60:11 70:7	68:21	Sugar 34:5	35:13 38:18	19:5,9 46:19
stair 6:21	stirred 34:8	suggest 62:3,21	40:9 43:7	49:10,17,20
stairs 17:23,23	stone 25:14,21	76:18	48:18 79:1,1	terraces 40:2
19:12 21:19	50:7 71:24	suggested 51:17	T-H-Y-B-U-L	terrible 56:13
22:10	72:12,20	60:18 64:20	33:11	testament 42:10
stakeholders	stones 71:4	suggestion 61:19	T.F 1:17	testified 14:5
50:18	stood 32:16	64:19	TABLE 3:1	27:11 28:19
Stamato 1:13	stop 27:5	suitable 20:21	tabled 37:8	31:6 33:9
10:19,23 11:3	stop 27.5 stories 56:9	sum 29:25	take 10:4 13:4	35:14 38:19
11:7	story 42:13	sun 22:25 sun 32:19 52:14	25:23 32:18,19	40:10 43:8
stand 35:3	49:19 64:15	sunlight 34:17	37:16 51:12	48:19 51:23
standard 5:1	straight 7:19	47:6 52:15,24	59:14 62:12	53:20 55:15
17:8 63:25	straightforward	Supervising 2:4	67:14 68:12	testify 7:13
69:23	21:20	supervision	71:12 76:11	testifying 12:16
standards 5:16	strain 47:17	13:23	taken 7:2 30:4	testimony 5:4,6
7:17 15:10	streamline 75:9	support 37:20	40:3 54:15	6:8 7:12 9:11
16:1 17:5,10	streamlined	54:12 77:15	58:18 60:8	28:2 30:4 48:4
17:13,16 28:4	60:25 61:12	supported 51:2	67:19 79:9	69:24 79:8
62:25 63:5,14	street 15:19	supporting 41:7	talk 25:14 30:17	Tetris 57:17
66:9 67:2,9	16:18,23 18:20	supposed 44:22	61:15	thank 12:4,7,9
standpoint	22:11 34:22	65:2	talking 52:12	13:1,12,20
20:20	39:8,10,23	sure 8:3 11:18	56:5	14:1,3,20
star 30:25 53:15	57:24 63:16	12:5,24 13:3	talks 16:7 23:20	17:17 24:13
star 50.25 55.15 staring 73:12	70:8	13:16 24:22	taller 52:18	25:7,11 26:25
start 15:4 43:22	streetscape 50:4	25:24 26:16	Tanya 2:2 7:25	27:2,2,20,22
43:23	50:10 52:17	27:9 62:11	8:12 26:1	29:12,16,20
started 50:22	58:8	69:19 71:17	45:12 62:6	32:22,23 33:15
65:2	stretch 49:5	surrounding	69:11,21 72:14	33:16 35:7,8
state 9:11 13:17	67:12	15:21 16:9	72:14 73:9,10	35:20,21 38:7
36:21 79:6,19	string 46:19	20:25 22:21	Tanya's 69:10	38:8,13 39:2,4
stated 9:10 36:3	strong 72:18	35:23 47:2	tapestry 43:1	39:17 40:5,6
36:22 71:15	stronger 50:18	56:4,8,15 57:1	taught 58:15	43:2,4,14,24
50.22 / 1.15	500 00 50.10	50. 1,0,15 57.1		12.2,7,17,27
L	1	I	1	1

				Page 94
45:23,24 46:7	52:4 54:7	tower 34:15	75:8	42:22 59:9
47:25 48:1,14	55:19	town 20:19 23:6	understanding	values 57:22
48:25 51:19,20	Thybulle 3:9	toxic 47:24	6:15 37:6	variance 4:24
52:5,6 53:8,10	33:3,6,10,10	traditionally	44:20	5:3,6,15 6:6
55:7,9,20	33:13,15 35:10	64:23	understatement	7:10 17:2
59:19,20 68:19	Tim 23:13 58:18	traffic 47:15	36:14	28:17,20,23
69:1,4 71:21	time 4:25 5:2,5	transcript 1:3	understood 8:23	63:10,12
73:7 74:4,6	5:11,19 6:3,7	10:21 79:8	12:3 20:11	variances 45:16
77:5,7 78:16	14:2,21 19:3	travesty 52:25	26:17 30:20	various 61:16
thanking 68:15	20:6,7,9 23:15	treasure 58:10	38:12 44:18	63:23 67:15
thanks 8:24	26:23 28:8,10	tree 56:10	76:8	Vegas 57:17
45:19,20	30:7,21 35:9	trees 32:10,11	unfair 34:10	verify 73:3
The-bedroom	35:22 38:9	56:12 70:8	unfortunate	version 30:13
17:24	40:7 43:2 44:4	treescape 56:11	50:11	versus 56:7,7,8
thing 6:12 9:9	45:11,25 48:2	tried 21:4	unique 43:1	56:9,9 65:16
11:15 26:2	52:6 53:11	trouble 32:5	46:19	Vice 74:5
30:2,12,16	54:2,5 55:10	true 50:25 79:8	unit 16:13,13	victimize 58:22
39:21 44:11	63:7,10 65:3	try 45:22 54:7	17:24,25 18:1	video 10:1,9
53:6 63:9	68:18,19 69:3	62:13	18:3,5,7,8,9,11	Videoconfere
things 15:15	79:9	trying 9:21	18:13,16,16,16	1:7
22:10 24:4	timer 43:22	25:20	18:17 46:17,20	VIDYA 1:10
33:21 55:4	times 6:2 56:6	Tuesday 1:7	47:15	view 15:20
think 9:11 11:11	74:24	Tuvel 1:16 4:14	united 39:19	44:14 45:3,19
17:19 29:13	today 20:8 23:23	two 5:1 6:1,16	units 22:10 34:4	46:24 65:24
30:8 32:21	40:16 67:18	7:5 9:7 15:18	56:9 58:1	67:14
37:22 39:22,22	68:10	18:2 21:7,18	university 31:15	views 34:16
45:22 48:4,12	told 36:25	53:16 56:9	41:22	VIJAY 1:12
48:13 52:25	tolerable 67:1	70:2 75:20,21	unjust 34:10	visible 18:19
54:8,8,13,13	Tom 14:22	78:14	unquestionably	visual 46:21
54:25 60:25	tomorrow 62:22	two-and-a-half	40:19	visuals 56:18
61:7 63:25	62:23,24 63:1	18:4,10	unwelcomed	Vitier 41:1
65:12,15 66:7	tonight 5:22	two-family 39:9	35:3	voice 60:8 72:18
66:10 69:13	8:21 10:6,15	62:21	updated 17:8	voices 60:20
72:3,6,11,17	11:4 13:18	type 6:25 54:22	upset 37:10	volunteer 51:2
74:25 75:10,14	27:17 30:6	61:1,20	58:19	vote 11:1,4 60:8
76:22	44:5 55:21	types 50:19	urban 2:12	74:11,17 75:1
Thomas 3:3 9:7	56:1 59:3,18	typical 69:2	58:21	75:12,18 77:14
13:5,7	63:14 64:7		urge 68:17,19	77:19,24
thorough 30:14	69:13 72:20	U	use 46:14 65:24	voted 78:1
thought 64:21	top 15:15 16:17	U 33:8	66:13,14	votes 75:21
68:14 73:16	39:10 44:7	ugly 35:3	usually 30:3,13	
threatening	topographic	ultimately 5:7	Utica 49:12	<u> </u>
33:25	63:20	5:22 6:23 7:4	utilize 14:11	W 27:10 31:5
three 18:1 31:12	topographical	7:16 35:5	utilized 16:12	49:11 53:19
33:14 35:19	22:15,18	61:24 66:2	18:15	W-O-R-S-T-E
38:6,24 39:24	topography	67:23 68:24		27:13
40:15 43:13	63:24	uncles 32:4		wait 48:7
44:16 46:6	Torres 10:12	understand 11:8	valid 74:8	wake 57:18
47:1 48:24	tourists 57:18	50:12 54:23	value 40:20	walk 7:7
L				

				Page 95
wall 24:17,25	we're 11:11	61:10 62:4,8	12:4 29:17	11th 4:4 18:25
25:14 26:4,11	21:23 25:22	62:12 71:8,11	30:11 48:6	19:24 20:6
26:20 36:19,21	29:3 30:8	73:6 75:24	61:10 62:8	120 31:25 40:18
36:22,24 37:2	60:23 61:22,23	76:4,8	71:11 76:4	41:4
50:22,2157.2	63:14 67:23	wish 46:10 75:8	year 41:4 68:8	120-130 57:4
54:15 60:15	69:20 74:8	witness 3:2	years 31:20,24	122 31:8 32:7
61:7,25 62:3	76:6	12:17 27:7	32:6,17 33:18	33:11,17 34:15
69:21 71:1,16	we've 5:25 63:10	witnesses 7:6	33:22 34:4	34:19 41:17
71:18,24,25	65:5 66:3	69:25	41:18 55:23	46:3 47:5
72:2,3,8,20,21	wealth 51:1,4	women's 58:9	yellow 21:25	51:25
72:25 74:25	wedge 67:9,9	wonder 37:12	61:18 62:3	124 1:4,5 4:3,14
75:17	weekend 57:17	wondered 43:17	yellows 24:4	4:16 10:11
walls 58:1	weeks 6:17	wondering 44:3	yeses 78:14	14:23 22:16
want 7:12 9:10	weigh 72:6	Woolen 49:12	York 49:12	32:1 34:5,13
9:20 11:15	welcome 35:10	work 59:16 61:8		34:25 36:18
24:22 30:2	welfare 31:17	65:3 66:23	Z	37:6,11 40:22
32:25 36:10	46:15	68:2 74:23	Z 48:18	46:10,16 53:6
40:4,20 48:3	wellbeing 47:11	75:1	zone 14:25 29:8	56:2
53:6 54:11,12	57:22 58:3	worked 13:15	66:2	128 8:4 22:16
57:21 58:20,21	59:8	13:22 64:11	zoned 62:17	32:17 53:3
59:4 60:12	went 6:4,18 21:5	68:7	zoning 2:14 8:7	13 3:3
62:6,8 63:15	23:17,21 48:5	worker 31:16	8:18 17:8	130 32:2 34:19
64:3 65:10	53:17	working 7:15	28:12 33:23	35:16 40:18
66:4 67:6	west 14:25 16:19	36:2 50:21	44:19,19 50:14	130-year-old
68:12 69:11,19	34:17 50:3	works 68:3,4	51:6 54:23	36:19
70:20 72:19,21	western 52:14	world 47:20	57:2,3 65:8,20	13204 1:5 4:17
74:6	whittle 67:3	worse 33:25	65:25 66:1,9	14 3:4 55:23
wanted 8:20,25	wider 67:14	Worstell 3:5	66:17,19,21,22	14th 4:5 9:12,16
9:2 12:1 18:21	width 46:16	7:13 27:8,12	67:22 68:24	10:7,11 27:16
25:7 29:13	Wilberforce	27:12,14,18,22	75:13	35:24 37:3,16
48:7,7,14 49:4	31:15	29:17,21	zoom 1:7 24:18	15 19:7 44:17
wants 30:17,23	wildly 30:6	wouldn't 6:23		46:24 53:4
53:13 59:23	William 3:16	11:3 54:21	0	56:7
72:2,6	49:11 53:18,21	wow 9:25	03/14 58:15	159 38:21 39:14
WARD 2:4	Williams 53:22	wrong 59:13	1	185 48:21
wash 59:4	willing 68:7	wrote 31:20		1894 49:14
wasn't 37:22	winded 69:2	58:16	1 16:13 17:25	1895 46:20 49:9
watch 10:9	window 20:23		1,221.7 18:2	19 40:13
water 36:1	Wine 1:17 4:6,7	X	1,231 18:13	1920 41:5
Watershines	4:11,13,13	x 1:6 3:19	1,259 18:5	1950 40:23
32:1	7:24 9:6,13,17		1,600 46:25 56:7	1957 41:19
way 4:18 9:16	9:25 12:9 13:3	<u> </u>	10 11:10 14:13	
9:19 22:22	14:7 16:2	Y 27:10 33:8	19:8,21	2
52:19 56:2	19:18 25:9	51:22	10-day 19:1	2 16:13 18:3,8
60:16,19,25	26:12,16 27:6	yard 4:24 5:3,15	100 41:8 44:17	18:11
61:12,23 75:9	29:22 30:11	6:6 16:1,4 17:2	100-year-old	20 44:17
we'll 11:10 12:4	35:24 36:2,19	17:3,6,7 22:8	56:11	2013 69:5
12:4 48:16	36:21 39:4	27:25 28:5,7,9	104 41:18	2014 4:22 9:11
54:4	48:6 60:13,16	28:16 63:8	106 52:16	9:18 69:6
		Yeah 9:13 11:9	11 19:6 53:5	
	1	1	1	•

	1	1	1	1
2021 17:24	7			
2023 1:7 9:12,16				
	7 79:20			
10:7,11 79:20	7:20 78:19			
25 1:7 17:9,10	72 40:23			
17:14 28:13	76 42:4			
27 3:5				
L T 3.3	79 56:8			
3				
	8			
3 15:11 18:3,7	80 29:6			
18:12,16,16	81.9 52:12			
56:7				
30 52:13 57:24	9			
302 18:17	9 30:25 53:15			
30X100239700	908 1:25			
79:20	900 1.23			
31 3:8				
31.1-foot 28:7,9				
327 18:18				
33 3:9				
35 3:10 17:7				
28:4 56:8				
35.9 28:14				
36-foot 28:16				
38 3:11 28:24				
50 5.11 20.24				
4				
418:9,13,16,17				
40 3:12				
419 43:10				
43 3:13				
45 29:4				
48 3:14				
5				
5 15:9 17:12,15				
19:6				
5:45 1:8				
50 44:17				
51 3:15				
51a 53:22				
53 3:16				
55 3:17				
56 1:5 4:17				
6				
6,800 46:23 56:6				
60 29:5				
642-4299 1:25				
66 31:23 42:6				
68 29:6				
		I	I	

Г